

# *Assessing the efficacy of antibiotic treatment to produce earthworms with a suppressed microbiome*

Article

Accepted Version

Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0

Omosigho, H. O., Swart, E., Sizmur, T. P. ORCID:  
<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9835-7195>, Spurgeon, D. J.,  
Svendsen, C. and Shaw, L. J. (2022) Assessing the efficacy of  
antibiotic treatment to produce earthworms with a suppressed  
microbiome. *European Journal of Soil Biology*, 108. 103366.  
ISSN 1164-5563 doi:  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2021.103366> Available at  
<https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/101338/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2021.103366>

Publisher: Elsevier

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

[www.reading.ac.uk/centaur](http://www.reading.ac.uk/centaur)

**CentAUR**

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

1 **Assessing the efficacy of antibiotic**  
2 **treatment to produce earthworms with**  
3 **a suppressed microbiome.**

4

5 Henny O. Omosigho<sup>a\*</sup>, Elmer Swart<sup>b</sup>, Tom P. Sizmur<sup>a</sup>, Dave J. Spurgeon<sup>b</sup>, Claus Svendsen<sup>b</sup>  
6 and Liz J. Shaw<sup>a</sup>

7 <sup>a</sup>Department of Geography and Environmental science, University of Reading, Whiteknights,  
8 Reading, RG6 6DW, U.K.

9 <sup>b</sup>UK Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Wallingford OX10 8BB, UK.

10

11

12 \*Correspondence: Liz J. Shaw, School of Geography and Environmental Sciences,  
13 University of Reading, Whiteknights, Reading, RG6 6DW, U.K.

14 Email: [e.j.shaw@reading.ac.uk](mailto:e.j.shaw@reading.ac.uk)

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 **Abstract**

26 Earthworms are an integral part of soil ecosystems, especially for their role in soil functions  
27 such as organic matter (OM) decomposition and nutrient cycling. Earthworms and  
28 microorganisms are interdependent, and a considerable portion of the contribution  
29 earthworms make to influencing OM fate is through interactions with microorganisms.  
30 However, the importance of the earthworm-associated microbiome is not fully understood,  
31 because it is difficult to separate the direct influence of the earthworms from the indirect  
32 influence of their microbiome. Here, we evaluated an antibiotic-based procedure to suppress  
33 the microbiome of individuals of ecologically-contrasting earthworm species (*Eisenia fetida*,  
34 *Lumbricus terrestris*, *Allolobophora chlorotica*) as the first step towards soil studies aimed at  
35 understanding the importance of the earthworm microbiome for host health and function.  
36 Individual earthworms were exposed to antibiotics: cycloheximide (150 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>), ampicillin  
37 (100 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>), ciprofloxacin (50 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>), nalidixic acid (50 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>), and gentamicin (50 µg  
38 ml<sup>-1</sup>) either singly or in a cocktail via culture (96 h) in a semi-solid agar carrier. Compared to  
39 the non-antibiotic treated control, the cocktail (for all three species) and ciprofloxacin (for *E.*  
40 *fetida* and *A. chlorotica*) treatments significantly reduced (P<0.05) culturable microbial  
41 abundance on nutrient agar and potato dextrose agar. The microbial counts were reduced to  
42 below detection (<50 CFU individual<sup>-1</sup>) for *E. fetida* and *A. chlorotica* receiving the cocktail.  
43 Illumina 16S rDNA amplicon sequence analysis of culturable *L. terrestris* -associated  
44 bacteria showed that antibiotic treatment influenced community composition revealing  
45 putative sensitive (*Comomonas*, *Kosakonia* and *Sphingobacterium*) and insensitive  
46 (*Aeromonas*, *Pseudochrobactrum*) taxa. Overall, we report a rapid, with minimal earthworm-  
47 handling, process of creating suppressed-microbiome *E. fetida*, *A. chlorotica* and *L.*

48 *terrestris* as a tool to be used in future ecological studies of earthworm microbial interactions  
49 affecting host health and function.

50

51 **Keywords:** Suppressed-microbiome, axenic, 16S rDNA, Earthworm-gut associated,  
52 *Lumbricus terrestris*, culturable microbiome

### 53 **1. Introduction**

54 Earthworms are one of the most dominant soil invertebrates in terms of biomass [1,2] and are  
55 frequently referred to as ‘ecosystem engineers’ due to their effects on soil structure and  
56 nutrient availability [3]. Earthworms have been classified into three main ecological  
57 categories (epigeic, endogeic and anecic groups) by Bouché (1977) [4] based on ecological  
58 and morphological characteristics as well as their vertical distribution in the soil profile [4–6].  
59 Epigeic species are surface dwelling, non-burrowing and consume decaying plant residues on  
60 the soil surface. Anecic worms build permanent vertical burrows but feed on plant litters at  
61 the surface or dragged into burrows to be pre-decomposed by microorganisms; endogeic  
62 worms inhabit and feed in organo-mineral and deeper mineral horizons [2,4]. Recently,  
63 Bottinelli et al. 2020 [6] applied a numerical approach to the classification of earthworms to  
64 the ecological categories. This approach enabled a given species to be defined by three  
65 dimensions of membership to the three main categories and allowed for species to belong to  
66 supplemental intermediary categories (e.g., epi-anecic or epi-endo-anecic).

67 Earthworms are major players in determining soil organic matter (SOM) dynamics [7,8].

68 Earthworms not only stimulate organic matter (OM) decomposition, but they also promote  
69 SOM stabilization within soil aggregates [9,10]. Decomposition is enhanced both by  
70 increasing the access of microbial decomposers to OM substrates through mixing and  
71 fragmentation of litter [9,11–14] and by stimulating the activity of the ingested soil-derived

72 earthworm gut microbiome, which accelerates the breakdown of earthworm-ingested OM  
73 during gut passage. This latter is referred to as ‘the sleeping beauty paradox’ [3,15]. It  
74 involves the production of intestinal C-rich mucus (‘the kiss’) by the earthworm (‘Prince  
75 Charming’). This process awakens ingested dormant microflora (‘sleeping beauties’) and  
76 thereby increases the decomposition of ingested organic matter because of a ‘priming’ effect  
77 [15–18].

78 It has long been suggested that most earthworm species are not capable of secreting the full  
79 set of enzymes that are required for the depolymerization of plant-derived polymers. Whilst  
80 the possession of endogenous endocellulase genes by some earthworm species has been  
81 reported [19], indicating the ability to digest cellulose, it is thought that even when  
82 earthworms can produce endocellulase, their ability to digest and acquire nutrients from plant  
83 litter lies fundamentally in their relationship with microorganisms [20]. This is because  
84 efficient degradation of a complex polymer such as lignocellulose requires the synergistic  
85 action of suites of enzymes, such as hemicellulase, endocellulase, lignin peroxidase and  
86 exocellulase, that are primarily secreted by microorganisms [21]. The role of the  
87 aforementioned ‘kiss’ may therefore be to stimulate microbial depolymerase production  
88 during gut passage to aid acquisition of nutrients from ingested plant litter. However,  
89 depolymerase activity in soil is a function of recently secreted enzymes, and those produced  
90 in the past and stabilized through association with the soil matrix [22,23]. Therefore, it is not  
91 clear if earthworms rely on the microbial production of enzymes during gut transit, or, if  
92 already produced enzymes (before ingestion) are sufficient for complete depolymerisation. In  
93 the latter case, earthworms would not depend on ingested microorganisms themselves, but  
94 only on their pre-produced enzymes that were obtained through ingestion.

95 In addition to a role of an active, soil-derived, gut microbiome for host nutrition, it is possible  
96 that the earthworm microbiome is also vital for other purposes. For example, many studies  
97 have suggested that gut microbiomes of various hosts such as humans, *Drosophila*  
98 *melanogaster* (fruit fly), *Riptortus pedestris* (bean bug) and termites, play essential roles in  
99 different physiological processes. This includes immunity [24–27], reproduction [28], and  
100 resistance to pesticide-induced stress [29]. The earthworm gut microbiome, and indeed the  
101 microbiome associated with the other organs (such as skin and the nephridia), may confer  
102 additional functions that extend beyond roles in digestion and provision of nutrients to the  
103 host such as functions that affect host sexual maturity and reproduction [30,31].

104 Despite the uncertainties regarding the role of the earthworm microbiome in providing  
105 nutritional and non-nutritional benefits to the host, comprehensive studies on this topic, and  
106 on the role played by the earthworm host-microbiome interaction for ecosystem processes,  
107 are lacking. These uncertainties are due to our inability to separate the contribution of the  
108 microbiome to host processes. Whilst microorganisms associated with ingested soil and plant  
109 material that are transient during gut passage might be removed via depuration of earthworm  
110 individuals prior to experiments, distinct microbiome components known to be more tightly  
111 host-associated (for example, with the intestinal wall; [5,32–34]) would not be removed in  
112 this way. Therefore, we need a method to eliminate the non-transient microbiome to allow  
113 the understanding of the contributions of the host, the microbiome (and host x microbiome  
114 interactions) to functional effects.

115 Previous studies have attempted to produce suppressed-microbiome or ‘axenic’ (where  
116 ‘axenic’ was used as the term to describe earthworm individuals that harbour no cultivable  
117 microorganisms as detectable by the method employed) earthworm cultures through the  
118 passage of individual animals via sterile solutions or suspensions containing antibiotics, both

119 single antibiotics and cocktail of antibiotics [35,36]. These studies used *Eisenia fetida* as the  
120 ‘model’ organism; presumably because it can easily be reared on a variety of organic  
121 substrates [37] using standard protocols [38]. However, *E. fetida*, an epigeic species, is not a  
122 typical soil dwelling earthworm species [38], preferring organic-rich habitats. Hence to  
123 understand microbiome effects, there is a need to extend studies to other species of  
124 earthworms occupying different niches within the soil.

125 In this present study, we developed and evaluated an antibiotic-based procedure for  
126 producing suppressed-microbiome specimens of earthworms belonging to the epi- anecic (*L.*  
127 *terrestris*) and epi-endo-anecic (*A. chlorotica*) groupings as well as *E. fetida* as a  
128 comparatively well-studied comparison. The study, thus, provides a first step towards  
129 understanding the importance of the earthworm microbiome for earthworm health and  
130 ecological functional roles. We evaluated the effects of antifungal and anti-bacterial antibiotic  
131 treatments (individually and in a cocktail) on culturable earthworm-associated colony  
132 forming units (CFUs). To further understand how antibiotic exposure influenced the *L.*  
133 *terrestris*-associated culturable bacterial diversity, we used 16S rDNA amplicon sequencing.  
134 This provided insights into the taxa specific changes associated with specific treatment  
135 knockdowns.

## 136 **2. Material and methods**

### 137 ***2.1 Earthworm collection and culture***

138 *E. fetida* and *L. terrestris* were purchased from Worms Direct (Essex, UK). *A. chlorotica*  
139 specimens were collected from the University of Reading dairy farm at Shinfield (grid  
140 reference 51.408580, -0.927353) by hand sorting for adult *A. chlorotica*, identified using the  
141 guide of Sherlock [39]. Identified earthworms were washed with autoclaved de-ionised water  
142 before transport back to the laboratory in a cool box. Each earthworm species was acclimated  
143 to laboratory conditions in the dark at  $20 \pm 2$  °C for two weeks [40,41] prior to the start of the



144 experiment in a culture made from Kettering loam and Irish moss peat (2:1 ratio) [42] and  
145 the earthworms were fed Irish moss peat at approximately 1 g (dry matter) earthworm<sup>-1</sup> week<sup>-1</sup>  
146 after one week of acclimation [41].

147

## 148 **2.2 Antibiotic exposure**

149 The adult earthworm individuals selected for antibiotic exposure were responsive to stimuli  
150 and visibly healthy. Selected individuals were of similar sizes and weights (within the same  
151 species) to avoid the potential for size-specific and weight-specific effects. Following initial  
152 depuration (48 h on moist filter paper in the dark), single earthworm specimens were  
153 incubated in Duran bottles of either 250 ml (*E. fetida* and *A. chlorotica*) or 500 ml (*L.*  
154 *terrestris*) in volume, containing either 50 ml (*E. fetida* and *A. chlorotica*) or 150 ml (*L.*  
155 *terrestris*) of sterile 0.65 % (m/v) technical agar medium (Fisher Scientific, UK) made with  
156 deionised water. The technical agar concentration used resulted in a medium that, as  
157 determined in a preliminary experiment, was of a consistency that allowed the earthworms to  
158 burrow within the agar. The agar volume ensured that there was an agar depth of at least 10  
159 cm, as this was found to be a suitable depth, especially for the anecic earthworms, to form  
160 vertical burrows[43]. The agar medium was supplemented with antibiotics (Sigma-Aldrich)  
161 added individually or as a cocktail of the five antibiotics in the concentrations shown in Table  
162 1. The concentration of each antibiotic in the cocktail was the same as the concentration used  
163 when a single antibiotic was applied. Hence when combined this treatment provides both a  
164 more complex and greater total antibiotic exposure treatment. The anti-bacterial antibiotics  
165 belonged to the classes beta-lactam (ampicillin), (fluro)quinolone (ciprofloxacin, nalidixic  
166 acid) and aminoglycoside (gentamicin) and were chosen considering: (i) their reported  
167 bactericidal, as opposed to bacteriostatic, activity ([44]; to eliminate the possibility of the  
168 resumption of bacterial growth following removal from antibiotic exposure): and, (ii) broad

169 spectrum of activity, targeting both Gram negative and Gram positive bacterial species  
170 (ampicillin, ciprofloxacin, gentamicin; [45]; (iii) their usage in previous regimes for antibiotic  
171 treatment of earthworms (Whiston and Seal [36], nalidixic acid, gentamicin). Cycloheximide  
172 was chosen as the antifungal antibiotic as also based on Whiston and Seal [36].

173 Antibiotics that were not purchased as already-made solutions but in solid form were  
174 dissolved in either 0.1 M hydrochloric acid (ciprofloxacin) or distilled water (nalidixic acid)  
175 as required to make up stock solutions.

176 For each earthworm species, triplicate samples for each antibiotic treatment were incubated at  
177  $20 \pm 2$  °C in darkness for 96 hours following earthworm addition. Control samples with  
178 technical agar but without antibiotics added were included (n = 3). The bottles were covered  
179 with aluminium foil to prevent earthworm escape, with pin holes in the cover to ensure  
180 aeration.

181

---

182 *Table 1*

---

183

## 184 **2.3 Assessment of the abundance and diversity of earthworm-associated culturable** 185 **microorganisms**

### 186 *2.3.1 Microbial abundance*

187 After 96-hours of antibiotic exposure, the earthworms were removed from the agar medium  
188 with sterile tweezers. No earthworm mortality was recorded during the incubation period and  
189 all earthworms had burrowed and were responsive to a physical stimulus. Following removal  
190 from the antibiotic medium, earthworms were washed with autoclaved de-ionised water and  
191 placed in 10 ml sterile centrifuge tubes. Earthworms were euthanised when placed in a 4°C  
192 cold room for 1 hr and then crushed using sterile glass rods. One ml of autoclaved de-ionised  
193 water was added to the tube, followed by vigorous shaking (250-rev min<sup>-1</sup> for 2 min). The

194 resulting suspension was serially diluted in triplicate with autoclaved de-ionised water in a  
195 ten-fold dilution series ( $10^0$ ,  $10^{-1}$ ,  $10^{-2}$ ,  $10^{-3}$ ,  $10^{-4}$ ,  $10^{-5}$ ,  $10^{-6}$ ,  $10^{-7}$  and  $10^{-8}$ ). To determine the  
196 number of colony-forming units (CFUs) of culturable earthworm-associated microorganisms,  
197 20  $\mu$ l (*E. fetida* and *A. chlorotica*) or 200  $\mu$ l (*L. terrestris*) of the dilutions were plated on to  
198 agar plates following the Miles and Misra method [46] or using the spread plate method,  
199 respectively. The differences in the volume plated were due to the drop/spread plating  
200 method adopted. The spread plate method was used for *L. terrestris* to facilitate the extraction  
201 of DNA from colonies in subsequent analysis (section 2.3.2). Nutrient agar (NA), that  
202 predominantly favours bacterial growth, and potato dextrose agar (PDA), normally used for  
203 culturing fungi, were the agar media used. The agar plates were incubated in the dark at 26  
204 °C under oxic conditions. The emerging colonies were observed after 24 hrs and then at two  
205 weeks when the colonies were counted. The limit of detection of the plate count method was  
206 determined using the volume plated and the dilution factor [47].

207

### 208 **2.3.2 DNA Extraction, 16S-rDNA sequencing**

209 Out of the three earthworm species studied, *L. terrestris* (as the only species that had CFUs  
210 above detection limits for all antibiotic treatments and both agars) was carried forward for  
211 DNA-based analysis of associated microorganisms that were cultured on plates arising from  
212 the dilution spread plate estimation of microbial abundance.

213 For each antibiotic treatment, earthworm individual and agar type, colonies growing across  
214 all dilutions were harvested using a sterilised cell scraper. Harvested cells from each plate  
215 were initially suspended in 1 ml sterile de-ionised water in a 2 ml centrifuge tube and then the  
216 different dilutions of the same replicates were pooled and stored at -20 °C prior to DNA  
217 extraction.

218 Total genomic DNA was extracted from the samples using DNeasy Ultraclean Microbial Kit  
219 (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The quality and concentration of the  
220 extracted DNA sample was measured using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (ND-  
221 2000/2000c, NanoDrop Technologies).

222 A ~550 bp fragment of the V3-V4 hypervariable region of the bacterial 16S-rRNA gene was  
223 amplified by PCR with 5'-CCTACGGGAGGCAGCAG-3' as the forward primer and 5'-  
224 GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT-3' as the reverse primer. Each reaction was done in a 50  
225 µl reaction using four ng of genomic DNA. Each sample was dual index barcoded following  
226 Kozich et al.[48]. The amplification thermal cycling consisted of an initial denaturing step at  
227 95 °C for 2 minutes, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 30 seconds, annealing  
228 at 55 °C for 15 seconds and extension at 72 °C for 40 seconds, with a final extension step at  
229 72 °C for 10 minutes. All PCR reactions were performed using Q5® High-Fidelity DNA  
230 Polymerase (New England BioLabs, USA). Quality and verification of fragment size was  
231 performed using gel electrophoresis. Samples were normalised using a SequalPrep  
232 Normalisation Plate Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, UK) and subsequently pooled. The pooled  
233 samples were subsequently run on a 1.2% agarose gel and a ~550 bp fragment was gel  
234 extracted using a QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen, the Netherlands). The gel extracted  
235 samples were quantified using a Qubit HS DNA Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) and  
236 diluted to 7 pM using HT buffer. The final library was the run with 10% PhiX using a MiSeq  
237 Reagent Kit v3 (600 cycles) on a MiSeq (Illumina, USA). Nucleotide sequence data have  
238 been submitted to NCBI and are available under submission number SUB9306713 as part of  
239 bioproject ID PRJNA715719.

#### 240 **2.4 Statistical and bioinformatics analyses**

241 The effect of antibiotic treatment on the number of CFUs for each earthworm species (*E.*  
242 *fetida*, *A. chlorotica*, and *L. terrestris*) was assessed using one-way analysis of variance

243 (ANOVA) followed by post hoc Tukey comparisons, where appropriate ( $P < 0.05$ ). Normality  
244 of residuals (Anderson-Darling test) and equal variance (Levine's test) assumptions were  
245 verified, and data was square root transformed where required. All analyses for the plate  
246 count data were performed with Minitab 19.1.1.

247 MiSeq reads were demultiplexed using BaseSpace (Illumina, USA). Amplicon sequence  
248 variant (ASV) tables were generated using the DADA2 pipeline [49]. Briefly, in this  
249 procedure, the forward and the reverse reads were inspected for quality. The Phred quality  
250 score of the reverse reads was below 30 from 200 bases onwards. This prevented sufficient  
251 merging of the forward and reversed reads, and hence only the forward reads were used in  
252 further analysis. The last ten bases of the forward reads were trimmed, and trimmed reads  
253 were subsequently filtered applying a maxN, maxEE and truncQ value of 0, 2 and 2,  
254 respectively. After sample inference, reads were subjected to chimera removal. Filtering of  
255 low-quality reads and removal of chimera led to removal of on average 18% of the forward  
256 reads per sample. Taxonomy was assigned using the Silva version 132 dataset [50].

257 ASVs assigned to eukaryotes, archaea, chloroplasts, and mitochondria or to an unknown  
258 phylum or kingdom were removed from the dataset.

259 All statistical analyses of ASVs data and data visualisations were performed in R v.3.6.3 [51].  
260 The diversity analysis was carried out using the packages 'phyloseq' [52] and 'vegan' [53].  
261 observed and Chao1 richness and phylogenetic diversity measures were used to estimate the  
262 alpha diversity. The normality of the dataset was checked using Shapiro-Wilk normality test  
263 and the significance of differences between alpha diversity and relative abundance of taxa  
264 was evaluated using analysis of variance (ANOVA). For the beta diversity, the principal  
265 coordinate analysis (PCoA) based on Jaccard distances using the binary data was used to  
266 visualise the similarity of individual replicates based on the presence and absence of ASVs.

267 The effect of antibiotic treatment on bacterial community patterns were further analysed by  
268 permutation analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) based on Jaccard distances with the  
269 Adonis function (999 permutations) of the ‘vegan’ package. The effect of antibiotic treatment  
270 on bacterial community patterns was also examined using ANOSIM. ‘VennDiagram’ was  
271 used to construct a logical visualisation of relationships between the bacterial genera present  
272 in the antibiotic treatments [54].

273

### 274 **3. Results.**

#### 275 ***3.1 Effect of antibiotic treatment on earthworm-associated culturable microbial*** 276 ***abundance.***

277 The aim was to develop and evaluate an antibiotic-based procedure to eradicate earthworm-  
278 associated microorganisms and create suppressed-microbiome earthworms, as far as could be  
279 verified using culture-based methods. For the NA plates (Figure 1a, c, e), ANOVA revealed  
280 an overall significant effect of antibiotic treatments on the number of colonies forming for *E.*  
281 *fetida* ( $P < 0.001$ ), *A. chlorotica* ( $P < 0.001$ ) and *L. terrestris* ( $P < 0.001$ ). The post hoc  
282 Tukey test showed that, when comparing the effect of the individual antibiotic treatments on  
283 earthworm-associated microbial abundance across all three earthworm species,  
284 cycloheximide and ampicillin had no significant effect on colony formation compared to the  
285 non-antibiotic-amended control. All other antibiotic treatments, however, did significantly  
286 reduce the microbial burden for at least one earthworm species. The cocktail treatment was  
287 the most effective with CFUs on NA reduced to below the limit of detection (<50  
288 CFU/worm) for *E. fetida* and *A. chlorotica* and by more than 2 orders of magnitude for *L.*  
289 *terrestris*. Although the cocktail of antibiotics resulted in the lowest number CFUs, it did not  
290 result in a statistically significant different number of CFUs when compared to the

291 ciprofloxacin-only treatment in *E. fetida* and *A. chlorotica* (PDA) and the ciprofloxacin-,  
292 gentamicin- and nalidixic acid-only treatments for *L. terrestris*.

293 For the PDA plates (Figure 1 b, d, f), ANOVA indicated a significant effect of antibiotic  
294 treatment on the number of CFUs for *E. fetida* ( $P < 0.001$ ), *A. chlorotica* ( $P < 0.001$ ), and *L.*  
295 *terrestris* ( $P < 0.011$ ). Post hoc Tukey test indicated that it was only the cocktail treatment  
296 that reduced CFUs compared to control consistently across species. CFU numbers for the  
297 cocktail were, however, not statistically different when compared to ciprofloxacin,  
298 gentamicin (all three species), and (for *E. fetida* and *L. terrestris*) nalidixic acid treatments.

---

299

300 Figure 1

301

---

## 302 **3.2 Effect of antibiotic treatment on *L. terrestris*-associated culturable bacterial diversity**

### 303 **3.2.1 16S rDNA amplicon sequencing**

304 Illumina 16S rDNA amplicon sequencing of DNA extracted from colony forming units  
305 harvested from NA and PDA dilution series plates from *L. terrestris* generated 1044826 high  
306 quality forward reads with an average of 24965 reads per sample. In total 524 ASVs were  
307 identified with an average of 31.5 ASVs per sample. Taxonomy was assigned using Silva  
308 database version 132 which resulted in the detected bacteria being classed into 10 phyla, 17  
309 classes, 45 orders, 71 families and 143 genera.

310

### 311 **3.2.2 Alpha diversity**

312 The observed and estimated (Chao1) ASV richness between individual *L. terrestris* replicates  
313 had a large variation for control (e.g., for Chao1, the coefficient of variation was 82.31 % for

314 NA plates and 39.5% for PDA plates) and some antibiotic-amended treatments (Figure 2a-d).  
315 Against this variable background, one way ANOVA revealed that these alpha diversity  
316 measures were not significantly influenced by antibiotic treatment ( $P>0.05$ ; Figure 2a-d).  
317 Similarly, there was no overall effect of antibiotic treatment on Faith's phylogenetic diversity  
318 ( $P>0.05$ ; Figure 2e, f).

---

319

320 Figure 2

---

321

322

### 323 **3.2.3 Beta diversity**

324 PCoA based on Jaccard distances was used to visualise the similarity in the data from  
325 bacterial community composition for *L. terrestris* samples subjected to the different antibiotic  
326 treatments (Figure 3). For bacterial communities culturable on NA, the non-antibiotic-treated  
327 control samples overlapped with those in the ampicillin- and cycloheximide- treated samples.  
328 These clusters appeared distinct from other antibiotic treatment clusters (Figure 3; NA). The  
329 PERMANOVA analysis ( $P = 0.037$ ; [Adonis]) and weakly, the ANOSIM analysis ( $P =$   
330  $0.053$ ) supported that the NA-culturable *L. terrestris* bacterial communities were significantly  
331 affected by the antibiotic treatments. The data from the PDA-cultured bacterial community  
332 (Figure 3: PDA), also showed that communities from control, ampicillin- and cycloheximide-  
333 treated *L. terrestris* clustered together and were separated from the clusters of bacterial  
334 communities from *L. terrestris* treated with nalidixic acid, ciprofloxacin, gentamicin, and  
335 cocktail. Both PERMANOVA ( $P = 0.024$ ) and ANOSIM analysis ( $P = 0.009$ ) revealed an  
336 overall significant difference between treatment groups. However, for both NA and PDA it is



337 notable that, with few exceptions (ampicillin and control for PDA), individual within-  
338 treatment replicates did not group closely together within the ordination space.

---

339 Figure 3

---

340

#### 341 3.2.4 Cultivable shared and unique genera of *L. terrestris* individuals

342 Given the variability in both alpha and beta diversity at the individual *L. terrestris* level  
343 (Figure 2; Figure 3), Venn diagrams were used to visualise genera that were unique or  
344 common to more than one *L. terrestris* individual within the same treatment, with a focus on  
345 the non-antibiotic-treated control [to understand the initial variability in the culturable *L.*  
346 *terrestris* microbiome (Figure 4a, b)] and the cocktail-treated (Figure 4c, d) *L. terrestris*  
347 individuals [as the treatment that most significantly impacted culturable *L. terrestris*-  
348 associated bacterial abundance (Figure 1e, f)]. For nutrient agar, one genera (*Lelliottia*), was  
349 consistently detected across control *L. terrestris* individuals (Figure 4a). Whilst *Lelliottia*  
350 could still be detected in 2 out of 3 cocktail-treated individuals (Figure 4c), two other genera,  
351 *Aeromonas* and *Pseudochrobactrum*, were core in cocktail-treated individuals (Figure 4c).  
352 Whilst *Aeromonas* was present in the microbiome of two of the NA controls (Figure 4a) (and  
353 in all individuals for both control and cocktail treatments for PDA, Figure 4b, d),  
354 *Pseudochrobactrum* was not present in any other situation. In addition to *Aeromonas*, 7 other  
355 genera were core to control *L. terrestris* individuals on PDA (Figure 4b). Out of these,  
356 *Pseudomonas*, *Raoultella* and *Verminephrobacter* were still detected in two of the individuals  
357 treated with the antibiotic cocktail (Figure 4d). However, *Comomonas*, *Kosakonia*,  
358 *Pedobacter* and *Sphingobacterium* could not be detected in cocktail PDA plates (Figure 4d),  
359 and, except for *Pedobacter*, were similarly not present in the cocktail treatment for NA plates  
360 when they were detected in at least one NA control individual (Figure 4a, c).

362

363 **4. Discussion**

364 Earthworms are key soil organisms contributing to ecosystems processes and associated  
365 services [55]. It is recognised that earthworms harbour an abundant and diverse microbiome  
366 [56]. However, there are considerable uncertainties regarding the role of the earthworm  
367 microbiome in providing nutritional and non-nutritional benefits to the host and the  
368 consequences of the earthworm host-microbiome interaction for ecosystem processes such as  
369 OM decomposition. In this study we investigated the potential of antibiotics to create  
370 suppressed-microbiome earthworms for subsequent use in experiments aiming to improve our  
371 understanding of the role that the earthworm microbiome plays in host health and function.  
372 Previous studies have been carried out to produce ‘axenic’ *Eisenia fetida* [35,36]. However,  
373 the applicability of this method to species that can be considered more typical soil inhabitants  
374 was uncertain. Accordingly, here we extend the previous studies to consider species  
375 representing different earthworm ecotypes covering epi-aneccic (*L. terrestris*) and epi-endo-  
376 anecic (‘intermediate’; *A. chlorotica*) ecological groups (according to Bottinelli et al.’s [6] re-  
377 classification).

378 As well as examining the impact of the various antibiotic treatments on the earthworm-  
379 associated microbial abundance, we additionally report on the diversity (richness) and  
380 composition of the culturable microbiome of *L. terrestris* and its response to antibiotic  
381 treatment.

382

383 Overall, we have shown that it is possible to significantly reduce the abundance of  
384 earthworm-associated culturable microorganisms through the treatment of earthworm  
385 individuals with antibiotics or antibiotic cocktail. Our approach is suitable for use in *E. fetida*

386 and the soil dwelling species *L. terrestris* and *A. chlorotica*. However, the efficacy of  
387 antibiotic treatment depended upon the antibiotic(s) used and the earthworm species.  
388 Evaluation of the efficacy of antibiotic treatment also depended on the agar medium used for  
389 microbial enumeration. In relation to the agar medium, we noted that colonies forming on  
390 PDA, like those for NA, were small and smooth resembling bacterial growth. Although PDA  
391 is associated with the cultivation of fungi (not bacteria), the composition of the medium  
392 (potato extract, glucose) does not select against bacterial growth. It contains glucose as a  
393 readily utilised C source. Given this colony appearance and also the observation that CFU  
394 abundance on PDA was not affected by the antifungal cycloheximide treatment (Figure 1),  
395 we assumed that colonies forming on PDA were bacterial.

396

397 Only the cocktail of five antibiotics (ampicillin, ciprofloxacin, cycloheximide, gentamicin  
398 and nalidixic acid) resulted in culturable numbers significantly lower than the control for both  
399 NA and PDA agar across all earthworm species (Figure 1), whilst ampicillin and  
400 cycloheximide mostly showed no significant differences when compared to the control.  
401 Resistance to ampicillin, a beta-lactam antibiotic, is known to be naturally prevalent among  
402 soil bacteria [57,58], and cycloheximide, an antifungal, is expected not to be effective on  
403 most bacteria [59].

404

405 It was possible, however, through the treatment of *E. fetida* (NA) and *A. chlorotica* with the  
406 antibiotic cocktail to reduce the abundance of earthworm-associated microorganisms from  $\geq$   
407  $10^5$  CFU per earthworm individual to below the limit of detection (50 CFU/ earthworm in our  
408 study). This agrees with previous studies [35,36] that have also applied antibiotics to create  
409 earthworms (*E. fetida*) deemed 'axenic' with no associated microorganisms detectable by  
410 culture.

411

412 Whilst the application of the antibiotic cocktail [and ciprofloxacin applied singly for *E. fetida*  
413 (PDA)] reduced culturable microbial abundance to below detection in *E. fetida* and *A.*  
414 *chlorotica*, microbial numbers were not reduced to below detection limits for *L. terrestris*,  
415 although a significant >100-fold knockdown was recorded in this species for the cocktail. To  
416 be exposed to antibiotics, through both dermal and gut contact, earthworm individuals needed  
417 to burrow and ingest agar. Differences in burrowing behaviour between species may  
418 influence the degree to which earthworms are exposed to antibiotics, and therefore the  
419 effectiveness of the antibiotic treatment. Also, there may also be different exposure levels in  
420 different bacterial populations. Bacteria in the gut are likely to receive a high dose, whereas  
421 the nephridial symbionts may be more ‘protected’ against antibiotics due to their embedment  
422 in an organ that may be more ‘sealed’ from antibiotics. *L. terrestris*’s natural behaviour is to  
423 create permanent vertical burrows, travelling to the surface to feed on partially decomposed  
424 plant litters and other organic matters [60,61]. Although we scaled up agar volumes to  
425 accommodate the larger *L. terrestris* size and burrowing behaviour, it is possible that *L.*  
426 *terrestris* individuals did not explore and ingest the antibiotic-containing agar to the same  
427 extent, resulting in reduced exposure. In this case, increasing the concentration of antibiotics  
428 in the agar or the time of exposure might have improved the effectiveness of the antibiotic  
429 treatment. Alternatively, the *L. terrestris* microbiome may harbour a larger number of  
430 culturable antibiotic-resistant microorganisms [62,63]. Earthworms are known to produce  
431 their own antimicrobial agents [62] which might lead to earthworm species-specific selection  
432 of antibiotic resistance traits within the microbiome.

433

434 Although based on methods of Hand and Hayes [35] and Whiston and Seal [36], our  
435 approach differed from previously published work in terms of the spectrum of antibiotics

436 applied. Nalidixic acid, gentamicin, a penicillin (ampicillin) and cycloheximide [36] or  
437 cycloheximide [35] were in common with the previous studies, but, additionally  
438 ciprofloxacin (a fluoroquinolone) was included as an antimicrobial not tested previously. In  
439 most cases ciprofloxacin, when applied alone, was just as effective in reducing culturable  
440 numbers as the cocktail treatment. This effectiveness may be related to its particularly broad-  
441 spectrum DNA gyrase inhibitory activity against both Gram-negative and Gram-positive  
442 bacteria [64].

443

444 As well as differences in the choice of antibiotics used, our method also varied from  
445 previously published work in terms of the methodology and duration of antibiotic exposure.  
446 We used sterile semi-solid technical agar as the ‘carrier’ for antibiotic exposure. In contrast,  
447 previous studies used aqueous solutions [35] or sterile suspensions of microcrystalline  
448 cellulose [36]. Our exposure period (4 days) was comparable to that employed by Whiston  
449 and Seal [36] (5 days), but shorter than the 35 days adopted by Hand and Hayes [35] and  
450 consisted of a single exposure step as opposed to one [36] or several [35] transfers of  
451 earthworm individuals between different antibiotic-containing media. Reducing the timescale  
452 of exposure and the degree of earthworm handling reduces the risk of earthworm mortality.  
453 In our trial, all earthworm specimens survived after the exposure to the antibiotic when using  
454 response to touch stimuli as a superficial measurement of health condition. The lack of  
455 mortality indicates low acute stress (but chronic impacts may have occurred undetected) and  
456 provides viable earthworm individuals for use in future experiments.

457

458 For *L. terrestris*, 16S rDNA amplicon sequencing of the NA- and PDA- grown bacterial  
459 communities was applied to characterise the richness and composition of the culturable  
460 microbiome of *L. terrestris* and its response to antibiotic treatment. For reasons previously

461 discussed, PDA-grown colonies were assumed to be bacterial and were included in the 16S  
462 rDNA-based sequencing effort. This enabled the characterisation of potentially different, agar  
463 specific, microbiomes due to the selective nature of bacterial growth [65].

464

465 Whilst cognisant that the bacteria that can be cultured on laboratory media are only a very  
466 small proportion of the total diversity and therefore that we have not captured what might be  
467 a significant non-culturable fraction [66], we focussed on culturable microbiomes (i.e.,  
468 amplicon sequencing from colony-extracted DNA). This was because we were concerned that  
469 amplification of bacterial DNA directly extracted from earthworm tissues would not be able  
470 to distinguish between DNA from living bacterial cells surviving the biocidal treatments and  
471 those that had been recently killed [67]. Amplification of DNA from dead microorganisms  
472 would undermine the identification of bacterial taxa that escaped the effect of the antibiotic  
473 treatment. Since this culture-based approach will mean that the relative read abundance of a  
474 given ASV in a sample will depend not only on the original cell abundance in the earthworm  
475 sample but also confounded by the subsequent rate of multiplication on agar, the subsequent  
476 analysis of diversity and taxonomic composition was based on presence/absence, not relative  
477 abundance.

478

479 Comparison of estimated Chao1 ASV richness and observed richness suggested that the  
480 sequencing depth covered the richness of ASVs present. However, there was substantial  
481 within-treatment variation in ASV richness, including for the non-antibiotic-treated controls.  
482 Due to the destructive nature of sampling, it was not possible to examine the impact of  
483 antibiotic treatment on the microbiome for a given earthworm individual (i.e., before and  
484 after treatment). That there was no significant effect of antibiotic treatment on either ASV  
485 richness (observed and Chao1) or phylogenetic richness, even for antibiotic treatments that

486 significantly reduced the number of culturable bacteria (Cocktail (NA & PDA) and  
487 ciprofloxacin (NA); Figure 1), might be partly due to initial variability in bacterial richness  
488 between *L. terrestris* individuals (Figure 1) going into the incubation. This variability is in  
489 agreement with other reports of high variability in host-associated microbiomes [68–70].  
490 When compared to other studies on earthworm-associated bacterial richness [69–71], our  
491 analysis revealed a low number of ASVs per worm (e.g., ~30 ASVs for the NA control).  
492 However, this is expected due to the focus on only those bacteria that formed colonies on the  
493 NA and PDA medium. In addition, the *L. terrestris* individuals in the current trial were  
494 depurated before the plating of earthworm samples. This means that the culturable  
495 microbiome in our study was likely not dominated by diverse transient microbes associated  
496 with the ingested loam: peat substrate but those more tightly-associated with the gut and other  
497 organs [70].

498

499 Whilst there was no significant impact on the richness of ASVs, PERMANOVA and  
500 ANOSIM analysis suggested an impact of antibiotic treatment on community composition.  
501 The PCoA (Figure 3) highlighted the variability between within-treatment replicates but  
502 suggested that the bacterial community compositions for the antibiotic treatments (cocktail,  
503 ciprofloxacin) that caused the most significant reduction in culturable abundance (Figure 1)  
504 were among the most dissimilar to the control.

505

506 Genera common to more than one *L. terrestris* individual within the same treatment were  
507 visualized by Venn diagrams (Figure 4) to identify core members of the culturable  
508 microbiome and those genera sensitive or tolerant to antibiotic treatment. The core bacterial  
509 diversity (phyla level) of the *L. terrestris* culturable microbiome composed of members of the  
510 *Proteobacteria* (*Aeromonas*, *Comomonas*, *Kosakonia*, *Lelliottia*, *Pseudomonas*, *Raoultella*,

511 *Verminephrobacter spp.*) and *Bacteroidetes* (*Pedobacter*, *Sphingobacterium spp.*). This  
512 composition is in broad agreement with the earthworm-associated phyla described in other  
513 earthworm microbiome studies [72–74]. In particular, members of the genus  
514 *Verminephrobacter* are known symbionts found in Lumbricid earthworms and have a known  
515 nephridial association [31,75,76]. *Aeromonas*, a genus consisting of facultative anaerobic  
516 species, are a further taxa that are frequently earthworm- associated including with *L.*  
517 *terrestris* [77,78].

518

519 Among taxa indicating potential resistance, the near ubiquitous detection of *Aeromonas* in the  
520 culturable microbiome of both control and antibiotic cocktail treated individuals suggests that  
521 representatives of this genus were resistant to antibiotic treatment. *Aeromonas* are considered  
522 to be naturally resistant to  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotics, such as ampicillin [79,80] and resistance to  
523 ciprofloxacin and nalidixic acid has also been reported for environmental strains, including  
524 multi-antibiotic resistance [80]. In contrast, resistance of this genera to gentamicin appears to  
525 be rare [80,81]. Further characterization of the antibiotic resistance profile of our *Aeromonas*  
526 isolates would be required to discern if these strains were indeed gentamicin resistant as may  
527 be suggested by their presence in the cocktail exposure or, alternatively, evaded exposure.

528 *Aeromonas hydrophila* has been isolated from the coelomic cavity of *L. terrestris* [82]. If  
529 *Aeromonas* were in this compartment, their exposure may be more limited than for bacteria in  
530 the gut. The organ-specific location of *Verminephrobacter* may similarly result in a lower  
531 exposure for members of this genus.

532

533 In contrast to the apparent tolerance of *Aeromonas* species to the antibiotic exposure, bacteria  
534 belonging to the genera *Comomonas*, *Kosakonia* and *Sphingobacterium* that were part of the  
535 core in control *L. terrestris* were not detected in cocktail-treated individuals. This absence



536 suggests a possible antibiotic sensitivity of these strains. No antibiotic resistance genes have  
537 been annotated in environmental isolates of *Comamonas* [83] and we could not find reports  
538 of resistance traits in environmental *Kosakonia* and *Sphingobacterium* strains. The genus  
539 *Pseudochrobactrum*, however, was not detected in control individuals but was present in all  
540 cocktail-treated individuals (NA) suggesting that antibiotic treatment potentially promoted  
541 the growth of this putatively multi-antibiotic resistant group to densities above the limit of  
542 detection of the spread plate. We could not find any previous descriptions of the resistance of  
543 *Pseudochrobactrum* to the antibiotics used here. Further characterization is required to verify  
544 the antibiotic resistance profile of this group and to explore the earthworm as a bacterial  
545 environment conducive to acquisition of antibiotic resistance genes, particularly under the  
546 pressure of antibiotic selection [84].

547

#### 548 **4.1 Conclusion**

549 We have shown that it is possible, across three ecologically-contrasting earthworm species  
550 (*E. fetida*, *L. terrestris*, *A. chlorotica*), to significantly reduce the abundance of earthworm-  
551 associated culturable microorganisms through a 96 h exposure of earthworm individuals to a  
552 cocktail of antibiotics containing cycloheximide (150 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>), ampicillin (100 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>),  
553 ciprofloxacin (50 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>), nalidixic acid (50 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>), and gentamicin (50 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>) in a semi-  
554 solid agar carrier. Abundance was reduced to below detection limits (50 CFU individual<sup>-1</sup>) in  
555 *E. fetida* and *A. chlorotica* and by >100-fold for *L. terrestris* with accompanying shifts in *L.*  
556 *terrestris* bacterial community composition. The culturable bacterial microbiome of control  
557 (non-exposed) and antibiotic cocktail-exposed *L. terrestris* individuals revealed between-  
558 individual variability in richness and diversity but also ‘core’ genera that were putatively  
559 sensitive (*Comomonas*, *Kosakonia* and *Sphingobacterium*) or resisted (*Aeromonas*,  
560 *Pseudochrobactrum*) antibiotic exposure. This characterization of the efficacy of antibiotic

561 treatment in suppressing the microbiome of *E. fetida*, *A. chlorotica* and *L. terrestris*  
562 individuals provides the foundation for future experiments aimed at understanding the  
563 importance of earthworm-associated microorganisms, be they transient gut inhabitants or  
564 more permanently-associated, for host health and ecosystem functioning.

565

## 566 **5. References**

567 [1] J.P. Curry, Grassland Invertebrates: Ecology, Influence on Soil Fertility and Effects on  
568 Plant Growth, Chapman & Hall, London, 1994.

569 [2] K.E. Lee, Earthworms: their ecology and relationships with soils and land use.,  
570 Academic Press Inc., London, 1985.

571 [3] G. Brown, I. Barois, P. Lavelle, Regulation of soil organic matter dynamics and  
572 microbial activity in the drilosphere and the role of interactions with other edaphic  
573 functional domains, Eur. J. Soil Biol. 36 (2000) 177–198.  
574 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1164-5563\(00\)01062-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1164-5563(00)01062-1).

575 [4] M.B. Bouché, Strategies lombriciennes, Ecol. Bull. (Stockholm). 25 (1977) 122–132.

576 [5] D. Thakuria, O. Schmidt, D. Finan, D. Egan, F.M. Doohan, Gut wall bacteria of  
577 earthworms: A natural selection process, ISME J. 4 (2010) 357–366.  
578 <https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2009.124>.

579 [6] N. Bottinelli, M. Hedde, P. Jouquet, Y. Capowiez, An explicit definition of earthworm  
580 ecological categories – Marcel Bouché’s triangle revisited, Geoderma. 372 (2020)  
581 114361. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2020.114361>.

582 [7] P.J. Bohlen, P.M. Groffman, T.J. Fahey, M.C. Fisk, E. Suárez, D.M. Pelletier, R.T.  
583 Fahey, Ecosystem Consequences of Exotic Earthworm Invasion of North Temperate  
584 Forests, Ecosystems. 7 (2004) 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-003-0126-z>.

585 [8] O. Ferlian, N. Eisenhauer, M. Aguirrebengoa, M. Camara, I. Ramirez-Rojas, F. Santos,  
586 K. Tanalgo, M.P. Thakur, Invasive earthworms erode soil biodiversity: A meta-  
587 analysis, J. Anim. Ecol. 87 (2018) 162–172. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12746>.

588 [9] G. Angst, C.W. Mueller, I. Prater, Š. Angst, J. Frouz, V. Jílková, F. Peterse, K.G.J.  
589 Nierop, Earthworms act as biochemical reactors to convert labile plant compounds into

- 590 stabilized soil microbial necromass, *Commun. Biol.* 2 (2019) 1–7.  
591 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-019-0684-z>.
- 592 [10] H. Bossuyt, J. Six, P.F. Hendrix, Protection of soil carbon by microaggregates within  
593 earthworm casts, *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 37 (2005) 251–258.  
594 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2004.07.035>.
- 595 [11] J.A. Van Veen, P.J. Kuikman, Soil structural aspects of decomposition of organic  
596 matter by micro-organisms, *Biogeochemistry.* 11 (1990) 213–233.  
597 <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00004497>.
- 598 [12] P. Jouquet, J. Dauber, J. Lagerlöf, P. Lavelle, M. Lepage, Soil invertebrates as  
599 ecosystem engineers: Intended and accidental effects on soil and feedback loops, *Appl.*  
600 *Soil Ecol.* 32 (2006) 153–164. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2005.07.004>.
- 601 [13] L. Wessels Perelo, M. Jimenez, J.C. Munch, Microbial immobilisation and turnover of  
602 <sup>15</sup>N labelled substrates in two arable soils under field and laboratory conditions, *Soil*  
603 *Biol. Biochem.* 38 (2006) 912–922. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2005.07.013>.
- 604 [14] B. Ravindran, S.M. Contreras-Ramos, G. Sekaran, Changes in earthworm gut  
605 associated enzymes and microbial diversity on the treatment of fermented tannery  
606 waste using epigeic earthworm *Eudrilus eugeniae*, *Ecol. Eng.* 74 (2015) 394–401.  
607 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2014.10.014>.
- 608 [15] P. Lavelle, C. Lattaud, D. Trigo, I. Barois, Mutualism and biodiversity in soils, *Plant*  
609 *Soil.* 170 (1995) 23–33. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02183052>.
- 610 [16] D.. Jenkinson, *The priming action*, Braunschweig-Volkenrode, 1966.
- 611 [17] Z. Abail, L. Sampedro, J.K. Whalen, Short-term carbon mineralization from endogeic  
612 earthworm casts as influenced by properties of the ingested soil material, *Appl. Soil*  
613 *Ecol.* 116 (2017) 79–86. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2017.02.022>.
- 614 [18] D.T.T. Hoang, S.L. Bauke, Y. Kuzyakov, J. Pausch, Rolling in the deep: Priming  
615 effects in earthworm biopores in topsoil and subsoil, *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 114 (2017)  
616 59–71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.06.021>.
- 617 [19] M. Nozaki, C. Miura, Y. Tozawa, T. Miura, The contribution of endogenous cellulase  
618 to the cellulose digestion in the gut of earthworm (*Pheretima hilgendorfi*:  
619 *Megascolecidae*), *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 41 (2009) 762–769.

- 620 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.01.016>.
- 621 [20] K. Fujii, K. Ikeda, S. Yoshida, Isolation and characterization of aerobic  
622 microorganisms with cellulolytic activity in the gut of endogeic earthworms, *Int.*  
623 *Microbiol.* 15 (2012) 121–130. <https://doi.org/10.2436/20.1501.01.165>.
- 624 [21] L.R. Lynd, P.J. Weimer, W.H. van Zyl, I.S. Pretorius, Microbial Cellulose Utilization:  
625 Fundamentals and Biotechnology, *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 66 (2002) 506–577.  
626 <https://doi.org/10.1128/membr.66.3.506-577.2002>.
- 627 [22] M. Tabatabai, W. Dick, Enzymes in Soil - Research and Developments in Measuring  
628 Activities, in: R. Burns, R. Dick (Eds.), *Enzym. Environ. Act. Ecol. Appl.*, Marcel  
629 Dekker, Inc., New York and Basel, 2002: pp. 567–596.  
630 <https://doi.org/10.1201/9780203904039.ch21>.
- 631 [23] S.A. Garbuz, N. V Yaroslavtseva, V.A. Kholodov, Enzymatic activity inside and  
632 outside of water-stable aggregates in soils under different land use, *Eurasian Soil Sci.*  
633 49 (2016) 367–375. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S1064229316030030>.
- 634 [24] D. Artis, Epithelial-cell recognition of commensal bacteria and maintenance of  
635 immune homeostasis in the gut, *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 8 (2008) 411–420.  
636 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nri2316>.
- 637 [25] C.L. Sansone, J. Cohen, A. Yasunaga, J. Xu, G. Osborn, H. Subramanian, B. Gold, N.  
638 Buchon, S. Cherry, Microbiota-dependent priming of antiviral intestinal immunity in  
639 *Drosophila*, *Cell Host Microbe.* 18 (2015) 571–581.  
640 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2015.10.010>.
- 641 [26] G. Tian, Y. Bi, Z. Sun, L. Zhang, Phenolic acids in the plow layer soil of strawberry  
642 fields and their effects on the occurrence of strawberry anthracnose, *Eur. J. Plant*  
643 *Pathol.* 143 (2015) 581–594. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-015-0711-y>.
- 644 [27] Z. Zhang, H. Tang, P. Chen, H. Xie, Y. Tao, Demystifying the manipulation of host  
645 immunity, metabolism, and extraintestinal tumors by the gut microbiome, *Signal*  
646 *Transduct. Target. Ther.* 4 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-019-0074-5>.
- 647 [28] R.B. Rosengaus, C.N. Zecher, K.F. Schultheis, R.M. Brucker, S.R. Bordenstein,  
648 Disruption of the termite gut microbiota and its prolonged consequences for fitness,  
649 *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 77 (2011) 4303–4312. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01886->

- 650 10.
- 651 [29] Y. Kikuchi, M. Hayatsu, T. Hosokawa, A. Nagayama, K. Tago, T. Fukatsu, Symbiont-  
652 mediated insecticide resistance, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 109 (2012) 8618–8622.  
653 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1200231109>.
- 654 [30] M.B. Lund, S.K. Davidson, M. Holmstrup, S. James, K.U. Kjeldsen, D.A. Stahl, A.  
655 Schramm, Diversity and host specificity of the Verminephrobacter-earthworm  
656 symbiosis, *Environ. Microbiol.* 12 (2010) 2142–2151. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-  
657 2920.2009.02084.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2009.02084.x).
- 658 [31] F. Viana, L.C. Paz, K. Methling, C.F. Damgaard, M. Lalk, A. Schramm, M.B. Lund,  
659 Distinct effects of the nephridial symbionts Verminephrobacter and Candidatus  
660 Nephrothrix on reproduction and maturation of its earthworm host Eisenia andrei,  
661 *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 94 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fix178>.
- 662 [32] D.A. Pass, The earthworm microbiome, Cardiff University, 2015.  
663 <http://orca.cf.ac.uk/72781/> (accessed May 24, 2021).
- 664 [33] D.R. Singleton, P.F. Hendrix, D.C. Coleman, W.B. Whitman, Identification of  
665 uncultured bacteria tightly associated with the intestine of the earthworm Lumbricus  
666 rubellus (Lumbricidae; Oligochaeta), *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 35 (2003) 1547–1555.  
667 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717\(03\)00244-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(03)00244-X).
- 668 [34] D.K. Gupta, Earthworm Biodiversity of Jharkhand : Taxonomic Description, *N Save*  
669 *Nat. to Surviv.* 8 (2013) 293–310. [www.thebioscan.in](http://www.thebioscan.in) (accessed October 26, 2017).
- 670 [35] P. Hand, W.A.A. Hayes, Production of axenic earthworms by antibiotic treatment, *Soil*  
671 *Biol. Biochem.* 19 (1987) 475–477. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717\(87\)90041-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(87)90041-1).
- 672 [36] R.A.A. Whiston, K.J.J. Seal, Rapid production of axenic specimens of the earthworm  
673 Eisenia foetida using microcrystalline cellulose as a carrier medium for antibiotics,  
674 *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 20 (1988) 407–408. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717\(88\)90025-  
675 9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(88)90025-9).
- 676 [37] S. Yasmin, D. D’Souza, Effects of Pesticides on the Growth and Reproduction of  
677 Earthworm: A Review, *Appl. Environ. Soil Sci.* 2010 (2010) 1–9.  
678 <https://doi.org/10.1155/2010/678360>.
- 679 [38] ISO, ISO 11268-1:2012 - Soil quality — Effects of pollutants on earthworms — Part

- 680 1: Determination of acute toxicity to *Eisenia fetida*/*Eisenia andrei*, (2012).  
681 <https://www.iso.org/standard/53527.html> (accessed October 31, 2019).
- 682 [39] E. Sherlock, Key to the earthworms of the UK and Ireland, Field Studies Council (13  
683 Sept. 2012), 2012. [https://www.nhbs.com/key-to-the-earthworms-of-the-uk-and-](https://www.nhbs.com/key-to-the-earthworms-of-the-uk-and-ireland-book)  
684 [ireland-book](https://www.nhbs.com/key-to-the-earthworms-of-the-uk-and-ireland-book) (accessed December 21, 2017).
- 685 [40] H.-C. Fründ, K. Butt, Y. Capowiez, N. Eisenhauer, C. Emmerling, G. Ernst, M.  
686 Potthoff, M. Schädler, S. Schrader, Using earthworms as model organisms in the  
687 laboratory: Recommendations for experimental implementations, *Pedobiologia (Jena)*.  
688 53 (2010) 119–125. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PEDOBI.2009.07.002>.
- 689 [41] T. Sizmur, E. Martin, K. Wagner, E. Parmentier, C. Watts, A.P. Whitmore, Milled  
690 cereal straw accelerates earthworm (*Lumbricus terrestris*) growth more than selected  
691 organic amendments, *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 113 (2017) 166–177.  
692 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2016.12.006>.
- 693 [42] T. Sizmur, E.L. Tilston, J. Charnock, B. Palumbo-Roe, M.J. Watts, M.E. Hodson,  
694 Impacts of epigeic, anecic and endogeic earthworms on metal and metalloid mobility  
695 and availability, *J. Environ. Monit.* 13 (2011) 266–273.  
696 <https://doi.org/10.1039/c0em00519c>.
- 697 [43] C.N. Lowe, K.R. Butt, Culture techniques for soil dwelling earthworms: A review,  
698 *Pedobiologia (Jena)*. 49 (2005) 401–413. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedobi.2005.04.005>.
- 699 [44] F. Baquero, B.R. Levin, Proximate and ultimate causes of the bactericidal action of  
700 antibiotics, *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 19 (2021) 123–132. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-020-00443-1)  
701 [020-00443-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-020-00443-1).
- 702 [45] E. Battista, *Crash Course: Pharmacology Updated Edition*, Elsevier Health Sciences,  
703 London, 2015.
- 704 [46] A.A. Miles, S.S. Misra, J.O. Irwin, The estimation of the bactericidal power of the  
705 blood, *J. Hyg. (Lond)*. 38 (1938) 732–749.  
706 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S002217240001158X>.
- 707 [47] S. Sutton, Accuracy of Plate Counts, *J. Valid. Technol.* Vol. 17 (2011) 42–46.  
708 [www.microbiol.org](http://www.microbiol.org) (accessed June 21, 2020).
- 709 [48] J.J. Kozich, S.L. Westcott, N.T. Baxter, S.K. Highlander, P.D. Schloss, Development

- 710 of a dual-index sequencing strategy and curation pipeline for analyzing amplicon  
711 sequence data on the miseq illumina sequencing platform, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*  
712 79 (2013) 5112–5120. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01043-13>.
- 713 [49] B.J. Callahan, P.J. McMurdie, M.J. Rosen, A.W. Han, A.J.A. Johnson, S.P. Holmes,  
714 DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data, *Nat.*  
715 *Methods*. 13 (2016) 581–583. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3869>.
- 716 [50] B. Callahan, Silva taxonomic training data formatted for DADA2 (Silva version 132),  
717 Zendo. (2018). <https://doi.org/http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1172783>.
- 718 [51] R Core Team, R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation  
719 for Statistical Computing, 2020. <https://www.r-project.org/> (accessed October 2,  
720 2021).
- 721 [52] P.J. McMurdie, S. Holmes, Phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible Interactive  
722 Analysis and Graphics of Microbiome Census Data, *PLoS One*. 8 (2013) e61217.  
723 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0061217>.
- 724 [53] J. Oksanen, F.B. Guillaume, M. Friendly, R. Kindt, D.P. McGlenn, P.R. Minchin, R.B.  
725 O’Hara, G.L. Simpson, P. Solymos, M. Henry, H. Stevens, E. Szoecs, H. Wagner,  
726 *vegan: Community Ecology Package*. R package version 2.4-4, 2018.  
727 [file:///C:/Users/User/Desktop/veganCommunityEcologyPackageversion2.5-  
728 3October2018.pdf](file:///C:/Users/User/Desktop/veganCommunityEcologyPackageversion2.5-3October2018.pdf).
- 729 [54] Y. Chen, Y. Peng, C.-C. Dai, Q. Ju, Biodegradation of 4-hydroxybenzoic acid by  
730 *Phomopsis liquidambari*, *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 51 (2011) 102–110.  
731 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.APSOIL.2011.09.004>.
- 732 [55] G. Angst, Š. Angst, J. Frouz, F. Peterse, K.G.J. Nierop, Preferential degradation of  
733 leaf- vs. root-derived organic carbon in earthworm-affected soil, *Geoderma*. 372  
734 (2020) 114391. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2020.114391>.
- 735 [56] R.M. Medina-Sauza, M. Álvarez-Jiménez, A. Delhal, F. Reverchon, M. Blouin, J.A.  
736 Guerrero-Analco, C.R. Cerdán, R. Guevara, L. Villain, I. Barois, Earthworms building  
737 up soil microbiota, a review, *Front. Environ. Sci.* 7 (2019) 81.  
738 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2019.00081>.
- 739 [57] J. Armalytė, J. Skerniškytė, E. Bakienė, R. Krasauskas, R. Šiugždinienė, V.

- 740 Kareivienė, S. Kerzienė, I. Klimienė, E. Sužiedėlienė, M. Ružauskas, Microbial  
741 diversity and antimicrobial resistance profile in microbiota from soils of conventional  
742 and organic farming systems, *Front. Microbiol.* 10 (2019) 892.  
743 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00892>.
- 744 [58] S. Demanèche, H. Sanguin, J. Poté, E. Navarro, D. Bernillon, P. Mavingui, W. Wildi,  
745 T.M. Vogel, P. Simonet, Antibiotic-resistant soil bacteria in transgenic plant fields,  
746 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 105 (2008) 3957–3962.  
747 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0800072105>.
- 748 [59] L. Badalucco, F. Pomarè, S. Grego, L. Landi, P. Nannipieri, Activity and degradation  
749 of streptomycin and cycloheximide in soil, *Biol. Fertil. Soils.* 18 (1994) 334–340.  
750 <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00570637>.
- 751 [60] V. Nuutinen, K.R. Butt, Interaction of *Lumbricus terrestris* L. burrows with field  
752 subdrains, in: *Pedobiologia (Jena)*, Elsevier GmbH, 2003: pp. 578–581.  
753 <https://doi.org/10.1078/0031-4056-00232>.
- 754 [61] L.R. Potvin, E.A. Lilleskov, Introduced earthworm species exhibited unique patterns  
755 of seasonal activity and vertical distribution, and *Lumbricus terrestris* burrows  
756 remained usable for at least 7 years in hardwood and pine stands, *Biol. Fertil. Soils.* 53  
757 (2017) 187–198. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-016-1173-x>.
- 758 [62] Y.G. Zhu, Y. Zhao, D. Zhu, M. Gillings, J. Penuelas, Y.S. Ok, A. Capon, S. Banwart,  
759 Soil biota, antimicrobial resistance and planetary health, *Environ. Int.* 131 (2019)  
760 105059. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.105059>.
- 761 [63] W. Li, S. Li, J. Zhong, Z. Zhu, J. Liu, W. Wang, A novel antimicrobial peptide from  
762 skin secretions of the earthworm, *Pheretima guillelmi* (Michaelsen), *Peptides.* 32  
763 (2011) 1146–1150. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.peptides.2011.04.015>.
- 764 [64] D.M. Campoli-Richards, J.P. Monk, A. Price, P. Benfield, P.A. Todd, A. Ward,  
765 Ciprofloxacin: A Review of its Antibacterial Activity, Pharmacokinetic Properties and  
766 Therapeutic Use, *Drugs.* 35 (1988) 373–447. <https://doi.org/10.2165/00003495-198835040-00003>.
- 768 [65] M. Bonnet, J.C. Lagier, D. Raoult, S. Khelaifia, Bacterial culture through selective and  
769 non-selective conditions: the evolution of culture media in clinical microbiology, *New*



- 770 Microbes New Infect. 34 (2020) 100622. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nmni.2019.100622>.
- 771 [66] F. Walsh, B. Duffy, The Culturable Soil Antibiotic Resistome: A Community of  
772 Multi-Drug Resistant Bacteria, PLoS One. 8 (2013) 65567.  
773 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0065567>.
- 774 [67] J.B. Emerson, R.I. Adams, C.M.B. Román, B. Brooks, D.A. Coil, K. Dahlhausen, H.H.  
775 Ganz, E.M. Hartmann, T. Hsu, N.B. Justice, I.G. Paulino-Lima, J.C. Luongo, D.S.  
776 Lympelopoulou, C. Gomez-Silvan, B. Rothschild-Mancinelli, M. Balk, C.  
777 Huttenhower, A. Nocker, P. Vaishampayan, L.J. Rothschild, Schrödinger's microbes:  
778 Tools for distinguishing the living from the dead in microbial ecosystems,  
779 Microbiome. 5 (2017) 86. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-017-0285-3>.
- 780 [68] L.R. Thompson, J.G. Sanders, D. McDonald, A. Amir, J. Ladau, K.J. Locey, R.J. Prill,  
781 A. Tripathi, S.M. Gibbons, G. Ackermann, J.A. Navas-Molina, S. Janssen, E.  
782 Kopylova, Y. Vázquez-Baeza, A. González, J.T. Morton, S. Mirarab, Z.Z. Xu, L.  
783 Jiang, M.F. Haroon, J. Kanbar, Q. Zhu, S.J. Song, T. Kosciolk, N.A. Bokulich, J.  
784 Lefler, C.J. Brislawn, G. Humphrey, S.M. Owens, J. Hampton-Marcell, D. Berg-  
785 Lyons, V. McKenzie, N. Fierer, J.A. Fuhrman, A. Clauset, R.L. Stevens, A. Shade,  
786 K.S. Pollard, K.D. Goodwin, J.K. Jansson, J.A. Gilbert, R. Knight, J.L. Agosto Rivera,  
787 L. Al-Moosawi, J. Alverdy, K.R. Amato, J. Andras, L.T. Angenent, D.A.  
788 Antonopoulos, A. Apprill, D. Armitage, K. Ballantine, J. Bárta, J.K. Baum, A. Berry,  
789 A. Bhatnagar, M. Bhatnagar, J.F. Biddle, L. Bittner, B. Boldgiv, E. Bottos, D.M.  
790 Boyer, J. Braun, W. Brazelton, F.Q. Brearley, A.H. Campbell, J.G. Caporaso, C.  
791 Cardona, J.L. Carroll, S.C. Cary, B.B. Casper, T.C. Charles, H. Chu, D.C. Claar, R.G.  
792 Clark, J.B. Clayton, J.C. Clemente, A. Cochran, M.L. Coleman, G. Collins, R.R.  
793 Colwell, M. Contreras, B.B. Crary, S. Creer, D.A. Cristol, B.C. Crump, D. Cui, S.E.  
794 Daly, L. Davalos, R.D. Dawson, J. Defazio, F. Delsuc, H.M. Dionisi, M.G.  
795 Dominguez-Bello, R. Dowell, E.A. Dubinsky, P.O. Dunn, D. Ercolini, R.E. Espinoza,  
796 V. Ezenwa, N. Fenner, H.S. Findlay, I.D. Fleming, V. Fogliano, A. Forsman, C.  
797 Freeman, E.S. Friedman, G. Galindo, L. Garcia, M.A. Garcia-Amado, D. Garshelis,  
798 R.B. Gasser, G. Gerds, M.K. Gibson, I. Gifford, R.T. Gill, T. Giray, A. Gittel, P.  
799 Golyshin, D. Gong, H.P. Grossart, K. Guyton, S.J. Haig, V. Hale, R.S. Hall, S.J.  
800 Hallam, K.M. Handley, N.A. Hasan, S.R. Haydon, J.E. Hickman, G. Hidalgo, K.S.  
801 Hofmockel, J. Hooker, S. Hulth, J. Hultman, E. Hyde, J.D. Ibáñez-Álamo, J.D.

802 Jastrow, A.R. Jex, L.S. Johnson, E.R. Johnston, S. Joseph, S.D. Jurburg, D.  
803 Jurelevicius, A. Karlsson, R. Karlsson, S. Kauppinen, C.T.E. Kellogg, S.J. Kennedy,  
804 L.J. Kerkhof, G.M. King, G.W. Kling, A. V. Koehler, M. Krezalek, J. Kueneman, R.  
805 Lamendella, E.M. Landon, K. Lanede Graaf, J. LaRoche, P. Larsen, B. Laverock, S.  
806 Lax, M. Lentino, I.I. Levin, P. Liancourt, W. Liang, A.M. Linz, D.A. Lipson, Y. Liu,  
807 M.E. Lladser, M. Lozada, C.M. Spirito, W.P. MacCormack, A. MacRae-Crerar, M.  
808 Magris, A.M. Martín-Platero, M. Martín-Vivaldi, L.M. Martínez, M. Martínez-Bueno,  
809 E.M. Marzinelli, O.U. Mason, G.D. Mayer, J.M. McDevitt-Irwin, J.E. McDonald, K.L.  
810 McGuire, K.D. McMahan, R. McMinds, M. Medina, J.R. Mendelson, J.L. Metcalf, F.  
811 Meyer, F. Michelangeli, K. Miller, D.A. Mills, J. Minich, S. Mocali, L. Moitinho-  
812 Silva, A. Moore, R.M. Morgan-Kiss, P. Munroe, D. Myrold, J.D. Neufeld, Y. Ni,  
813 G.W. Nicol, S. Nielsen, J.I. Nissimov, K. Niu, M.J. Nolan, K. Noyce, S.L. O'Brien, N.  
814 Okamoto, L. Orlando, Y.O. Castellano, O. Osuolale, W. Oswald, J. Parnell, J.M.  
815 Peralta-Sánchez, P. Petraitis, C. Pfister, E. Pilon-Smits, P. Piombino, S.B. Pointing,  
816 F.J. Pollock, C. Potter, B. Prithiviraj, C. Quince, A. Rani, R. Ranjan, S. Rao, A.P.  
817 Rees, M. Richardson, U. Riebesell, C. Robinson, K.J. Rockne, S.M. Rodriguezl, F.  
818 Rohwer, W. Roundstone, R.J. Safran, N. Sangwan, V. Sanz, M. Schrenk, M.D.  
819 Schrenzel, N.M. Scott, R.L. Seger, A. Seguinorlando, L. Seldin, L.M. Seyler, B.  
820 Shakhsheer, G.M. Sheets, C. Shen, Y. Shi, H. Shin, B.D. Shogan, D. Shutler, J. Siegel,  
821 S. Simmons, S. Sjöling, D.P. Smith, J.J. Soler, M. Sperling, P.D. Steinberg, B.  
822 Stephens, M.A. Stevens, S. Taghavi, V. Tai, K. Tait, C.L. Tan, N. Taş, D.L. Taylor, T.  
823 Thomas, I. Timling, B.L. Turner, T. Urich, L.K. Ursell, D. Van Der Lelie, W. Van  
824 Treuren, L. Van Zwieten, D. Vargas-Robles, R.V. Thurber, P. Vitaglione, D.A.  
825 Walker, W.A. Walters, S. Wang, T. Wang, T. Weaver, N.S. Webster, B. Wehrle, P.  
826 Weisenhorn, S. Weiss, J.J. Werner, K. West, A. Whitehead, S.R. Whitehead, L.A.  
827 Whittingham, E. Willerslev, A.E. Williams, S.A. Wood, D.C. Woodhams, Y. Yang, J.  
828 Zaneveld, I. Zarraindia, Q. Zhang, H. Zhao, A communal catalogue reveals Earth's  
829 multiscale microbial diversity, *Nature*. 551 (2017) 457–463.

830 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature24621>.

831 [69] R. Sapkota, S. Santos, P. Farias, P.H. Krogh, A. Winding, Insights into the earthworm  
832 gut multi-kingdom microbial communities, *Sci. Total Environ.* 727 (2020) 138301.  
833 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138301>.

834 [70] E. Swart, T. Goodall, P. Kille, D.J. Spurgeon, C. Svendsen, The earthworm

- 835 microbiome is resilient to exposure to biocidal metal nanoparticles, *Environ. Pollut.*  
836 267 (2020) 115633. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115633>.
- 837 [71] M. Aira, M. Pérez-Losada, J. Domínguez, Diversity, structure and sources of bacterial  
838 communities in earthworm cocoons, *Sci. Rep.* 8 (2018) 1–9.  
839 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-25081-9>.
- 840 [72] B.A. Knapp, S.M. Podmirseg, J. Seeber, E. Meyer, H. Insam, Diet-related composition  
841 of the gut microbiota of *Lumbricus rubellus* as revealed by a molecular fingerprinting  
842 technique and cloning, *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 41 (2009) 2299–2307.  
843 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.08.011>.
- 844 [73] A. Singh, D.P. Singh, R. Tiwari, K. Kumar, R.V. Singh, S. Singh, R. Prasanna, A.K.  
845 Saxena, L. Nain, Taxonomic and functional annotation of gut bacterial communities of  
846 *Eisenia foetida* and *Perionyx excavatus*, *Microbiol. Res.* 175 (2015) 48–56.  
847 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2015.03.003>.
- 848 [74] M. Sun, H. Chao, X. Zheng, S. Deng, M. Ye, F. Hu, Ecological role of earthworm  
849 intestinal bacteria in terrestrial environments: A review, *Sci. Total Environ.* 740 (2020)  
850 140008. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140008>.
- 851 [75] S.K. Davidson, R. Powell, S. James, A global survey of the bacteria within earthworm  
852 nephridia, *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 67 (2013) 188–200.  
853 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2012.12.005>.
- 854 [76] M.B. Lund, K.U. Kjeldsen, A. Schramm, The earthworm-*Verminephrobacter*  
855 symbiosis: An emerging experimental system to study extracellular symbiosis, *Front.*  
856 *Microbiol.* 5 (2014) 128. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00128>.
- 857 [77] A.B. Meier, S. Hunger, H.L. Drake, Differential engagement of fermentative taxa in  
858 gut contents of the earthworm *Lumbricus terrestris*, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 84  
859 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01851-17>.
- 860 [78] L. Zeibich, O. Schmidt, H.L. Drake, Fermenters in the earthworm gut: Do transients  
861 matter?, *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 95 (2019) 221. <https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiy221>.
- 862 [79] M.J. Saavedra, S. Guedes-Novais, A. Alves, P. Rema, M. Tação, A. Correia, A.  
863 Martínez-Murcia, Resistance to  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotics in *Aeromonas hydrophila* isolated  
864 from rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), *Int. Microbiol.* 7 (2004) 207–211.

- 865 <https://doi.org/10.2436/im.v7i3.9472>.
- 866 [80] M. Zdanowicz, Z.J. Mudryk, P. Perliński, Abundance and antibiotic resistance of  
867 *Aeromonas* isolated from the water of three carp ponds, *Vet. Res. Commun.* 44 (2020)  
868 9–18. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11259-020-09768-x>.
- 869 [81] T. Skwor, S. Stringer, J. Haggerty, J. Johnson, S. Duhr, M. Johnson, M. Seckinger, M.  
870 Stemme, Prevalence of potentially pathogenic antibiotic-resistant *aeromonas* spp. in  
871 treated urban wastewater effluents versus recipient riverine populations: A 3-year  
872 comparative study, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 86 (2020) 2053–2072.  
873 <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02053-19>.
- 874 [82] D.H. Marks, E.L. Cooper, *Aeromonas hydrophila* in the Coelomic Cavity of the  
875 Earthworms *Lumbricus terrestris* and *Eisenia foetida*, *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* 29 (1977)  
876 382–383. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2011\(77\)80047-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2011(77)80047-5).
- 877 [83] Y. Jiang, J. Wang, S. Muhammad, A. Zhou, R. Hao, Y. Wu, How do earthworms  
878 affect decomposition of residues with different quality apart from fragmentation and  
879 incorporation?, *Geoderma.* 326 (2018) 68–75.  
880 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2018.04.013>.
- 881 [84] A.H.A.M. Van Hoek, D. Mevius, B. Guerra, P. Mullany, A.P. Roberts, H.J.M. Aarts,  
882 Acquired antibiotic resistance genes: An overview, *Front. Microbiol.* 2 (2011).  
883 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2011.00203>.

## 884 **6. Acknowledgements**

885 This study acknowledges PhD studentship funding from the Scenario NERC Doctoral  
886 Training Partnership grant NE/L002566/1.

Figures 1-4


[Click here to access/download;Figure;Axenic worms figures 1-4.docx](#) 

Table 1. Antibiotic types and concentrations used to amend agar media for the production of suppressed-microbiome earthworms

<b>Antibiotic</b>	<b>Antibiotic concentration (<math>\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}</math> agar)</b>
Cycloheximide	150 <sup>a</sup>
Ampicillin	100
Ciprofloxacin	50
Nalidixic acid	50 <sup>a</sup>
Gentamicin	50 <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Antibiotic concentration used in Whiston and Seal (1988)

**Declaration of interests**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests: