

*Are you as old as your arteries?
Comparing arterial aging in Japanese and
European patient groups using cardio-
ankle vascular index*

Article

Accepted Version

Kirkham, F. A., Mills, C. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8313-3700>, Fantin, F., Tatsuno, I., Nagayama, D., Giani, A., Zamboni, M., Shirai, K., Cruickshank, J. K. and Rajkumar, C. (2022) Are you as old as your arteries? Comparing arterial aging in Japanese and European patient groups using cardio-ankle vascular index. *Journal of Hypertension*, 40 (9). pp. 1758-1767. ISSN 1473-5598 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1097/HJH.0000000000003214> Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/106492/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/HJH.0000000000003214>

Publisher: Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in

the [End User Agreement](#).

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

Abstract word count – 249 words

Text word count – 2779 words

Tables – 4

Figures – 2

Supplementary files – 3

1 **TITLE PAGE**

2 **Are you as old as your arteries? Comparing arterial aging in Japanese and European patient groups**
3 **using CAVI**

4 **Short title – Arterial aging in Japanese vs European groups**

5 **Authors**

6 **FRANCES A KIRKHAM¹ (MBBChir), CHARLOTTE MILLS² (PhD), FRANCESCO FANTIN³ (PhD), ICHIRO**
7 **TATSUNO^{4,6} (PhD), DAIJI NAGAYAMA^{5,6} (PhD), ANNA GIANI³ (MD), MAURO ZAMBONI³ (MD), KOHJI**
8 **SHIRAI⁷ (PhD), JOHN KENNEDY CRUICKSHANK² (PhD), CHAKRAVARTHI RAJKUMAR^{1,8} (PhD)**

9 **Affiliations**

10 **1 – University Hospitals Sussex NHS Foundation Trust**

11 **2 – King’s College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust**

12 **3 – Department of Medicine, Section of Geriatric Medicine, University of Verona, Italy**

13 **4 – Chiba Prefectural University of Health Sciences, Japan**

14 **5 –Nagayama Clinic, Japan**

15 **6 –Toho University Medical Center, Sakura Hospital, Japan**

16 **7 –Mihama Hospital, Japan**

17 **8 – Brighton and Sussex Medical School, University of Sussex**

18 **Funding**

19 **For the UK cohort – British Geriatrics Society and Dunhill Medical Trust**

20 **For the Japanese cohort – no funding was provided for this study.**

21 **For the Italian cohort – no funding was provided for this study.**

22 **Conflicts of interest – none**

23 We certify that this work is **A) novel**. This is the first study to directly compare raw data on arterial
24 stiffness between a European and Japanese group, showing higher levels of CAVI in the Japanese
25 group and differences across sexes and levels of cardiovascular risk.

26 **Corresponding author – Professor Rajkumar Dept Academic Geriatrics, Audrey Emerton Building,**
27 **Royal Sussex County Hospital, Eastern Road, Brighton, BN2 5BE c.rajkumar@bsms.ac.uk**

28 **Key words – arterial stiffness, cardio-ankle vascular index, vascular aging, international**
29 **comparison**

30 **Key Points – Arterial stiffness was higher in Japanese patient groups than Europeans while**
31 **controlling for cardiovascular risk factors.**

32 - Europeans had greater increases in arterial stiffness with age in healthy individuals, particularly for
33 males.
34 - The addition of cardiovascular risk factors had a greater impact on arterial aging in the Japanese
35 group.

36 **Why does this paper matter?** - This is the first study to directly compare raw data on arterial
37 stiffness between a European and Japanese group, showing higher levels of CAVI in the Japanese
38 group and differences across sexes and levels of cardiovascular risk. This offers insight into the
39 different patterns of cardiovascular disease seen across these geographic regions and highlights the
40 potential role of CAVI in demonstrating cardiovascular risk beyond traditional risk factors. Arterial
41 stiffness differs across geographic regions, as does the relationship between vascular compliance
42 with age and cardiovascular risk factors. Our study suggests that there may be inherent differences
43 in vascular structure and function between groups from different geographic regions, controlling for
44 conventional cardiovascular risk factors, which may partly explain differences in cardiovascular
45 outcomes.

46

47

48 **Author's roles**

49 **KIRKHAM** - study concept and design, acquisition of subjects and/or data, analysis and
50 interpretation of data, and preparation of manuscript.

51 **MILLS** – study concept and design, acquisition of subjects and/or data, analysis and interpretation of
52 data, and preparation of manuscript

53 **FANTIN** - study concept and design, acquisition of subjects and/or data, analysis and interpretation
54 of data, and preparation of manuscript

55 **TATSUNO** - study concept and design, acquisition of subjects and/or data, analysis and
56 interpretation of data, and preparation of manuscript

57 **NAGAYAMA** - study concept and design, acquisition of subjects and/or data, analysis and
58 interpretation of data, and preparation of manuscript

59 **GIANI** - study concept and design, acquisition of subjects and/or data, analysis and interpretation of
60 data, and preparation of manuscript

61 **ZAMBONI** - study concept and design, acquisition of subjects and/or data, analysis and
62 interpretation of data, and preparation of manuscript

63 **SHIRAI** - study concept and design, acquisition of subjects and/or data, analysis and interpretation of
64 data, and preparation of manuscript

65 **CRUICKSHANK** - study concept and design, acquisition of subjects and/or data, analysis and
66 interpretation of data, and preparation of manuscript

67 **RAJKUMAR** - study concept and design, acquisition of subjects and/or data, analysis and
68 interpretation of data, and preparation of manuscript

69 **Abstract 249 words**

70 **Background** Most comparisons of arterial stiffness between ethnic groups focus on pulse wave
71 velocity. This study used the cardio-ankle vascular index (CAVI) in European compared to Japanese
72 individuals to investigate how cardiovascular risk factors affect arterial aging across geographic
73 regions.

74 **Methods** 494 European and 1044 Japanese individuals underwent measurements of CAVI, blood
75 pressure and information on cardiovascular risk factors. Both datasets included individuals with 0-5
76 cardiovascular risk factors.

77 **Results** Average CAVI was higher in the Japanese than the European group in every age category,
78 with significant differences up to 75 years for males and 85 for females. The correlation of CAVI with
79 age, controlled for cardiovascular risk factors, was slightly higher in Japanese females ($r=0.594$ vs
80 Europeans $r=0.542$) but much higher in European males ($r=0.710$ vs Japanese $r=0.511$). There was a
81 significant correlation between CAVI and total cardiovascular risk factors in the Japanese ($r=0.141$,
82 $p<0.001$) but not the European group. On linear regression, average CAVI was significantly
83 dependent on age, sex, diabetes, BMI, SBP and geographic region. When divided into 'healthy' vs
84 'high risk', the healthy group had a steeper correlation with age for Europeans ($r=0.644$ vs Japanese
85 $r=0.472$, Fisher's Z $p<0.001$), whereas in the high-risk group, both geographic regions had similar
86 correlations.

87 **Conclusion** Japanese patient groups had higher arterial stiffness than Europeans, as measured by
88 CAVI, controlling for cardiovascular risk factors. Europeans had greater increases in arterial stiffness
89 with age in healthy individuals, particularly for males. However, cardiovascular risk factors had a
90 greater impact on the Japanese group.

91

92 **Condensed abstract (95 words)**

93 This study of 494 European and 1044 Japanese individuals used the cardio-ankle vascular index
94 (CAVI) in European compared to Japanese individuals to investigate how cardiovascular risk factors
95 affect arterial aging across regions.

96 Average CAVI was higher in the Japanese than the European group in every age category. The
97 correlation of CAVI with age, controlled for cardiovascular risk factors, was slightly higher in
98 Japanese females ($r=0.594$ vs Europeans $r=0.542$) but much higher in European males ($r=0.710$ vs
99 Japanese $r=0.511$). On linear regression, average CAVI was significantly dependent on age, sex,
100 diabetes, BMI, SBP and geographic region.

101

102

103

104 **Key words – arterial stiffness, cardio-ankle vascular index, vascular aging, international**
105 **comparison**

106

107

108 **Introduction**

109 The impact of age on cardiovascular health has been the source of inquisition for centuries, since Sir
110 Thomas Sydenham famously purported that “a man is as old as his arteries” [1]. In the past 30 years,
111 burgeoning understanding of the biomechanical configuration and function of the heart and great
112 vessels has focused on clinical consequences including the fragmentation of elastic tissue by cyclical
113 pulsatile stress and, thus, implications for blood pressure (BP) [2], cardio- and cerebro-vascular
114 disease [3]. Since the development of carotid to femoral pulse wave velocity, PWV has been
115 pronounced as the gold standard in assessment of arterial stiffness due to its well-documented
116 correlation with cardiovascular disease outcomes [4]. However, difficulties inherent to the
117 calculation of PWV relate to the heterogeneity of vessel stiffness along the arterial tree, relative
118 differences in method and its intrinsic dependence on blood pressure [5, 6]. More recently,
119 recommendations have been made for standardisation of measurements and reference values to
120 guide clinical use [7].

121 The cardio-ankle vascular index (CAVI) was established as an alternative to PWV, ostensibly less
122 blood pressure dependent and incorporating the ascending aorta to give a whole body estimation of
123 the vascular stiffness parameter β [8]. Traditionally, CAVI has been advantageous compared to PWV
124 as it has been found to be relatively independent of blood pressure at the time of measurement [9,
125 10]. However, Spronck et al recently suggested that there may be an inherent dependence on BP
126 and thus proposed a novel formula for calculating CAVI to reduce the impact of transient BP
127 fluctuations [11]. This has since been countered by Shirai et al [12]. Proposed clinical applications of
128 CAVI have concentrated on recognition and diagnosis of cardiovascular disease, with various
129 significant studies exploring its association with cardiovascular risk factors [13], largely in Asian
130 patient groups. In one study, a CAVI value over 9.0 was found to be associated with a level of
131 coronary artery stenosis of at least 75% [14]. It is known that CAVI increases with age and a
132 comprehensive study of over 32,000 participants demonstrated the relationship between CAVI and
133 biological age in a Japanese population in order to propose potential cut-off points for use in clinical
134 practice [15]. Whether different cut offs should be established for different geographical populations
135 has not yet been established, as it is as yet unclear how CAVI changes with age in different groups
136 and the potential genetic and environmental factors which may contribute to this.

137 Differences in cardiovascular risk between ethnic groups have been demonstrated across geographic
138 regions [16]. Studies have examined differences in arterial compliance between ethnic groups living
139 in the same country, finding higher levels of PWV and augmentation index in South Asians compared
140 to Europeans [17]. However, while some age-specific reference values have been proposed for CAVI
141 in a random sample of Europeans [18], compared to published data from Japan, the association with
142 age and cardiovascular risk factors has not been fully evaluated in a Caucasian compared to a
143 Japanese patient group.

144 This study measured CAVI in 494 European individuals, including the UK and Italy, and 1044
145 Japanese individuals. Both datasets included individuals with 0 to 5 cardiovascular risk factors. Our
146 aim was to assess the changes in arterial stiffness with age in a European group compared to a
147 Japanese group, and to investigate how cardiovascular risk factors affect these correlations in
148 patients from different geographic regions.

149 **Methods**

150 Data on CAVI and cardiovascular risk factors were collected from multiple sites across Europe
151 (London, South East England and Italy) and Japan (Toho University Medical Center, Sakura Hospital,

152 Japan). Patients were recruited for data collection based on a range of cardiovascular risk factors
153 including pre-diabetes and cerebrovascular events, as well as healthy individuals. The total patient
154 number was 494 from Europe (222 female, 272 male) and 1044 from Japan (519 female, 525 male).
155 This included 83 age- and sex-matched individuals with no cardiovascular risk factors from each
156 geographic region. The remaining participants had a range of cardiovascular risk factors, defined as:
157 Body mass index (BMI) greater than 30 for Caucasian patients, BMI 25 or greater for Asian patients,
158 clinic or ambulatory average blood pressure of 140/90 or more, total serum cholesterol \geq 6.2
159 millimoles per litre (mmol/l) (240 milligrams per decilitre, mg/dl) [19], fasting plasma glucose \geq
160 7.0mmol/l (126mg/dl) [18] or 2-h plasma glucose \geq 11.1mmol/l (200mg/dl) [20], previously
161 diagnosed hypertension, hyperlipidaemia or Diabetes Mellitus, known current or former smoker.
162 The average age of participants was 63.9 ± 11.4 (mean \pm SD), ranging from 16-93 years. The baseline
163 characteristics of the population are presented in Table 1.

164 Inclusion and exclusion criteria varied according to the data source, as six different trials contributed
165 data to the databank. See Appendix 1 for details. Written informed consent was obtained from all
166 participants.

167 The following were measured: medical history and cardiovascular risk factors, BMI, CAVI using
168 VaSera VS-1500N[®] (Fukuda Denshi, Japan); brachial blood pressure using OMRON705-IT.

169 **Statistical analysis**

170 Data are presented as mean +/- standard deviation with frequencies for each age group across
171 geographic regions. We used a paired t test and ANOVA to compare data between groups and
172 bivariate Pearson correlation analyses to look at the correlation between arterial stiffness and age,
173 calculated separately for each sex and corrected for cardiovascular risk factors. Correlations were
174 compared between groups using two-tailed Fisher's Z transformation. We stratified patients into
175 two groups based on the number of cardiovascular risk factors – the 'healthy' group consisted of
176 patients with 0-2 cardiovascular risk factors, while the 'high-risk' group consisted of patients with 3-5
177 cardiovascular risk factors. We performed a subgroup analysis to assess the relationship of CAVI with
178 aging in diabetic individuals from both geographic regions. We used simple linear regression
179 analyses to look at the impact of ethnic group and cardiovascular risk factors on CAVI. We used
180 binary logistic regression to look at the impact of average CAVI and cardiovascular risk factors on
181 predicting ethnic group. We used a general linear model to evaluate the impact of different age and
182 geographic groups on CAVI. CAVIO was calculated according to the method described by Spronck et
183 al. [11], adjusting for diastolic pressure to produce a pressure-normalised equation for CAVI
184 calculation. Statistical analyses were performed using a statistical software package (SPSS Version
185 24) and a p value of less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

186 **Results**

187 The average age of the European group was $65.95 (\pm 11.9)$ vs $62.92 (\pm 10.9)$ in the Japanese group.
188 There were also significant differences in the distribution of cardiovascular risk factors, with the UK
189 group having more hypertension, smokers and higher BMI while the Japanese group had more
190 hyperlipidaemia, diabetes and marginally higher diastolic BP (Table 1). There was no significant
191 difference in systolic BP or sex between groups. In the whole dataset, average CAVI was higher in the
192 Japanese group than the European group in every age group for both males and females. The
193 differences were significant on ANOVA and independent samples t test up to the age of 75 years for
194 males and 85 years for females (Table 2). The correlation of CAVI with age, controlled for
195 cardiovascular risk factors, was slightly higher in the Japanese group for females ($r=0.594$, $p<0.001$ vs

196 Europeans $r=0.542$, $p<0.001$) but much higher in the European group for males ($r=0.710$, $p<0.001$ vs
197 Japanese $r=0.511$, $p<0.001$). On two-tailed Fisher's Z transformation, this was statistically significant
198 for males with $p<0.001$ but not females.

199 When looking at the relationship of CAVI to cardiovascular risk factors, there was a significant
200 correlation in the Japanese group after correction for sex ($r=0.141$, $p<0.001$) but no significant
201 correlation in the European group (Figure 1). When divided into 'healthy' (0-2 cardiovascular risk
202 factors) vs 'high risk (3-5 cardiovascular risk factors), the Japanese group had significantly higher
203 CAVI in both groups up to the age of 75 years, however the European group overtook the Japanese
204 group in the healthy group over this age (Table 3). In the healthy group, there was a much steeper
205 correlation with age for Europeans ($r=0.644$ vs Japanese $r=0.472$, $p<0.001$ on two-tailed Fisher Z
206 transformation) after correction for sex, whereas in the high-risk group, both geographic regions had
207 similar correlations of CAVI and age (Europeans $r=0.657$ vs Japanese $r=0.619$, non-significant on
208 Fisher Z transformation). In the healthy group, there was a larger difference in correlation with age
209 for males, with a much steeper correlation in European males ($p<0.001$), whereas in the high-risk
210 group, the difference was most pronounced in females, with Japanese females having a steeper
211 correlation with age ($p=0.06$) (Figure 2).

212 On linear regression, average CAVI was significantly dependent on age, sex, diabetes, BMI, SBP and
213 geographic region (Table 4). In the European group, CAVI was significantly dependent on age, sex,
214 diabetes, BMI and systolic BP. In the Japanese group, CAVI was significantly dependent on age, sex,
215 diabetes, and systolic BP (Supplementary Material – Appendix 2a and b). On binary logistic
216 regression, average CAVI was a significant predictor of geographic region with OR 1.154 (95%
217 confidence interval 1.013-1.315, $p=0.031$) (Supplementary Material – Appendix 2c). On subgroup
218 analysis, this remained significant for males but became non-significant for females.

219 Discussion

220 Geographic differences in arterial stiffness:

221 Cardiovascular risk varies across populations from different geographic regions, with previous
222 studies showing higher stroke and ischaemic heart disease mortality in South Asian groups
223 compared to White British [16], and higher stroke mortality with lower coronary heart disease in
224 Japan compared to the US and Europe [21]. Ethnic differences in PWV have been demonstrated in
225 migrant populations to the UK, suggesting that arterial stiffness may be a key factor explaining
226 differences in cardiovascular outcomes beyond conventional cardiovascular risk factors [22].
227 However, the Helius study found that ethnic differences in PWV within the Dutch population were
228 predominately explained by conventional risk factors [23]. In the US, a large population-based
229 cohort study, the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study, has shown significant differences
230 between African-American and Caucasian-American groups including stiffer carotid arteries [24] and
231 higher cfPWV [25]. The relatively recent development of CAVI as a widely used measure of arterial
232 stiffness means that there are comparatively few in-depth studies using CAVI to assess these
233 differences. As CAVI is operator and blood pressure independent, this has the potential to offer an
234 easily-accessible tool for clinicians in assessing cardiovascular risk and thus understanding the
235 changes in CAVI that occur with age and cardiovascular risk in different cohorts could aid this
236 assessment.

237 Our study found higher levels of arterial stiffness in Japanese individuals at all age groups for both
238 sexes, with regression analyses suggesting these differences could not be explained by differences in
239 cardiovascular risk factors. This is in keeping with comparisons by Wohlfahrt et al, who compared

240 CAVI data from a random sample of the Czech population with published Japanese data, but only up
241 to the age of 65 years [18]. Although there were fewer participants in the oldest age group in our
242 study, the addition of participants up to the age of 85y+ adds significantly to the existing knowledge
243 along with the analysis of health and high risk groups. In contrast, a study comparing healthy
244 Japanese and US adults found that there were similar rates of aging between the two countries,
245 except for females, where Japanese females exhibited slower arterial stiffening [26]. However, this
246 study only went up to the age of 69 years using carotid-femoral and brachial-ankle PWV and did not
247 address the impact of cardiovascular risk factors. Our study suggests that there may be inherent
248 differences in vascular structure and function between groups from different geographic regions,
249 controlling for conventional cardiovascular risk factors, which may explain differences in
250 cardiovascular outcomes, although whether these are environmental or genetic is yet to be
251 determined.

252 In one study, family history of stroke but not ischaemic heart disease was shown to be associated
253 with higher arterial stiffness in Japanese patients, independent of other risk factors [27]. Genetic
254 influences on cardiovascular risk have been noted to differ between the two regions, for example
255 ABO gene variants in lipid profiling were included in risk profiling for Japanese but not Caucasian
256 groups [28]. Similarly, some polymorphisms have been linked to arterial stiffness in European
257 American groups but not Japanese [29]. More studies are needed to pinpoint specific genetic
258 differences between the populations if a genetic cause is suspected. As the changing cardiovascular
259 profile of Japanese individuals continues, it is likely we will be able to assess whether increasing
260 environmental similarity to European counterparts may be borne out in a more similar level of
261 cardiovascular risk or whether remaining differences can be attributed to some of these purported
262 genetic elements.

263 **Changes in CAVI with age and cardiovascular risk factors:**

264 CAVI increases with biological age, as has been shown in numerous populations, but the factors that
265 influence the gradient of this relationship are not yet fully understood. For CAVI, comparisons have
266 been presented between Japanese and Chinese populations [30], and published data is available
267 from multiple trials in Japan [17] and Korea [31], showing the increase of CAVI with age and
268 association with cardiovascular risk factors. In a Korean population, the correlation with age was
269 stronger for females compared to males, which was echoed in our findings for the Japanese group,
270 but the opposite to our European group which had a stronger correlation for males.

271 A study of Japanese participants demonstrated the influence of cardiovascular risk factors on CAVI
272 [32], but this has not been previously established in a UK group. In studies of ethnic differences
273 within the UK population, the impact of cardiovascular risk factors on arterial stiffness, measured
274 through central pulse pressure, has been shown to be greater in individuals of South Asian origin
275 compared to European and African Caribbean [33]. Our study showed that the slope of CAVI vs age
276 was steeper for Europeans in the healthy group, suggesting that baseline changes in arterial stiffness
277 with age are more pronounced in Europeans but that cardiovascular risk factors have a bigger effect
278 in the Japanese group. While currently the mortality from cardiovascular disease is lower in Japan
279 than Europe, with increasing levels of cardiovascular risk factors in Japan, these findings suggest
280 such trends may change in the near future, as the influence of this increased risk on outcomes
281 becomes evident. A CAVI of 8 or more has been proposed as a cut off for using CAVI in secondary
282 prevention of cardiovascular events in an Asian population [34] and a similar value for a UK group
283 should be determined to enable its use in clinical practice.

284 As there was a high prevalence of diabetes in the Japanese group, analysis was performed on just
285 the diabetic participants to see if the difference in prevalence of diabetes was responsible for the
286 differences between geographic groups. On binary logistic regression, average CAVI was a significant
287 predictor of geographic region for both diabetic individuals and non-diabetic individuals with OR for
288 Japanese vs European of 1.399 for diabetics (95% CI 1.142-1.715, p=0.001) and 1.362 for non-
289 diabetics (95%CI 1.180-1.572, p<0.001). On linear regression, average CAVI amongst diabetics was
290 significantly dependent on geographic region (p<0.001), age, sex and systolic BP. These results
291 suggest that the differences in levels of diabetes between groups were not responsible for the
292 overall results.

293 **CAVI vs CAVIO for comparing ethnic groups:**

294 CAVI's independence from blood pressure at the time of measurement has long been purported to
295 be one of its main advantages when compared to pulse wave velocity. However, this has been the
296 source of much debate in recent years, with work by Spronck et al [11] suggesting a new formula is
297 required to calculate a CAVI value that is truly blood pressure independent, substituting diastolic
298 blood pressure for a reference pressure. However, this was refuted by Shirai et al [12], commenting
299 that the aforementioned Japanese studies had already demonstrated CAVI's independence from BP,
300 suggesting that both the original and new formula are valid methods for calculating CAVI. Our study
301 showed similar differences between geographic groups and correlations for both CAVI and CAVIO,
302 thus the calculation of CAVIO did not change our results (Supplementary Material – Appendix 3). The
303 ARIC study suggested that CAVI was less useful as a short term prognostic indicator compared to
304 cfPWV, and found no difference between CAVI and CAVIO in predicting cardiovascular outcomes
305 [35], but did not look directly at the use of different measures in comparing arterial aging between
306 ethnic groups. The optimal tool for assessing arterial stiffness across geographic regions has yet to
307 be determined, but the operator-independence of CAVI make it a useful tool for making direct
308 comparisons, and our results suggest no advantage to CAVIO in this context.

309 CAVI and CAVIO are based on stiffness index b and a wave equation derived from Newton's second
310 law. The difference between CAVI and CAVIO is that CAVI employs b over a range of diastolic to
311 systolic pressures and CAVIO employs b at diastolic pressure. If the length of the arterial pathway
312 being measured is short enough, diastolic pressure would not significantly change. However, it is
313 known that diastolic pressure decreases, and systolic pressure increases from the origin of the aorta
314 to the peripheral arteries. Therefore, in case of the long arterial pathway, adoption of one point of
315 diastolic pressure only becomes less accurate as a reference value representing the entire length of
316 the pathway. In fact, the CAVI values of the Japanese hypertensive group were higher than those of
317 healthy group in both men and women, but the CAVIO values in young women of the hypertensive
318 group was significantly lower than that of the corresponding healthy group [12].

319 The main component of CAVIO is composed of $PWV^2/\text{diastolic pressure}$, so it is supposed that CAVIO
320 is overly negatively influenced by diastolic pressure. This might be the reason for lower CAVIO with
321 higher diastolic pressure and higher CAVIO with lower diastolic pressure.

322

323 **Limitations**

324 This was a cross-sectional study so we cannot draw conclusions about causality. Although we
325 included a large sample size, there were relatively few participants with no cardiovascular risk
326 factors, so our conclusions cannot be definitive regarding the impact of aging on arterial stiffness in
327 purely healthy individuals. However, as the majority of participants were in older age groups, we do

328 not feel this limits the applicability of our findings, as this is an accurate representation of the variety
329 of risk factors present in the aging population and thus pertinent in a real-world context. Due to the
330 nature of international collaboration, the recruitment methods for each databank varied. However,
331 strict definitions of cardiovascular risk factors were defined before the study and agreed by all
332 participating institutions, and these were considered and adjusted for in all analyses. Equally, as
333 CAVI is operator-independent and the VaSera machines were used by all participating institutions,
334 there should be no confounding due to instrumentation bias. We chose to focus purely on CAVI in
335 this study, as extensive evidence exists on comparing arterial stiffness across regions using PWV and
336 CAVI's operator-independence makes it ideal for international collaboration in this manner. The high
337 number of diabetic participants in the Japanese group may represent a confounding factor, however
338 on subgroup analyses of diabetic groups, this did not significantly affect our results as discussed.
339 Similarly, the differing definition and prevalence of BMI between the regions was determined based
340 on international guidance for the regions in question to be representative of the clinical utility of
341 these measures in the locality in question.

342

343 **Conclusion**

344 We found that arterial stiffness is higher in Japanese patient groups than Europeans, as measured by
345 CAVI while controlling for cardiovascular risk factors. Europeans had greater increases in arterial
346 stiffness with age in healthy individuals, particularly for males. However, the addition of
347 cardiovascular risk factors had a greater impact on the Japanese group. Further studies looking
348 deeper into the possible differences between these geographic areas may help to explain the results
349 and the differential patterns of cardiovascular disease seen across regions. Future trials should
350 address the association with clinical outcomes in long term prospective studies to assess prognostic
351 value of measures of arterial stiffness in different geographically-determined patient groups.

352 **Acknowledgements** none

353 **Source of Funding**

354 For the Japanese cohort – no funding was provided for this study

355 For the UK cohort – British Geriatrics Society and Dunhill Medical Trust

356 For the Italian cohort – no funding was provided for this study

357

358

359 **Conflict of interest/disclosure** none

360

361 **Novelty and Significance**

362 **What is new**

363 This is the first study to directly compare raw data on arterial stiffness between a European and
364 Japanese group, showing higher levels of CAVI in the Japanese group and differences across sexes
365 and levels of cardiovascular risk.

366 **What is relevant**

367 This offers insight into the different patterns of cardiovascular disease seen across these geographic
368 regions and highlights the potential role of CAVI in demonstrating cardiovascular risk beyond
369 traditional risk factors.

370 **Summary**

371 Arterial stiffness differs across geographic regions, as does the relationship between vascular
372 compliance with age and cardiovascular risk factors.

373

374

375 **References**

- 376 [1] Quoted in Bulletin of the New York Academy of Medicine, vol. IV, 1928
- 377 [2] O'Rourke M, Arterial stiffness, systolic blood pressure, and logical treatment of arterial
378 hypertension. *Hypertension* 1990;15 :339– 347
- 379 [3] Mattace-Raso; et al. Arterial Stiffness and Risk of Coronary Heart Disease and Stroke: The
380 Rotterdam Study. *Circulation* 2006;113: 657–663
- 381 [4] Sutton-Tyrrell K, Najjar SS, Boudreau RM et al. Elevated aortic pulse wave velocity, a marker of
382 arterial stiffness, predicts cardiovascular events in well-functioning older adults. *Circulation*
383 2005;111:3384–3390.
- 384 [5] Laurent S, Boutouyrie P, Asmar R et al. Aortic stiffness is an independent predictor of all-cause
385 and cardiovascular mortality in hypertensive patients. *Hypertension* 2001;37:1236–1241.
- 386 [6] DeLoach SS, Townsend RR. Vascular stiffness: its measurement and significance for epidemiologic
387 and outcome studies. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2008; 3:184-192.
- 388 [7] Boutouyrie P, Vermeersch SJ, et al. Determinants of pulse wave velocity in healthy people and in
389 the presence of cardiovascular risk factors: 'establishing normal and reference values'. *European*
390 *Heart Journal* 2010;31;2338-2350
- 391 [8] Shirai K, et al. Cardio-ankle vascular index (CAVI) as a novel indicator of arterial stiffness: theory,
392 evidence and perspectives. *J Atheroscler Thromb* 2011 18: 924-938.
- 393 [9] Sun C-K. Cardio-ankle vascular index (CAVI) as an indicator of arterial stiffness. *Integrated Blood*
394 *Pressure Control.* 2013;6:27-38. doi:10.2147/IBPC.S34423
- 395 [10] Shirai K, Utino J, Otsuka K, Takata M (2006) A novel blood pressure-independent arterial wall
396 stiffness parameter; cardio-ankle vascular index (CAVI). *J Atheroscler Thromb* 13: 101-107.
- 397 [11] Spronck B et al, Arterial stiffness index beta and cardio-ankle vascular index inherently depend
398 on blood pressure but can be readily corrected. *J Hypertens* (Jan 2017) 35:98-104
- 399 [12] Shirai K, Suzuki K, Tsuda S, Shimizu K, Takata M, Yamamoto T, Maruyama M, Takahashi K.
400 Comparison of Cardio-Ankle Vascular Index (CAVI) and CAVI 0 in Large Healthy and Hypertensive
401 Populations. *J Atheroscler Thromb.* 2019;26(7):603-615. doi: 10.5551/jat.48314.
- 402 [13] Kotani K, Remaley AT (2013) Cardio-Ankle Vascular Index (CAVI) and its Potential Clinical
403 Implications for Cardiovascular Disease. *Cardiol Pharmacol* 2: 108. doi:10.4172/2329-6607.1000108
- 404 [14] Izuhara M, Shioji K, Kadota Y, Baba O, Takeuchi Y, Uegaito T, Mutsuo S, Matsuda M: Relationship
405 of cardiovascular index to carotid and coronary arteriosclerosis. *Circ J*, 2008; 72: 1762-1767
- 406 [15] Namekata T, Suzuki K, Ishizuka N, Shirai K. Establishing baseline criteria of cardio-ankle vascular
407 index as a new indicator of arteriosclerosis: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord* 2011;
408 11:51.
- 409 [16] Wild SH, Fischbacher C, Brock A, Griffiths C, Bhopal R. Mortality from all causes and circulatory
410 disease by country of birth in England and Wales 2001-2003. *J Public Health (Oxf).* 2007;29(2):191-8.
- 411 [17] Faconti L, Nanino E, Mills CE, Cruickshank KJ. Do arterial stiffness and wave reflection underlie
412 cardiovascular risk in ethnic minorities? *JRSM Cardiovasc Dis* 2016; 5:2048004016661679.

- 413 [18] Wohlfahrt P, Cífková R, Movsisyan N, Kunzová Š, Lešovský J, Homolka M, et al. Reference values
414 of cardio-ankle vascular index in a random sample of a white population. *J Hypertens*.
415 2017;35(11):2238-44.
- 416 [19] Roth GA, Fihn SD, Mokdad AH, Aekplakorn W, Hasegawa T, Lim SS. High total serum cholesterol,
417 medication coverage and therapeutic control: an analysis of national health examination survey data
418 from eight countries. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2011;89(2):92-101.
- 419 [20] World Health Organization, International Diabetes Federation. Definition and diagnosis of
420 diabetes mellitus and intermediate hyperglycaemia : report of a WHO/IDF consultation. Geneva,
421 Switzerland: World Health Organization,; 2006. Available from:
422 http://www.who.int/diabetes/publications/diagnosis_diabetes2006/en/.
- 423 [22] Iso H. Lifestyle and cardiovascular disease in Japan. *J Atheroscler Thromb* 2011; 18:83-88
- 424 [23] Snijder MB, Stronks K, Agyemang C, Busschers WB, Peters RJ, van den Born BJ. Ethnic
425 differences in arterial stiffness the Helius study. *Int J Cardiol* 2015; 191:28-33.
- 426 [24] Din-Dzietham R, Couper D, Evans G, Arnett DK, Jones DW. Arterial stiffness is greater in African
427 Americans than in whites: evidence from the Forsyth County, North Carolina, ARIC cohort. *Am J*
428 *Hypertens*. 2004;17(4):304-13.
- 429 [25] Meyer ML, Tanaka H, Palta P, Cheng S, Gouskova N, Aguilar D, et al. Correlates of Segmental
430 Pulse Wave Velocity in Older Adults: The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study. *Am J*
431 *Hypertens*. 2016;29(1):114-22.
- 432 [26] Tanaka H, Miyachi M, Murakami H, Maeda S, Sugawara J. Attenuated Age-Related Increases in
433 Arterial Stiffness in Japanese and American Women. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2015;63(6):1170-4.
- 434 [27] Uemura H, Katsuura-Kamano S, Yamaguchi M, Nakamoto M, Hiyoshi M, Arisawa K. Family
435 history of stroke is potentially associated with arterial stiffness in the Japanese population. *Arch*
436 *Cardiovasc Dis*. 2014 Dec;107(12):654-63. doi: 10.1016/j.acvd.2014.07.047. Epub 2014 Sep 16. PMID:
437 25241219
- 438 [28] Tada H, Kawashiri MA., Nomura A, Teramoto R, Hosomichi K, Nohara A, et al. Oligogenic familial
439 hypercholesterolemia, LDL cholesterol, and coronary artery disease. *J. Clin. Lipidol*. 2018;12:1436–
440 1444. doi: 10.1016/j.jacl.2018.08.006
- 441 [29] Yuan M, Ohishi M, Ito N, et al. (2006). Genetic influences of beta-adrenoceptor polymorphisms
442 on arterial functional changes and cardiac remodeling in hypertensive patients. *Hypertension*
443 *Research*, 29(11), 875–881.
- 444 [30] Wang H et al. Comparative study of cardio-ankle vascular index between Chinese and Japanese
445 healthy subjects. *Clin Exp Hypertens*. 2014;36(8):596-601. doi: 10.3109/10641963.2014.897715
- 446 [31] Choi SY et al. Age associated increase in arterial stiffness measured according to the cardio-
447 ankle vascular index without blood pressure changes in healthy adults. *Journal of Atherosclerosis*
448 *and Thrombosis*. Vol. 20 (2013) No. 12 p. 911-923
- 449 [32] Namekata T, Shirai K, Tanabe N, Miyanishi K, Nakata M, Suzuki K, et al. Estimating the extent of
450 subclinical arteriosclerosis of persons with prediabetes and diabetes mellitus among Japanese urban
451 workers and their families: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord* 2016; 16:52.

452 [33] Park CM, Tillin T, March K, Jones S, Whincup PH, Mayet J, et al. Adverse effect of diabetes and
453 hyperglycaemia on arterial stiffness in Europeans, South Asians, and African Caribbeans in the SABRE
454 study. *J Hypertens*. 2016;34(2):282-9.

455 [34] Saiki, A., Ohira, M., Yamaguchi, T., Nagayama, D., Shimizu, N., Shirai, K., & Tatsuno, I. New
456 Horizons of Arterial Stiffness Developed Using Cardio-Ankle Vascular Index (CAVI). *Journal of*
457 *atherosclerosis and thrombosis*. 2020; 27(8), 732–748. <https://doi.org/10.5551/jat.RV17043>

458 [35] Kim ED, Ballew SH, Tanaka H, Heiss G, Coresh J, Matsushita K. Short-Term Prognostic Impact of
459 Arterial Stiffness in Older Adults Without Prevalent Cardiovascular Disease. *Hypertension*.
460 2019;74(6):1373-82.

461

462 **Figure Legends**

463 **Figure 1 – Box plot of changes in average cardio-ankle vascular index (CAVI) with age stratified by**
464 **number of cardiovascular risk factors**

465 **Figure 1a – Japanese group**

466 **Figure 1b – European group**

467 **Figure 2 – Scatter plots showing change in average cardio-ankle vascular index (CAVI) with age for**
468 **Europeans vs Japanese in healthy and high-risk groups**

469 **2a) Healthy group (0-2 cardiovascular risk factors) – male**

470 **2b) Healthy group (0-2 cardiovascular risk factors) – female**

471 **2c) High-risk group (3-5 cardiovascular risk factors) – male**

472 **2d) High-risk group (3-5 cardiovascular risk factors) – female**

473

474

475

476

477 **Table 1 – baseline demographics**

Parameter	All (n=1538) Mean (±SD)	European group (n=494) Mean (±SD)	Japanese group (n=1044) Mean (±SD)	P value
Age (years)	63.89 (±11.36)	65.95 (±11.93)	62.92 (±10.95)	<0.001
Sex (%M:%F)	52:48	55:45	50:50	0.08
Hypertension (%)	66	72	64	0.001
Diabetes (%)	43	18	55	<0.001
Hyperlipidaemia (%)	56	44	61	<0.001
Current or former smoker (%)	28	43	21	<0.001
Body Mass Index	25.4 (±4.9)	28.7 (±5.4)	23.9 (±3.8)	<0.001
Brachial systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	137.2 (±20.9)	138.5 (±18.3)	136.7 (±22.0)	0.12
Brachial diastolic bloods pressure (mmHg)	80.2 (±11.5)	78.3 (±11.0)	81.1 (±11.6)	<0.001
Cardio-ankle vascular index (CAVI)	9.133 (±1.439)	8.936 (±1.468)	9.227 (±1.416)	<0.001
CAVIO	15.105 (±4.099)	14.709 (±4.048)	15.255 (±4.110)	0.024

478

479

480 **Table 2 – Cardio-ankle vascular index (CAVI) comparison between geographic regions across age**
 481 **groups**

482 **Table 2a – female**

Female	European		Japanese		
Age group	N	Average CAVI ±SD	N	Average CAVI ±SD	P value
Under 55	28	7.12 ±1.0	97	7.94 ±1.1	0.000
55-64	56	8.43 ±1.2	188	8.76 ±1.0	0.033
65-74	99	8.84 ±1.0	165	9.47 ±1.2	0.000
75-84	30	9.34 ±1.3	65	10.10 ±1.3	0.009
85+	9	10.13 ±1.2	4	11.22 ±1.2	NS

483

484 **Table 2b – male**

Male	European		Japanese		
Age group	N	Average CAVI ±SD	N	Average CAVI ±SD	P value
Under 55	47	7.48 ±0.9	96	8.41 ±1.3	0.000
55-64	62	8.70 ±1.3	167	9.09 ±1.1	0.022
65-74	96	9.40 ±1.1	196	9.85 ±1.4	0.006
75-84	52	10.50 ±1.3	58	10.51 ±1.8	NS
85+	15	10.54 ±2.0	8	10.55 ±0.7	NS

485

486

487 **Table 3 – Cardio-ankle vascular index (CAVI) comparison between geographic regions according to**
 488 **level of cardiovascular risk**

489 **Table 3a – comparison of participants who had between 0-2 cardiovascular risk factors (healthy**
 490 **group, n=901)**

	European		Japanese		
Age group	N	Average CAVI ±SD	N	Average CAVI ±SD	P value
Under 55	35	7.30 ±1.1	88	8.08 ±1.2	0.001
55-64	79	8.55 ±1.2	210	8.80 ±1.0	0.072
65-74	137	9.08 ±1.0	201	9.47 ±1.3	0.003
75-84	56	10.04 ±1.4	70	9.94 ±1.7	NS
85+	17	10.69 ±1.6	8	10.56 ±0.8	NS

491

492 **Table 3b – comparison of participants who had between 3-5 cardiovascular risk factors (high-risk**
 493 **group, n= 637)**

	European		Japanese		
Age group	N	Average CAVI ±SD	N	Average CAVI ±SD	P value
Under 55	40	7.38 ±0.8	105	8.25 ±1.2	0.000
55-64	39	8.63 ±1.3	145	9.10 ±1.1	0.024
65-74	58	9.19 ±1.3	160	9.94 ±1.2	0.000
75-84	26	10.15 ±1.5	53	10.76 ±1.3	0.060
85+	7	9.65 ±2.0	4	11.21 ±1.0	NS

494

495

496

497

498

499 **Table 4 – linear regression with average cardio-ankle vascular index (CAVI) as the dependent**
 500 **factor**
 501

Variable	Unstandardised B	Standard Error	95% Confidence Interval (Lower)	95% Confidence Interval (Upper)	Significance
Age*	.066	.003	0.060	0.071	.000*
Sex*	.398	.060	0.280	0.516	.000*
Hypertension	.092	.075	-.055	0.238	.220
Diabetes*	.479	.064	0.354	0.604	.000*
Hyperlipidaemia	.090	.060	-0.028	0.208	.136
Smoking	.087	.069	-0.047	0.221	.204
Body Mass Index*	-.036	.007	-0.051	-0.022	.000*
Average lying systolic blood pressure*	.011	.002	0.008	0.014	.000*
Geographic region *	.205	.081	0.047	0.364	.011*

502 *statistically significant at the p<0.05 level

503

504

505 **Figure 1 – Box plot of changes in average cardio-ankle vascular index (CAVI) with age stratified by**
506 **number of cardiovascular risk factors**

507

508 **Figure 1a – Japanese group**

509

510 **Figure 1b – European group**

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528 **Figure 2 – Scatter plots showing change in average cardio-ankle vascular index (CAVI) with age for**
529 **Europeans vs Japanese in healthy and high-risk groups**

530

531 **2a) Healthy group (0-2 cardiovascular risk factors) – male**

532

533 **2b) Healthy group (0-2 cardiovascular risk factors) – female**

534

535 **2c) High-risk group (3-5 cardiovascular risk factors) – male**

536

537 **2d) High-risk group (3-5 cardiovascular risk factors) – female**

538

539

540

541 **Supplementary Material**

542 **Appendix 1 – inclusion and exclusion criteria**

543 Brighton and Sussex CMV Vasc trial used an existing patient research cohort and acquired new
544 participants via GPs.

- 545
- Inclusion criteria: 1) White British females and males, 2) Aged 60+.
 - Exclusion criteria: 1) immunodeficiency 2) organ transplantation, 3) use of immunosuppressive or immunomodulating drugs within the last year, 4) cancer or treatment within the previous 5 years, 5) insulin dependent diabetes mellitus 6) renal failure (estimated GFR < 20 ml/min), 7) severe liver disease 8) endocrine disorders , 9) autoimmune disease 10) dementia/mental incompetence, 11) alcohol or drug abuse, 12) Inability to lie flat (required for PWV). Participants with acute infections or very recent infections had appointments rescheduled for at least 4 weeks after full recovery.

553 Brighton and Sussex Arterial Stiffness In lacunar Stroke and TIA (ASIST) trial recruited participants
554 from TIA clinic or inpatients.

- 555
- Inclusion criteria: 1) Age 40 years and above (to exclude atypical or rare causes of TIA/stroke). 2) Confirmed diagnosis of TIA or lacunar stroke
 - Exclusion criteria: 1) Malignancy, with current active treatment, 2) Patient unable to give informed consent

559 King's College diabetes trial recruited participants from Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust
560 and surrounding between 2013-2015

- 561
- Inclusion criteria: 1) age 18-80 years, 2) previously clinically diagnosed T2DM (n=96) or at risk of T2DM (any of body mass index (BMI) ≥ 27 kg/m², a positive family history or glucose intolerance 2 hours after 75 g challenge) (n=58)
 - Exclusion criteria: 1) chronic illness of any type likely to interfere with participation, 2) previous adverse reaction to either drug, known allergy to beetroot, 3) impaired renal function (eGFR < 45 mL min⁻¹), 4) HbA1c >11 % = 97 mM/M, 5) pregnant or breast feeding and 6) atrial fibrillation.

568 Toho University, Sakura Medical Center (Chiba, Japan) recruited outpatients with metabolic
569 disorders underwent CAVI measurement.

- 570
- Inclusion criteria: 1) Japanese females and males, 2) Aged 15-92 years, 3) Previously clinically diagnosed T2DM, hypertension and/or hyperlipidaemia.
 - Exclusion criteria: 1) Patients with low ankle brachial index (< 0.9), 2) past history of cerebro-cardiovascular events, 3) atrial fibrillation, 4) malignancy, with current active treatment, and 5) Patients unable to give informed consent.

575 Cardio-vascular disease and cancer screening program organized by the Japan Health Promotion
576 Foundation.

- 577
- Inclusion criteria: 1) Japanese females and males, 2) Aged 16-91 years.

578 • Exclusion criteria: 1) Subjects with low ankle brachial index (< 0.9), 2) taking any medication
579 and had no history of heart disease, hypertension, stroke, diabetes, nephritis or gout, 3)
580 malignancy, with current active treatment, and 4) Patients unable to give informed consent.

581

582 **Appendix 2 – additional regression tables**

583 **2a) Linear regression with average cardio-ankle vascular index (CAVI) as the dependent factor for**
 584 **European group**

585

Variable	Unstandardised B	Standard Error	95% Confidence Interval (Lower)	95% Confidence Interval (Upper)	Significance
Age*	.198	.014	.170	.226	.000*
Sex*	.863	.303	.267	1.459	.005*
Hypertension*	1.305	.397	.523	2.086	.001*
Diabetes*	1.387	.401	.599	2.175	.001*
Hyperlipidaemia	.052	.311	-.561	.664	.868
Smoking	-.217	.301	-.808	.374	.470
Body Mass Index*	-.191	.033	-.256	-.125	.000*
Average lying systolic blood pressure*	.043	.009	.024	.061	.000*

586 *statistically significant at the p<0.05 level

587

588 **2b) Linear regression with average CAVI as the dependent factor for Japanese group**

589

Variable	Unstandardised B	Standard Error	95% Confidence Interval (Lower)	95% Confidence Interval (Upper)	Significance
Age*	.193	.010	.174	.212	.000*
Sex*	.728	.212	.313	1.144	.001*
Hypertension	-.065	.252	-.559	.429	.796
Diabetes*	1.711	.204	1.311	2.110	.000*
Hyperlipidaemia	.105	.208	-.304	.514	.615
Smoking	.183	.258	-.323	.689	.477
Body Mass Index	-.037	.028	-.091	.018	.186
Average lying systolic blood pressure*	.045	.005	.034	.055	.000*

590 *statistically significant at the p<0.05 level

591

592 **2c) Binary logistic regression with geographic region as the dependent factor**

Variable	Exp (B)	95% Confidence Interval (Lower)	95% Confidence Interval (Upper)	Significance
Age*	.935	.919	.951	.000*
Sex	1.073	.785	1.467	.659
Hypertension*	4.162	2.989	5.794	.000*
Diabetes	1.043	.712	1.526	.829
Hyperlipidaemia	.137	.096	.195	.000*
Smoking	.363	.267	.494	.000*
Body Mass Index*	.726	.697	.757	.000*

Average CAVI*	1.154	1.013	1.315	.031*
Average lying systolic blood pressure	1.000	.991	1.008	.935

593

594

595 **Appendix 3 – CAVIO comparison between geographic regions across age groups**

596 **3a) Female**

Female	European		Japanese		
Age group	N	Average CAVIO ±SD	N	Average CAVIO ±SD	P value
Under 55	14	10.0 (1.8)	97	11.8 (2.4)	0.009
55-64	44	13.1 (2.8)	188	13.9 (2.8)	0.078
65-74	79	14.5 (2.8)	165	16.0 (3.7)	0.001
75-84	26	16.4 (3.9)	65	18.6 (4.2)	0.025
85+	7	19.5 (4.4)	4	23.2 (4.3)	NS

597 **3b) Male**

Male	European		Japanese		
Age group	N	Average CAVI ±SD	N	Average CAVI ±SD	P value
Under 55	39	10.7 (1.8)	96	12.8 (3.1)	<0.001
55-64	56	13.4 (3.4)	167	14.4 (3.0)	0.054
65-74	84	15.3 (3.2)	196	16.9 (4.2)	0.003
75-84	37	19.4 (3.7)	58	19.3 (4.9)	NS
85+	12	21.2 (3.6)	8	19.6 (3.0)	NS

598

599 **3c) Comparison of correlation with age between CAVI and CAVIO in subgroups corrected for**
 600 **cardiovascular risk factors**

Subgroup	r for CAVI	p for CAVI	r for CAVIO	p for CAVIO
European males	0.701	<0.001	0.710	<0.001
Japanese males	0.511	<0.001	0.523	<0.001
European females	0.594	<0.001	0.601	<0.001
Japanese females	0.542	<0.001	0.548	<0.001

601

602 **3d) Comparison of correlation with cardiovascular risk factors between CAVI and CAVIO in**
 603 **subgroups corrected for sex**

Subgroup	r for CAVI	p for CAVI	r for CAVIO	p for CAVIO
European group	0.131	NS	-0.031	NS
Japanese group	0.111	<0.001	0.141	<0.001

604

605 **3e) Comparison of correlation with age between CAVI and CAVIO in subgroups based on**
 606 **cardiovascular risk factors – healthy group =0-2 risk factors, high-risk group = 3-5 risk factors**

Subgroup	r for CAVI	p for CAVI	r for CAVIO	p for CAVIO
European healthy group	0.644	<0.001	0.635	<0.001
Japanese healthy group	0.472	<0.001	0.494	<0.001
European high-risk group	0.657	<0.001	0.654	<0.001
Japanese high-risk group	0.619	<0.001	0.611	<0.001

607

608

609