

Mycorrhizal type of woody plants influences understory species richness in British broadleaved woodlands

Article

Published Version

Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0 (CC-BY)

Open Access

Guy, P., Sibly, R. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6828-3543>, Smart, S. M., Tibbett, M. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0143-2190> and Pickles, B. J. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9809-6455> (2022) Mycorrhizal type of woody plants influences understory species richness in British broadleaved woodlands. *New Phytologist*, 235 (5). pp. 2046-2053. ISSN 1469-8137 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.18274> Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/105129/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/nph.18274>

Publisher: Wiley

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).






www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

Mycorrhizal type of woody plants influences understory species richness in British broadleaved woodlands

Petra Guy^{1,2} , Richard Sibly¹ , Simon M. Smart³ , Mark Tibbett²  and Brian J. Pickles¹ 

¹School of Biological Sciences, University of Reading, Health and Life Sciences Building, Whiteknights, Reading, RG6 6EX, UK; ²School of Agriculture, Policy, and Development, University of Reading, Whiteknights, Reading, RG6 6BZ, UK; ³UK Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Library Avenue, Bailrigg, Lancaster, LA1 4AP, UK

Summary

Author for correspondence:
Petra Guy
Email: p.guy@pgr.reading.ac.uk

Received: 15 March 2022
Accepted: 12 May 2022

New Phytologist (2022)
doi: 10.1111/nph.18274

Key words: arbuscular mycorrhiza, Bunce survey, ectomycorrhiza, forest, herbaceous, mycorrhizal type, species richness, woodland.

- Mature temperate woodlands are commonly dominated by ectomycorrhizal trees, whereas understory plants predominantly form arbuscular mycorrhizal associations. Due to differences in plant–fungus compatibility between canopy and ground layer vegetation the ‘mycorrhizal mediation hypothesis’ predicts that herbaceous plant establishment may be limited by a lack of suitable mycorrhizal fungal inoculum.
- We examined plant species data for 103 woodlands across Great Britain recorded in 1971 and in 2000 to test whether herbaceous plant species richness was related to the proportion of arbuscular mycorrhizal woody plants. We compared the effect of mycorrhizal type with other important drivers of woodland plant species richness.
- We found a positive effect of the relative abundance of arbuscular mycorrhizal woody plants on herbaceous plant species richness. The size of the observed effect was smaller than that of pH. Moreover, the effect persisted over time, despite many woodlands undergoing marked successional change and increased understorey shading.
- This work supports the mycorrhizal mediation hypothesis in British woodlands and suggests that increased abundance of arbuscular mycorrhizal woody plants is associated with greater understory plant species richness.

Introduction

Temperate forests and woodlands are significant repositories of biodiversity, which is currently in decline due to human activity and climate change. Within woodlands, a greater diversity of tree species has been shown to buffer the negative effects of drought (Gazol & Camarero, 2016; Aussenac *et al.*, 2019) and increase tree productivity (Fichtner *et al.*, 2017), whilst plant diversity more broadly is an essential component of ecosystem health, productivity, and resilience to multiple types of disturbance (Hector *et al.*, 1999; Loreau *et al.*, 2001; Loreau & Hector, 2001; van der Plas, 2019). Therefore a major goal in ecology is to understand the mechanisms that determine the diversity and composition of plant communities and their stability over time.

Plant community structure and diversity are linked by complex plant–soil feedback (PSF) mechanisms (Bever *et al.*, 1997; van der Heijden *et al.*, 1998; van der Heijden & Horton, 2009) that influence both above and belowground assemblages of organisms (Hartnett & Wilson, 2002; Wardle *et al.*, 2004; Johnson *et al.*, 2005; van der Putten *et al.*, 2013; Kardol *et al.*, 2015; Ke *et al.*, 2015; Tedersoo *et al.*, 2020). For example, tree species that acquire pathogenic root fungi at a greater rate than mutualistic fungi are more likely to suffer from negative density dependence (Chen *et al.*, 2019). An important trait that influences PSFs is the mycorrhizal type of plants (Moora, 2014).

Mycorrhizas are an ancient association between plants and mycorrhizal fungi (Lutzoni *et al.*, 2018; Strullu-Derrien *et al.*, 2018) in which host plants provide the fungi with photosynthate in exchange for access to soil nutrients and other services (van der Heijden *et al.*, 2015). An increasing number of different mycorrhizal types are now recognised (Kariman *et al.*, 2018), but temperate trees and other woody plants are typically either ectomycorrhizal (EM) hosts (colonised by EM fungi), or arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) hosts (colonised by AM fungi), although some plant species can associate with both (dual-mycorrhizal; Teste *et al.*, 2019). Many of these fungi can colonise multiple individual plants, forming a common mycorrhizal network (CMN) (Leake *et al.*, 2004; Simard & Durall, 2004; Simard *et al.*, 2012) capable of transferring nutrients and defence signals, and potentially providing other benefits such as drought tolerance (Finlay & Read, 1986; Gorzelak *et al.*, 2015; Gehring *et al.*, 2017; Pickles & Simard, 2017). These CMNs mediate plant community structure (Booth, 2004; McGuire, 2007; Simard, 2009) by increasing seedling survival through access to compatible mycelia growing on adjacent conspecific or heterospecific host trees (Simard *et al.*, 1997, 2012; Selosse *et al.*, 2006; McGuire, 2007; van der Heijden & Horton, 2009; Liang *et al.*, 2020).

A growing body of evidence indicates that mycorrhizal associations and CMNs tend to produce different responses in their hosts, with EM associations commonly generating positive to

neutral PSFs and AM associations neutral to negative PSFs (van der Heijden & Horton, 2009; Bennett *et al.*, 2017; Teste *et al.*, 2017; Kadowaki *et al.*, 2018). Haskins & Gehring (2005) demonstrated that pinyon pine (*Pinus edulis*) seedlings, an EM host, were less colonised by EM fungi when growing near AM type trees. In other words, the sources of EM fungal inoculum were limited in soil in which AM type hosts were dominant. Similarly, the successful colonisation of AM-dominated grasslands (Thiet & Boerner, 2007) and heath (Collier & Bidartondo, 2009) by EM type seedlings may be limited by low levels of EM fungal inoculum. Weber *et al.* (2005) demonstrated that AM type trees (western redcedar; *Thuja plicata*) could be excluded from forest areas dominated by EM type trees due to a lack of AM fungal inoculum. Kovacic *et al.* (1984) found a lack of AM fungal inoculum under live EM type pines (ponderosa pine; *Pinus ponderosa*) compared with dead pines and observed a higher abundance of AM type understorey plants beneath dead rather than live pines. Similarly, Barni & Siniscalco (2000) found that AM fungal inoculum was reduced in sites that had succeeded to predominantly EM type trees. Notably, they found that AM fungal inoculum was still high in the early stages of succession when AM type trees were abundant. Therefore the establishment of plants can be influenced by the supply of compatible AM or EM fungal inoculum, with the potential to affect range dynamics of trees over sufficient timescales (Pither *et al.*, 2018).

The 'mycorrhizal mediation hypothesis' proposed by Veresoglou *et al.* (2017) suggests that AM-associated woody plants will facilitate the establishment, and therefore potentially increase the species richness, of AM-associated herbaceous plants. The relationship between AM trees and herbaceous plant species richness was first explored over 30 yr ago. Newman & Reddell (1988) found a strong positive correlation between the relative abundance of AM trees and the species richness of herbaceous plants in a study of plant communities in the Great Smoky Mountains, Tennessee (USA). More recently, Veresoglou *et al.* (2017) speculated that this positive relationship was due to mycorrhizal mediation through inoculum supply. Using data from 77 mixed broadleaf woodlands in north-western Germany, they found that the richness and abundance of herbaceous plants was positively correlated with the abundance of AM trees and woody shrubs. In a subset of the same woodlands, increasing AM tree cover (%) was not found to be related to the diversity of AMF soil communities (Grünfeld *et al.*, 2021), but did appear to influence the colonisation rate of understorey AM plant species (Grünfeld *et al.*, 2019). This suggests that mycorrhizal mediation between trees and herbaceous plants may be an important driver of herbaceous plant species richness in woodlands.

Most broadleaved woodlands in Great Britain are dominated by EM rather than AM hosts (please refer to Supporting Information Fig. S1). According to the National Forest Inventory (NFI), only a quarter of broadleaved tree cover in 2011 was provided by AM hosts (National Forest Inventory, 2012). Much of this (44%) was formed by ash (*Fraxinus excelsior*), which is currently in decline due to the invasive emerald ash borer (*Agrilus planipennis*) and *Chalara* ash dieback (*Hymenoscyphus fraxinus*). Therefore, if herbaceous plant species richness is related to AM

tree cover, this may have important consequences for woodland ecology and management in Britain.

Here we provide the first comprehensive examination of the mycorrhizal mediation hypothesis in British woodlands using the Bunce survey (Wood *et al.*, 2015). The Bunce survey has so far taken place twice, in 1971 and again in 2000. The data set has been thoroughly reviewed elsewhere (Kirby *et al.*, 2005; Smart *et al.*, 2014) and much is already known about the change in British woodlands over the past 70 yr (Hopkins & Kirby, 2007; Keith *et al.*, 2009). For example, a lack of management has tended to change the structure of woodland into more mature high forest with an increase in tree basal area, a reduction in the number of trees with small stems, and a homogenisation of plant species. In other words, a smaller number of shade-loving species has increased, and a much larger number of light-loving species has been lost, with increases in understorey trees such as holly (*Ilex aquifolium*) that shades out the understorey and can lead to a reduction in diversity. A noticeable exception to this trend was the 1987 storm in the southeast of the UK, which introduced open areas and resulted in increased herbaceous plant species richness (Smart *et al.*, 2014). However, overall, understorey species richness decreased between the surveys. Soil pH has also tended to increase between the surveys in line with national trends due to reduced sulphur deposition (Kirk *et al.*, 2010). No changes were found in mean soil organic matter, although some sites saw significant increases and fewer plots showed low levels of soil organic matter.

In general, climatic gradients are known to influence plant richness, with a general trend towards increased species richness in the south of the UK driven by energy-related variables (Albuquerque *et al.*, 2011), although these are likely to be modified by local topographic effects (O'Brien, 2000). Whilst edaphic data are part of the Bunce survey and are highly precise to the plots at 200 m² resolution, climate data would be at a much lower resolution of 5 km grid squares and would not therefore be able to explain any of the within-site, between-plot variation in the response, possibly leading to a fatally underpowered analysis. Moreover, soil pH and carbon content integrated many distal effects including climate, topography, elevation and pollutant deposition. Therefore, whilst climate would be a coarse estimate that may be the same for several sites, edaphic variables are precisely aligned with the plant data.

We therefore asked whether the abundance of AM trees and shrubs influenced herbaceous species richness, and whether this effect was detectable over the 29 yr between surveys and across a uniquely large-scale but fine-resolution sample of both less shaded and more shaded, mature woodlands. Additionally, we examined the additive and interactive effects of shading, soil organic matter, and soil pH along with the relative abundance of AM trees and shrubs to compare the effect size of the latter to these other important predictors. Our primary aim was to determine whether the relative abundance of AM trees and shrubs in British woodlands had a positive effect on herbaceous plant species richness, using long-term, large-scale monitoring data gathered across Great Britain in 1971 and again in 2001. If true, this would provide an important and independent confirmation of previous work on the mycorrhizal mediation hypothesis

(Veresoglou *et al.*, 2017; Grünfeld *et al.*, 2019). Furthermore, our approach would enable a novel exploration of the strength of any mycorrhizal mediation between trees and herbaceous plants in woodlands as a driver of herbaceous plant species richness, relative to other important factors, and whether any such effect persists over time.

Materials and Methods

Sources of data

We used the Bunce survey (Wood *et al.*, 2015), which recorded all plant species in 16 randomly placed square permanent 200 m² plots in each of 103 broadleaved seminatural woodlands across Great Britain. The Bunce survey is the only survey of its type in the UK, incorporating long-term monitoring of multiple woodlands across England, Scotland and Wales. The survey includes both biotic and abiotic data for 103 woodlands, originally selected as being a representative subset of over 2000 sites and are therefore considered to be characteristic of native British woodlands. The herbaceous plant richness comes from the recording of ground cover, which lists all plant species and seedlings of trees and shrubs (defined as individuals below 25 cm in height). Tree species in each plot are recorded separately with diameter at breast height (DBH) and number of stems in each DBH class. Additionally, soil organic matter content (SOM) and soil pH (pH) were measured from a 5 × 15 cm soil sample removed from the centre of each plot. The assignment of mycorrhizal type of the trees and woody shrubs was made after thorough scrutiny of sources cited in available trait databases (Akhmetzhanova *et al.*, 2012; Soudzilovskaia *et al.*, 2020) together with additional sources where data were scarce or lacking for British species (please refer to Methods S1). The mycorrhizal types are summarised in Table S1.

Statistical analysis

The species richness (α -diversity) for the ground flora was calculated for each 200 m² plot. The total woody canopy cover was calculated as the sum over the DBH classes multiplied by the number of stems in that class. This value was used as a proxy for shading (shading: cm). The subset of AM type trees and shrubs was extracted and the AM overstorey cover was calculated. The relative abundance of AM type trees and shrubs (RelAm: dimensionless ratio) was then the AM cover divided by the total cover. To estimate the inoculum potential of each plot, we use the correlation between shoot and root biomass. In a meta-analysis of over 786 studies a positive linear correlation was found between shoot and root biomass in woodlands (Mokany *et al.*, 2006). As most fine roots will be colonised by mycorrhizal fungi, a larger tree implies a larger fine root mass and a higher fungal colonisation. Therefore a larger tree has greater inoculum potential, that is, it is more likely to have more fungal material to produce propagules, whether those propagules are mycelia or spore-containing bodies. In addition, larger trees are generally expected to produce more carbon through photosynthesis and will be more capable of supporting larger mycorrhizal fungal

communities. Therefore, based on these aboveground–belowground links, we considered that the aboveground measure of DBH × stem count was a reasonable way of estimating the belowground contribution of AM type trees to AM fungal inoculum potential. One large tree may have the same inoculum potential as several smaller shrubs, but will also increase shading and therefore may have a negative impact on plant richness, therefore our inclusion of the shading term. Soil pH (pH: negative log of H⁺ activity) and SOM (% dry matter lost on ignition) were also extracted from the data.

To account for the nested structure of the data of plots within sites, mixed effects models were used (Gelman & Hill, 2007; Zuur *et al.*, 2009; Schielzeth & Nakagawa, 2013). The LME4 package in R (Bates *et al.*, 2015) was used for generalised linear mixed effects model (GLMM) analysis. We did not seek here to create a model that incorporated all known effects as prediction of woodland responses to a wider range of plausible drivers was not our goal. Instead, our approach was to use the mixed model to generate effect sizes to allow a comparison of a limited set of important drivers.

Site was fitted as a random intercept with pH, shading, SOM, RelAm and year as fixed effects. In a small number of cases (five sites in year 1 and six sites in year 2) there were strong correlations between explanatory variables when examined within groups (Spearman correlation > |0.80|). These sites were removed from the analysis, which reduced the between variable correlations to |0.26| (Fig. S2). As the response variable was count data, a Poisson distribution and log link was initially used. However, this resulted in an overdispersed model (Gelman & Hill, 2007; Bolker *et al.*, 2009) and therefore a negative binomial model was used after confirming the lack of overdispersion. All possible combinations of variables of a global model were explored including interaction terms between (1) year and pH, shading, and RelAm and (2) shading and RelAm. The ‘dredge’ function of the MUMIN package (Barton, 2020) was used to extract the model with the lowest Akaike Information Criterion (AIC). We used the PERFORMANCE package (Lüdecke *et al.*, 2021) to extract the conditional and marginal R² (Nakagawa & Schielzeth, 2013; Nakagawa *et al.*, 2017) of the lowest AIC model. Regression coefficients were standardised and used to assess variable importance (Nakagawa & Cuthill, 2007; Gelman, 2008; Schielzeth, 2010). Significant variables were those whose regression parameters had 95% confidence intervals that did not include zero. We also considered the square of pH as plant species richness has been shown to have a unimodal response to soil pH in woodlands (Gould & Walker, 1999; Pepler-Lisbach & Kleyer, 2009; and please refer to Fig. S3). Holly (*Ilex aquifolium*) and hawthorn (*Crataegus monogyna*) are two of the most common tree species found in British woodlands, and assignment of mycorrhizal type was considered weak for these plants. We therefore conducted a sensitivity analysis in which the mycorrhizal type was varied between AM and EM for hawthorn and AM and unknown for holly. In each instance the modelling process described above was repeated.

Spatial autocorrelation was tested by examining spline correlograms of the fitted model Pearson residuals (Bjørnstad & Falck, 2001; Zuur *et al.*, 2009). The residuals showed no increase in spatial autocorrelation at short distances (Fig. S4).




Fig. 1 Effect of key explanatory factors on the understory richness of herbaceous plants using standardised regression coefficients with 95% confidence intervals. The explanatory variables were centred such that 1SD change in the variable results in the effect size change in the response (SD pH = 1.22, SD relative abundance of AM type trees and shrubs (RelAm) = 0.34). The relative abundance of arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) trees and shrubs has a significant positive effect, as does soil pH. The effect of soil organic matter (SOM) is not significant. The effect of year, and the interaction between year and pH are negative. Conditional R^2 0.492, marginal R^2 0.114.

Results

Effect of canopy mycorrhizal type on understory herbaceous species richness

The AIC ‘best’ model (lowest AIC) of understory herb α -diversity contained pH, RelAm, SOM, year and the interaction between year and pH (Fig. 1); details of all six models with $\Delta\text{AIC} \leq 2$ are provided in Table S2. The relative abundance of AM trees and shrubs (RelAm) had a significant positive effect on understory herb species richness, as did the soil pH, whereas the effect due to SOM was not significant. The effect of year, and the interaction between year and pH were both negative and significant. In the set of six candidate models (models within $\Delta\text{AIC} \leq 2$ of the AIC ‘best’ model) the same effects and interaction term were always significant, and neither SOM nor shading were statistically significant (Table S2). Using the transformation of pH to pH^2 did not decrease AIC or increase R^2 in any model. The effect size for the random effect of site was larger than that of the explanatory variables (3.24 ± 0.042), indicating that unknown site-specific factors explained variation in understory richness in addition to the fixed effects. Sensitivity analyses revealed that the models were not sensitive to changes in the mycorrhizal status of *Ilex aquifolium* or *Crataegus monogyna*, and changes in the mycorrhizal type did not alter the variable set in the model with the lowest AIC (Table S3).

Discussion

We asked whether the proportion of AM type trees and woody shrubs affected herbaceous plant species richness in British broadleaved woodlands. We found that, in agreement with the mycorrhizal mediation hypothesis (Veresoglou *et al.*, 2017), the

proportion of AM type trees and shrubs had a positive effect on herbaceous plant species richness. An important outcome of our approach was that it revealed the temporal consistency of this positive effect over the three decades between surveys. We were also able to show, for the first time, how the strength of the effect due to woody plant mycorrhizal type compared with other factors known to influence herbaceous plant species richness.

In this analysis we considered shading, SOM content and soil pH. Soil pH had the strongest effect, and a negative interaction with year. The positive effect of soil pH is seen because woodlands tend to have a lower pH, which is optimal for plant richness. In the Bunce woodlands, for example, the median soil pH is *c.* pH 4.75, whereas maximum plant richness is seen at between pH 5.5 and 6.0. Therefore, any increase in soil pH would correlate with an increase in plant richness. The negative interaction with year indicated that the positive effect of soil pH decreased across the 2 yr of the survey. This is probably due to increased shading in the woodlands. As woodland shading increases, the plant community shifts to more shade tolerant species. Therefore, any richness response to soil pH occurs within this limited community. This could have the effect of suppressing herbaceous plants response to pH variability.

The significant negative effect of year on understory plant species richness was expected and reflects the general reduction in herbaceous richness seen in these woodlands between the 2 Bunce survey years. In our models, the interaction terms between the relative abundance of AM type trees and soil pH, year or shading, were either not contained in or were not significant in any models within $\Delta\text{AIC} \leq 2$, demonstrating that the RelAm effect was robust, despite the successional changes in these woodlands. In previous explorations of the mycorrhizal mediation hypothesis, a significant positive correlation between AM type woody plants and herbaceous species was found in mature ancient woodlands

(Veresoglou *et al.*, 2017). We found that the effect of the mycorrhizal type of the canopy was more important than shading, in both mature and less mature woodlands, suggesting that mycorrhizal mediation affects understory richness of both shaded and unshaded plant communities.

In our study we quantified the amount of AM type woody plants to link above ground plant abundance with belowground AM fungal inoculum potential, and thereby build on previous work to address the mycorrhizal mediation hypothesis (Veresoglou *et al.*, 2017). However, if the abundance of woody plants does indeed imply greater abundance of AM fungi, it may in turn imply greater AM fungal richness, assuming richness is positively correlated with abundance. AM plant diversity has been shown to correlate with AM fungal diversity through differential resource acquisition (van der Heijden *et al.*, 1998; Kernaghan, 2005). For example, grassland plant richness has been found to be positively correlated with AM fungal richness (Hiiesalu *et al.*, 2014). We note that this effect is not consistent, other studies have found no relationship between aboveground plant richness and AM fungal diversity (Öpik *et al.*, 2008) or found a significant relationship with plant diversity rather than plant richness (Mirzaei & Moradi, 2017). Alternatively, a negative relationship between plant richness and mycorrhiza formation has been found in temperate grasslands (Leon *et al.*, 2022). Plant responses to AM fungi vary, so any plant diversity response may depend on soil conditions and AM fungal species identity (Vogelsang *et al.*, 2006). We could not ascertain in this work whether the PSF mechanism driving understory richness was inoculum potential through AM fungal abundance or niche exploitation through AM fungal richness, therefore future work could examine empirical data on both AM fungal richness and inoculum potential and explore the correlations between AM woody plant cover and AM fungal richness. Interestingly, Mirzaei & Moradi (2017) measured spore density and found a significant relationship between AM fungal spore density and plant diversity, but not plant richness. In that work, plant richness was only significantly correlated with AM fungal colonisation, which could also be considered as a measure of inoculum potential.

In our analysis, the effect size for the random intercept was greater than that of any of the fixed effects, suggesting that historical legacies and local landscape scale effects are likely to have been important drivers of woodland plant species richness. The importance of these factors in British woodlands has been demonstrated by several authors. For example, Peterken & Game (1984) found that ancient woodlands in Lincolnshire, in the east of England, had greater understorey species richness, as did newer woods connected to ancient woodlands, whereas isolated newer woodlands were species poor. Woodland species tend to have poor dispersal characteristics (Kimberley *et al.*, 2014), implying that, unless habitat connectivity is high, these species may fail to colonise new woodlands. Similarly, Petit *et al.* (2004) found that woodland plant species richness in England is correlated with woodland patch size; however, the authors also found that this effect did not persist for upland woods, where light and soil pH were more important. Other factors known to influence

woodland plant richness include disturbance (Boch *et al.*, 2013) or windthrow (Smart *et al.*, 2014), nitrogen deposition, shading, habitat heterogeneity and land use around the woodland (Dzwonko & Loster, 1988; Petit *et al.*, 2004; Brudvig *et al.*, 2009). All these factors will increase the between-site variance and contribute to the effect size of the random intercept.

The positive effect of the proportion of AM trees and shrubs on herb species richness supports previous findings (Newman & Reddell, 1988; Veresoglou *et al.*, 2017) and further strengthens the case for the mycorrhizal mediation hypothesis by demonstrating this effect for the first time across over 100 British woodlands and 30 yr. The importance of identifying tree mycorrhizal type as a driver of understory species richness is that, unlike edaphic or climatic properties for example, it is a factor over which we can exert control in woodland management. If management plans depend on natural regeneration, then in a fragmented landscape and in woodlands dominated by a low diversity of EM type trees, AM type trees could be excluded with a negative effect on herbaceous plant species richness. This work suggests that the relatively straightforward practice of interplanting AM type hosts may be a tractable approach to increase woodland biodiversity. Or, when planning to plant new woodlands, the proportion of AM type and EM type hosts could be considered from the perspective of their influence on understory plant biodiversity.

We have shown that herb species richness is positively associated with the proportion of AM type trees and shrubs in British woodlands, and for the first time we show that this effect is robust across 30 yr of woodland succession. Our study builds on and expands previous work that has shown a link between overstorey mycorrhizal type and understorey species richness (Newman & Reddell, 1988; Veresoglou *et al.*, 2017; Grünfeld *et al.*, 2019). Finally, our results demonstrate that the effect due to AM type trees and shrubs is significant when compared with other important drivers of woodland plant species richness across a large-scale national gradient of climate, soil and woodland type.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Stavros Veresoglou and two anonymous referees for helpful and constructive comments that have greatly improved the manuscript. Funding for this work was provided through the NERC Quantitative Methods in Ecology and Evolution Centre for Doctoral Training (NERC grant: NE/P012345/1).






Author contributions

PG, BJP and MT designed the project, PG carried out the modelling and wrote the manuscript with contributions from BJP, SMS and RS. All authors reviewed and commented on the manuscript.

Competing interests

None declared.

ORCID

Petra Guy  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1204-3572>
 Brian J. Pickles  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9809-6455>
 Richard Sibly  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6828-3543>
 Simon M. Smart  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2750-7832>
 Mark Tibbett  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0143-2190>

Data availability

The Bunce survey is available from the UK Centre for Ecology and Hydrology <https://catalogue.ceh.ac.uk/documents/ddff0f17-c95d-4415-80cb-aa9487edcb06>.

References

- Akhmetzhanova AA, Soudzilovskaia NA, Onipchenko VG, Cornwell WK, Agafonov VA, Selivanov IA, Cornelissen JHC. 2012. A rediscovered treasure: mycorrhizal intensity database for 3000 vascular plant species across the former Soviet Union. *Ecology* 93: 689–690.
- Albuquerque FS, Olalla-Tárraga MÁ, Montoya D, Rodríguez MÁ. 2011. Environmental determinants of woody and herb plant species richness patterns in Great Britain. *Ecoscience* 18: 394–401.
- Aussenac R, Bergeron Y, Gravel D, Drobyshyev I. 2019. Interactions among trees: a key element in the stabilising effect of species diversity on forest growth. *Functional Ecology* 33: 360–367.
- Barni E, Siniscalco C. 2000. Vegetation dynamics and arbuscular mycorrhiza in old-field successions of the western Italian Alps. *Mycorrhiza* 10: 63–72.
- Barton K. 2020. *MuMIn: multi-model inference*. R package v.1.43.17. [WWW document] URL <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=MuMIn> [accessed 6 June 2022].
- Bates D, Bolker B, Walker S. 2015. Fitting linear mixed effects models using LME4. *Journal of Statistical Software* 67: 1–48.
- Bennett JA, Maherali H, Reinhart KO, Lekberg Y, Hart MM, Klironomos J. 2017. Plant-soil feedbacks and mycorrhizal type influence temperate forest population dynamics. *Science* 69: 381–386.
- Bever JD, Westover KM, Antonovics J. 1997. Incorporating the soil community into plant population dynamics: the utility of the feedback approach. *Journal of Ecology* 85: 561–573.
- Bjørnstad ON, Falck W. 2001. Nonparametric spatial covariance functions: estimation and testing. *Environmental and Ecological Statistics* 8: 53–70.
- Boch S, Prati D, Müller J, Socher S, Baumbach H, Buscot F, Gockel S, Hemp A, Hessenmöller D, Kalko EKV *et al.* 2013. High plant species richness indicates management-related disturbances rather than the conservation status of forests. *Basic and Applied Ecology* 14: 496–505.
- Bolker BM, Brooks ME, Clark CJ, Geange SW, Poulsen JR, Stevens MHH, White JSS. 2009. Generalized linear mixed models: a practical guide for ecology and evolution. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 24: 127–135.
- Booth MG. 2004. Mycorrhizal networks mediate overstorey-understorey competition in a temperate forest. *Ecology Letters* 7: 538–546.
- Brudvig LA, Damschen EL, Tewksbury JJ, Haddad NM, Levey DJ. 2009. Landscape connectivity promotes plant biodiversity spill over into non-target habitats. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 106: 9328–9332.
- Chen L, Swenson NG, Ji N, Mi X, Ren H, Guo L, Ma K. 2019. Differential soil fungus accumulation and density dependence of trees in a subtropical forest. *Science* 366: 124–128.
- Collier FA, Bidartondo M. 2009. Waiting for fungi: the ectomycorrhizal invasion of lowland heathlands. *Journal of Ecology* 97: 950–963.
- Dzwonko Z, Loster S. 1988. Species richness of small woodlands on the western Carpathian foothills. *Vegetatio* 76: 15–27.
- Fichtner A, Härdtle W, Li Y, Bruelheide H, Kunz M, von Oheimb G. 2017. From competition to facilitation: how tree species respond to neighbourhood diversity. *Ecology Letters* 20: 892–900.
- Finlay RD, Read DJ. 1986. The structure and function of the vegetative mycelium of ectomycorrhizal plants: I. Translocation of ¹⁴C-labelled carbon between plants interconnected by a common mycelium. *New Phytologist* 103: 143–156.
- Gazol A, Camarero JJ. 2016. Functional diversity enhances silver fir growth resilience to an extreme drought. *Journal of Ecology* 104: 1063–1075.
- Gehring CA, Sthultz CM, Flores-Rentería L, Whipple AV, Whitham TG. 2017. Tree genetics defines fungal partner communities that may confer drought tolerance. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 114: 11169–11174.
- Gelman A. 2008. Scaling regression inputs by dividing by two standard deviations. *Statistics in Medicine* 27: 2865–2873.
- Gelman A, Hill J. 2007. *Data analysis using regression and multilevel/hierarchical models*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Gozelak MA, Asay AK, Pickles BJ, Simard SW. 2015. Inter-plant communication through mycorrhizal networks mediates complex adaptive behaviour in plant communities. *AoB Plants* 7: 1–13.
- Gould WA, Walker MD. 1999. Plant communities and landscape diversity along a Canadian arctic river. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 10: 537–548.
- Grünfeld L, Magkdi M, Wulf M, Hempel S, Veresoglou SD. 2021. Disentangling the relative importance of spatio-temporal parameters and host specificity in shaping arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus communities in a temperate forest. *Mycorrhiza* 31: 589–598.
- Grünfeld L, Wulf M, Rillig MC, Manntschke A, Veresoglou SD. 2019. Neighbours of arbuscular-mycorrhizal associating trees are colonized more extensively by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi than their conspecifics in ectomycorrhiza dominated stands. *New Phytologist* 227: 10–13.
- Hartnett DC, Wilson GWT. 2002. The role of mycorrhizas in plant community structure and dynamics: lessons from grasslands. *Plant and Soil* 244: 319–331.
- Haskins KE, Gehring CA. 2005. Evidence for mutualist limitation: the impacts of conspecific density on the mycorrhizal inoculum potential of woodland soils. *Oecologia* 145: 123–131.
- Hector A, Schmid B, Beierkuhnlein C, Caldeira MC, Diemer M, Dimitrakopoulos PG, Finn JA, Freitas H, Giller PS, Good J *et al.* 1999. Plant diversity and productivity experiments in European grasslands. *Science* 286: 1123–1127.
- van der Heijden MGA, Horton TR. 2009. Socialism in soil? The importance of mycorrhizal fungal networks for facilitation in natural ecosystems. *Journal of Ecology* 97: 1139–1150.
- van der Heijden MGA, Martin FM, Selosse M-A, Sanders IR. 2015. Mycorrhizal ecology and evolution: the past, the present, and the future. *New Phytologist* 205: 1406–1423.
- van der Heijden MGA, Streitwolf-Engel R, Boller T, Wiemken A, Sanders I. 1998. Mycorrhizal fungal diversity determines plant biodiversity, ecosystem variability and productivity. *Nature* 396: 69–72.
- Hiiesalu I, Pärtel M, Davison J, Gerhold P, Metsis M, Moora M, Öpik M, Vasar M, Zobel M, Wilson SD. 2014. Species richness of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi: associations with grassland plant richness and biomass. *New Phytologist* 203: 233–244.
- Hopkins JJ, Kirby KJ. 2007. Ecological change in British broadleaved woodland since 1947. *Ibis* 149: 29–40.
- Johnson D, Ijdo M, Genney D, Anderson IC, Alexander IJ. 2005. How do plants regulate the function, community structure, and diversity of mycorrhizal fungi? *Journal of Experimental Botany* 56: 1751–1760.
- Kadowaki K, Yamamoto S, Sato H, Tanabe AS, Hidaka A, Toju H. 2018. Mycorrhizal fungi mediate the direction and strength of plant–soil feedbacks differently between arbuscular mycorrhizal and ectomycorrhizal communities. *Communications Biology* 1: 1–5.
- Kardol P, Veen GF, Teste FP, Perring MP. 2015. Peeking into the black box: a trait-based approach to predicting plant–soil feedback. *New Phytologist* 206: 1–4.
- Karimán K, Barker SJ, Tibbett M. 2018. Structural plasticity in root–fungal symbioses: diverse interactions lead to improved plant fitness. *PeerJ* 6: e6030.
- Ke PJ, Miki T, Ding TS. 2015. The soil microbial community predicts the importance of plant traits in plant–soil feedback. *New Phytologist* 206: 329–341.
- Keith SA, Newton AC, Morecroft MD, Bealey CE, Bullock JM. 2009. Taxonomic homogenization of woodland plant communities over 70 years. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 276: 3539–3544.

- Kernaghan G. 2005. Mycorrhizal diversity: cause and effect? *Pedobiologia* 49: 511–520.
- Kimberley A, Blackburn AG, Whyatt DJ, Smart SM. 2014. Traits of plant communities in fragmented forests: the relative influence of habitat spatial configuration and local abiotic conditions. *Journal of Ecology* 102: 632–640.
- Kirby KJ, Smart SM, Black HIJ, Bunce RGH, Corney PM, Smithers RJ. 2005. Long term ecological change in British woodland (1971–2001). A re-survey and analysis of change based on 103 sites in the Nature Conservancy 'Bunce 1971' woodland survey. *English Nature Research Reports* 653: 139.
- Kirk GJD, Bellamy PH, Lark RM. 2010. Changes in soil pH across England and Wales in response to decreased acid deposition. *Global Change Biology* 16: 3111–3119.
- Kovacic DA, St John TV, Dyer MI. 1984. Lack of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal inoculum in a ponderosa pine forest. *Ecology* 65: 1755–1759.
- Leake J, Johnson D, Donnelly D, Muckle G, Boddy L, Read D. 2004. Networks of power and influence: the role of mycorrhizal mycelium in controlling plant communities and agroecosystem functioning. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 82: 1016–1045.
- Leon D, Bueno CG, Zobel M, Bennett JA, Puglielli G, Davison J, Riibak K, Pärtel M, Moora M. 2022. Plant diversity but not productivity is associated with community mycorrhization in temperate grasslands. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 33: 1–11.
- Liang M, Johnson D, Burslem DFRP, Yu S, Fang M, Taylor JD, Taylor AFS, Helgason T, Liu X. 2020. Soil fungal networks maintain local dominance of ectomycorrhizal trees. *Nature Communications* 11: 1–7.
- Loreau M, Hector A. 2001. Partitioning selection and complementarity in biodiversity experiments. *Nature* 412: 72–76.
- Loreau M, Naeem S, Inchausti P, Bengtsson J, Grime JP, Hector A, Hooper DU, Huston MA, Raffaelli D, Schmid B *et al.* 2001. Ecology: biodiversity and ecosystem functioning: current knowledge and future challenges. *Science* 294: 804–808.
- Lüdecke D, Ben-Shachar M, Patil I, Waggoner P, Makowski D. 2021. PERFORMANCE: an R package for assessment, comparison and testing of statistical models. *Journal of Open-Source Software* 6: 3139.
- Lutizoni F, Nowak MD, Alfaro ME, Reeb V, Miadlikowska J, Krug M, Arnold AE, Lewis LA, Swofford DL, Hibbett D *et al.* 2018. Contemporaneous radiations of fungi and plants linked to symbiosis. *Nature Communications* 9: 1–11.
- McGuire K. 2007. Common ectomycorrhizal networks may maintain monodominance in a tropical rain forest. *Ecology* 88: 567–574.
- Mirzaei J, Moradi M. 2017. Relationships between flora biodiversity, soil physiochemical properties, and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) diversity in a semi-arid forest. *Plant Ecology and Evolution* 150: 151–159.
- Mokany K, Raison RJ, Prokushkin AS. 2006. Critical analysis of root: shoot ratios in terrestrial biomes. *Global Change Biology* 12: 84–96.
- Moora M. 2014. Mycorrhizal traits and plant communities: perspectives for integration. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 25: 1126–1132.
- Nakagawa S, Cuthill IC. 2007. Effect size, confidence interval and statistical significance: a practical guide for biologists. *Biological Reviews* 82: 591–605.
- Nakagawa S, Johnson PCD, Schielzeth H. 2017. The coefficient of determination R² and intra-class correlation coefficient from generalized linear mixed-effects models revisited and expanded. *Journal of the Royal Society Interface* 14: 1–11.
- Nakagawa S, Schielzeth H. 2013. A general and simple method for obtaining R² from generalized linear mixed-effects models. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 4: 133–142.
- National Forest Inventory. 2012. *NFI preliminary estimates of quantities of broadleaved species in British woodlands, with special focus on ash*. Edinburgh, UK: Forestry Commission.
- Newman EI, Reddell P. 1988. Relationship between mycorrhizal infection and diversity in vegetation: evidence from the great smoky mountains. *Functional Ecology* 2: 259.
- O'Brien E. 2000. Climatic gradients in woody plant (tree and shrub) diversity: water - energy dynamics, residual variation, and topography. *Oikos* 89: 588–600.
- Öpik M, Moora M, Zobel M, Saks Ü, Wheatley R, Wright F, Daniell T. 2008. High diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in a boreal herb-rich coniferous forest. *New Phytologist* 179: 867–876.
- Peppler-Lisbach C, Kleyer M. 2009. Patterns of species richness and turnover along the pH gradient in deciduous forests: testing the continuum hypothesis. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 20: 984–995.
- Peterken GF, Game M. 1984. Historical factors affecting the number and distribution of vascular plant species in the woodlands of central Lincolnshire. *The Journal of Ecology* 72: 155.
- Petit S, Griffiths L, Smart S, Smith GM, Stuart RC, Wright SW. 2004. Effects of area and isolation of woodland patches on herbaceous plant species richness across Great Britain. *Landscape Ecology* 19: 463–471.
- Pickles BJ, Simard SW. 2017. Mycorrhizal networks and forest resilience to drought. In: Johnson NC, Gehring C, Jansa J, eds. *Mycorrhizal mediation of soil*. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: Elsevier, 319–339.
- Pither J, Pickles BJ, Simard SW, Ordóñez A, Williams JW. 2018. Below-ground biotic interactions moderated the postglacial range dynamics of trees. *New Phytologist* 220: 1148–1160.
- van der Plas F. 2019. Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning in naturally assembled communities. *Biological Reviews* 94: 1220–1245.
- van der Putten WH, Bardgett RD, Bever JD, Bezemer TM, Casper BB, Fukami T, Kardol P, Klironomos JN, Kulmatiski A, Schweitzer JA *et al.* 2013. Plant-soil feedbacks: the past, the present and future challenges. *Journal of Ecology* 101: 265–276.
- Schielzeth H. 2010. Simple means to improve the interpretability of regression coefficients. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 1: 103–113.
- Schielzeth H, Nakagawa S. 2013. Nested by design: model fitting and interpretation in a mixed model era. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 4: 14–24.
- Selosse MA, Richard F, He X, Simard SW. 2006. Mycorrhizal networks: des liaisons dangereuses? *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 21: 621–628.
- Simard SW. 2009. The foundational role of mycorrhizal networks in self-organization of interior Douglas-fir forests. *Forest Ecology and Management* 258: 95–107.
- Simard SW, Beiler KJ, Bingham MA, Deslippe JR, Philip LJ, Teste FP. 2012. Mycorrhizal networks: mechanisms, ecology and modelling. *Fungal Biology Reviews* 26: 39–60.
- Simard SW, Durall DM. 2004. Mycorrhizal networks: a review of their extent, function, and importance. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 82: 1140–1165.
- Simard SW, Perry DA, Smith JE, Molina R. 1997. Effects of soil trenching on occurrence of ectomycorrhizas on *Pseudotsuga menziesii* seedlings grown in mature forests of *Betula papyrifera* and *Pseudotsuga menziesii*. *New Phytologist* 136: 327–340.
- Smart SM, Ellison AM, Bunce RGH, Marrs RH, Kirby KJ, Kimberley A, Scott AW, Foster DR. 2014. Quantifying the impact of an extreme climate event on species diversity in fragmented temperate forests: the effect of the October 1987 storm on British broadleaved woodlands. *Journal of Ecology* 102: 1273–1287.
- Soudzilovskaia NA, Vaessen S, Barcelo M, He J, Rahimlou S, Abarenkov K, Brundrett MC, Gomes SI, Merckx V, Tedersoo L. 2020. FungalRoot: global online database of plant mycorrhizal associations. *New Phytologist* 227: 955–966.
- Strullu-Derrien C, Selosse MA, Kenrick P, Martin FM. 2018. The origin and evolution of mycorrhizal symbioses: from palaeomycology to phylogenomics. *New Phytologist* 220: 1012–1030.
- Tedersoo L, Bahram M, Zobel M. 2020. How mycorrhizal associations drive plant population and community biology. *Science* 367: eaba1223.
- Teste FP, Jones MD, Dickie IA. 2019. Dual-mycorrhizal plants: their ecology and relevance. *New Phytologist* 225: 1835–1851.
- Teste FP, Kardol P, Turner BL, Wardle DA, Zemunik G, Renton M, Laliberté E. 2017. Plant-soil feedback and the maintenance of diversity in Mediterranean-climate shrublands. *Science* 355: 173–176.
- Thiet RK, Boerner REJ. 2007. Spatial patterns of ectomycorrhizal fungal inoculum in arbuscular mycorrhizal barrens communities: implications for controlling invasion by *Pinus virginiana*. *Mycorrhiza* 17: 507–517.
- Veresoglou SD, Wulf M, Rillig MC. 2017. Facilitation between woody and herbaceous plants that associate with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in temperate European forests. *Ecology and Evolution* 7: 1181–1189.
- Vogelsang KM, Reynolds HL, Bever JD. 2006. Mycorrhizal fungal identity and richness determine the diversity and productivity of a tallgrass prairie system. *New Phytologist* 172: 554–562.

Wardle DA, Bardgett RD, Klironomous JN, Setälä H, van der Putten WH, Wall DH. 2004. Ecological linkages between aboveground and belowground biota. *Science* **304**: 1629–1623.

Weber A, Karst J, Gilbert B, Kimmins JP. 2005. *Thuja plicata* exclusion in ectomycorrhiza-dominated forests: testing the role of inoculum potential of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Oecologia* **143**: 148–156.

Wood CM, Smart SM, Bunce RG. 2015. Woodland survey of Great Britain 1971–2001. *Earth System Science Data* **7**: 203–214.

Zuur A, Ieno EN, Walker NJ, Saveliev A, Smith GM. 2009. *Mixed effects models and extensions in ecology with R*. New York, NY, USA: Springer Science and Business Media.

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

Fig. S1 Distribution of the mycorrhizal type of broadleaved tree species in woodlands in Great Britain.

Fig. S2 Correlation plot.

Fig. S3 Species richness response of understory in Bunce woodlands to soil pH.

Fig. S4 Spline correlogram showing lack of spatial autocorrelation in the dataset.

Methods S1 Brief description of the allocation of mycorrhizal type to the Bunce survey.

Table S1 Mycorrhizal type of British trees and shrubs.

Table S2 Details of the six models predicting understory species richness with $\Delta AIC < 2$.

Table S3 Sensitivity analyses.

Please note: Wiley Blackwell are not responsible for the content or functionality of any Supporting Information supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the *New Phytologist* Central Office.