

Positioning mindfulness as psychological capital

Article

Accepted Version

Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial 4.0

Shahbaz, W. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9750-6838>
and Shahid, S. (2025) Positioning mindfulness as
psychological capital. *Journal of Management History*. ISSN
1751-1348 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1108/JMH-05-2024-0074>
Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/120405/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/JMH-05-2024-0074>

Publisher: Emerald

Publisher statement: Emerald allows authors to deposit their AAM under the Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial International Licence 4.0 (CC BY-NC 4.0). To do this, the deposit must clearly state that the AAM is deposited under this licence and that any reuse is allowed in accordance with the terms outlined by the licence. To reuse the AAM for commercial purposes, permission should be sought by contacting contracts@emerald.com.

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online



Positioning Mindfulness as Psychological Capital

Journal:	<i>Journal of Management History</i>
Manuscript ID	JMH-05-2024-0074.R3
Manuscript Type:	Literature Review
Keywords:	Workplace mindfulness, Psychological capital, Positive organizational behavior

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Positioning Mindfulness as Psychological Capital

Abstract

Purpose- The purpose of this paper is to critically examine the existing literature on workplace mindfulness and suggest positive organizational behavior (POB) scholarship as a suitable domain to understand the scientific and secular nature of mindfulness.

Design/Methodology- This conceptual paper is based on the critical analysis of the literature on positive organizational behavior and contemporary mindfulness at work. The paper highlights the limitations of workplace mindfulness in terms of its research and practice. Then, the limitations of workplace mindfulness are analyzed in relation to positive organizational behavior scholarship to suggest a way forward for research and practice.

Findings- The findings imply that contemporary mindfulness meets the inclusion criteria of psychological capital and thus it can be adopted and investigated in the workplace using the POB scholarship.

Originality- Mindfulness is an interesting topic for organizational researchers and many organizations are adopting mindfulness to improve their workplace functioning. In recent years, scholars have highlighted potential ethical issues with the adoption of the spiritual and religious nature of mindfulness for workplace benefits. In this regard, the understanding of scientific and secular nature of mindfulness is limited in organizational literature. The paper advances the knowledge of the literature on contemporary mindfulness and positive organizational behavior and argues the importance of the inclusion of mindfulness in psychological capital.

Implications- It suggests implications for research and practice by considering mindfulness as a psychological capital construct in the workplace context.

Keywords- Workplace mindfulness, Positive organizational behavior, Psychological capital

Paper Type- Conceptual

Introduction

Mindfulness is defined as a state of present-moment awareness (Brown and Ryan, 2003). In clinical psychology, mindfulness practices are commonly used to treat patients with psychological and mental health challenges (Baer, 2003; 2015). In the workplace, research has shown that mindfulness intervention can improve employees' well-being, job satisfaction, and performance (Hulsheger *et al.*, 2012; Pang and Ruch, 2019; Sajjad and Shahbaz, 2020). Recently, many organizations have adopted mindfulness practices to improve employee's well-being, relationship, and performance and there has been a notable increase in research focused on mindfulness in work settings (Eby *et al.*, 2019; Qiu and Rooney, 2019; Shahbaz and Parker, 2022).

As mindfulness interventions have gained popularity in an organizational context, critics have raised concerns regarding the ethical use of mindfulness for workplace benefits such as stress reduction and performance improvement. The critics highlighted that the commercialization of mindfulness interventions in organizations, which was originally a religious practice, is unethical. For example, Purser and Loy (2013) critiqued the use of mindfulness as a secular practice in the workplace, arguing that it overlooks the ethical and spiritual foundation of mindfulness. The core purpose of religious mindfulness is "to free human beings from the delusion of being a separate self" (Purser, 2018, p. 106). Critics argue that mindfulness, originally a religious practice aimed at fostering collective benefits like ethical behavior, social harmony, and compassion. However, it has been commercialized in organizational settings as a one-size-fits-all solution to work-related issues, often referred to as "McMindfulness" (Purser and Loy, 2013). The term McMindfulness represents secular mindfulness as a quick-fix technique, centered narrowly on workplace gains, and stripped of its ethical basis. Purser and Loy (2013) and others (Good *et al.*, 2016; Harrington and Dunne,

1
2
3 2015; Purser and Milillo, 2015) highlight the need to employ mindfulness in organizations with
4 a focus on ethical values and social responsibility.
5
6
7

8
9 Currently, the issue of ethical conduct of mindfulness practices as a workplace
10 intervention is evolving (Hafenbrack, 2017). While the commercialization of mindfulness
11 interventions in organizations is criticized as unethical due to their religious and spiritual
12 origins (Purser and Loy, 2013), some scholars offer counterarguments justifying its ethical use.
13 They point out that mindfulness is interpreted in various ways within the Buddhist tradition,
14 leading to questions about who has the authority to define "right" or "wrong" mindfulness
15 (Purser and Milillo, 2015; Payne, 2018). To address ethical concerns, some scholars propose
16 using the term "heedfulness" instead of "mindfulness" (Krägeloh, 2018). Some scholars argue
17 that no one holds the authority to determine what constitutes ethical or unethical mindfulness,
18 as it is a universal phenomenon related to the human mind and behavior rather than a strictly
19 religious one (Harrington and Dunne, 2015; Purser and Milillo, 2015).
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34
35 Some psychologists propose that Buddha's teachings on mindfulness align more
36 closely with psychology than with religion or philosophy, highlighting mental training to
37 relieve personal suffering (Mikulas, 2018). Baer (2015) argued that mindfulness has
38 compelling theoretical and empirical roots in psychological sciences, supporting its exploration
39 as a secular practice or construct. For example, social psychology views mindfulness as an
40 inherent ability to create "novel distinctions" in the present, emphasizing attention to mental
41 content to avoid mindlessness (Langer and Moldoveanu, 2000). In clinical psychology,
42 mindfulness is applied as a practice or program to improve mental health and reduce
43 psychological suffering (Kabat-Zinn, 2003). Numerous studies demonstrate the clinical
44 benefits of secular mindfulness practices and programs (Landau and Jones, 2021). While
45 debates continue about the secular nature of mindfulness in psychology domain, further in-
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 depth investigation on mindfulness within organizational science from a positive psychology
4
5 perspective is needed.
6
7

8
9 In this study, we argue that the positive organizational behavior (POB) domain offers a
10
11 foundation for studying and practising mindfulness in a scientific and secular way. We argued
12
13 that mindfulness aligns with the inclusion criteria of psychological capital (PsyCap) and it can
14
15 be recognized as a PsyCap construct within the field of POB. PsyCap represents a positive
16
17 organizational strength, exemplified by employees' hope, efficacy, resilience, and optimism
18
19 (Luthans *et al.*, 2017). While previous studies have considered mindfulness a potential
20
21 component of PsyCap (e.g., Luthans *et al.*, 2017; Marianetti and Passmore, 2009; Roche *et al.*,
22
23 2014; Roche and Haar, 2019), there is limited understanding of how mindfulness could be
24
25 systematically researched as a PsyCap construct through the lens of POB. Existing studies have
26
27 not critically explored workplace mindfulness within POB literature to further the discussion
28
29 of mindfulness as a PsyCap construct. Positioning mindfulness as a PsyCap construct within
30
31 the POB literature enables a deeper understanding of its psychological basis (Roemer *et al.*,
32
33 2021). The POB domain offers standardized methods for evaluating mindfulness, providing a
34
35 quantifiable basis for its application in organizational psychology. This scientific approach
36
37 highlights the secular and scientific dimensions of mindfulness, framing it as a universally
38
39 applicable and evidence-based practice that is ethically neutral for workplace settings.
40
41
42
43
44

45 **Defining Mindfulness**

46
47
48

49 Individuals tend to be different from each other in terms of their capacity or ability to
50
51 be mindful (Brown and Ryan, 2003; Brown *et al.*, 2007). Mindfulness is commonly defined as
52
53 “the state of being attentive to and aware of what is taking place in the present” (Brown and
54
55 Ryan, 2003, p. 822). *Attention* refers to noticing present experiences such as feelings, thoughts,
56
57 emotions, and sensations. *Awareness* entails monitoring the experiences through detached
58
59
60

1
2
3 perspective. Thus, mindfulness involves monitoring thoughts and feelings without getting
4 attached to these experiences (Reina and Kudesia, 2020). Another definition of mindfulness
5 suggests that mindfulness is “the awareness that emerges through paying attention on purpose,
6 in the present moment, and nonjudgmentally to the unfolding of experience moment by
7 moment” (Kabat-Zinn, 2003, p. 145). Thus, mindfulness can be considered a state of present-
8 moment awareness.
9

10
11
12 Organizational literature categorizes mindfulness into a trait, state, intervention, and
13 practice (Jamieson and Tuckey, 2017; Roche *et al.*, 2020). Trait mindfulness refers to a stable
14 individual ability to engage in the mindfulness processes, meaning that some individuals might
15 be more mindful than others (Brown and Ryan, 2003; Jamieson and Tuckey, 2017). State
16 mindfulness refers to a temporary psychological state of present-moment consciousness that is
17 intentionally cultivated and fluctuates within individuals in everyday life. While trait
18 mindfulness is a stable individual capacity, state mindfulness mainly depends on individual
19 and situational factors, varying from moment to moment (Brown and Ryan, 2003; Chiesa,
20 2013; Hulsheger *et al.*, 2012).
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 Mindfulness practice serves as a method for improving both state and trait mindfulness
41 (Jamieson and Tuckey, 2017). These practices develop attention and awareness skills through
42 both formal sessions and informal daily activities (Hart *et al.*, 2013; Kabat-Zinn, 2003).
43 Common mindfulness practices include body scanning, sitting meditation, and mindful yoga.
44 The body scanning technique involves paying attention to various body parts while sitting
45 meditation focuses on breathing, body sensations, thoughts, and emotions. Mindful yoga
46 comprises awareness of the body during yoga practices (Kang and Whittingham, 2010; Sauer-
47 Zavala *et al.*, 2013). Another mindfulness practice is loving-kindness meditation which focuses
48 on positive feelings of compassion and love for themselves and others. During this practice,
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 participants close their eyes and focus on the present moment and recite phrases such as “May
4 I be safe”, “May I be happy”, “May I be healthy”, and “May I be peaceful”. This technique
5
6 fosters a positive connection of self with humanity (Johnson *et al.*, 2009). Mindfulness is often
7
8 implemented through structured interventions, such as the eight-week Mindfulness-Based
9
10 Stress Reduction (MBSR) program, which incorporates practices like breathing exercise,
11
12 meditation, body scanning, and group discussions to enhance a fully present, non-judgmental
13
14 approach to each moment (Baer, 2003; Jamieson and Tuckey, 2017; Khoury *et al.*, 2017).
15
16
17
18
19

20 **Contrasting Contemporary Mindfulness and Buddhist Mindfulness**

21
22
23 Literature commonly distinguishes between contemporary mindfulness and Buddhist
24
25 mindfulness. Contemporary mindfulness practices involve focusing on a specific object with
26
27 sustained, present-centered attention while non-judgmentally monitoring for and disengaging
28
29 from distractions. Attention is then reoriented back to the target object. These core practices
30
31 share similarities with elements found in programs such as MBSR (Dunne, 2015). **The concept**
32
33 **of mindfulness in Buddhism has a rich history spanning over 2,500 years (Ditrich, 2016).** In
34
35 Buddhist teaching two main sources of mindfulness include classic and nondual mindfulness.
36
37 Classic Buddhist mindfulness (rooted in the Abhidharma paradigm) is analytical, focusing on
38
39 ethical judgement and present-centred attention to mental states. Nondual Buddhist
40
41 mindfulness (rooted in the Mahāmudrā and Dzogchen traditions of Tibet and the Chan
42
43 traditions of China), however, seeks to dissolve the distinction between subject and object,
44
45 promoting spontaneous, non-conceptual awareness without judgment. The former emphasizes
46
47 evaluation, while the latter suspends it to move beyond duality (Dunne, 2015). It suggests that
48
49 contemporary mindfulness practices involve focused, present-centred attention and
50
51 disengagement from distractions. While, Buddhism mindfulness is seen in two main forms:
52
53 classic mindfulness, which is analytical and judgment-based, and nondual mindfulness, which
54
55 seeks to transcend duality through non-conceptual awareness without judgment.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

According to Payne (2018) Right mindfulness (*sammā sati*), as part of the Noble Eightfold Path, is seen as mindfulness that is aligned with ethical conduct and spiritual goals, leading to liberation. It is interwoven with other path factors, ensuring that mindfulness practices contribute to moral and psychological well-being. On the other hand, "wrong mindfulness" or contemporary mindfulness refers to the potential misuse of mindfulness practices when they are disconnected from ethical and spiritual contexts. In this sense, mindfulness could be employed for unethical purposes or could reinforce negative states of mind, rather than contributing to liberation and well-being. Lindahl (2015) argued that contemporary mindfulness may not fully align with "right mindfulness" and that it may be incomplete due to the lack of a Buddhist ethical framework. "Right mindfulness" whether traditional or contemporary, is inherently situated within a Buddhist ethical framework. Thus, from the perspective of normative Buddhism, contemporary mindfulness could be considered "wrong mindfulness". Right mindfulness has much more to offer than merely the health and well-being benefits in clinical and scientific contexts.

Mindfulness entered the domain of western clinical psychology through Jon Kabat-Zinn in the late 1970s, who developed the Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction (MBSR) program at the University of Massachusetts Medical School. MBSR was originally designed to treat physical and psychological issues like chronic pain and addiction by promoting self-regulation of adverse conditions (Kabat-Zinn, 1982; 2003; Ie *et al.*, 2014). Initially aimed at health-related treatments, MBSR quickly gained popularity in clinical psychology and later expanded as a general stress reduction program in non-clinical contexts (Glomb *et al.*, 2011). In social psychology, Ellen Langer introduced mindfulness as "the process of drawing novel distinctions" (Langer and Moldoveanu, 2000, p. 1), describing it as a cognitive state that promotes greater sensitivity to the environment, openness to new information, and awareness of multiple perspectives. Langer describes mindfulness as a state of being present, sensitive to

1
2
3 context, and free from rigid routines or rules. In contrast, mindlessness involves automatic
4 responses based on past experiences (Langer, 2014). Thus, mindfulness has been scientifically
5 developed in both clinical and social psychology as a tool for self-regulation and a cognitive
6 process for creating possibilities.
7
8
9

10
11
12
13 Over the past two decades, mindfulness has been increasingly applied in various work
14 settings, including banks, hospital, high-tech companies, and the military, to enhance workers'
15 well-being while fostering productivity, adaptability, and more effective decision-making
16 (Dunne, 2015; Qiu and Rooney, 2019). In organizational research, several studies contrast
17 contemporary mindfulness with Buddhist mindfulness and explore the potential drawbacks of
18 applying contemporary mindfulness in the workplace. For example, Vu and Burton (2024)
19 investigate Buddhist mindfulness practitioners' views on inclusion and exclusion, challenging
20 the Western assumption that inclusion is inherently positive and exclusion negative. Their
21 study reveals that practitioners may find inclusion burdensome due to the pressure to meet
22 others' expectations, whereas exclusion can provide freedom from such pressures. They
23 highlight the importance of incorporating Buddhist mindfulness perspectives into discussions
24 of workplace inclusion and moral reasoning. Vu and Gill (2018) explore mindfulness practices
25 among Vietnamese leaders who follow Buddhist mindfulness perspective. They argue that
26 contemporary mindfulness, as a workplace tool, may be misused if it is grounded in
27 organizational self-interest, greed, or hidden agendas. In contrast, Buddhist mindfulness
28 emphasizes wisdom, compassion, and non-attachment. Thus, organizational leaders should
29 consider the mindfulness based on Buddhist principles rather than contemporary mindfulness
30 based on organizational selfishness, greed, and a hidden agenda.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

55 Further critiques come from Willmott (2018), who argues that mindfulness is frequently
56 applied to address personal issues, such as stress, while overlooking the structural issues, like
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 inequality, that contribute to these problems. He synthesizes socio-political literature to suggest
4
5 that mindfulness should bridge personal and public issues to address broader social inequalities,
6
7 rather than focusing solely on individual relief (see also Sajjad and Shahbaz, 2020). Weick and
8
9 Putnam (2006) highlight key differences between Eastern and Western mindfulness
10
11 perspectives. Eastern mindfulness focuses on internal steadiness and present awareness to
12
13 cultivate wisdom, as detailed in the Abhidhamma, while Western mindfulness, as described by
14
15 Langer, involves engagement with external events and mental content, emphasizing a more
16
17 analytical and dynamic interaction with one's environment. They emphasize on integrating
18
19 both Eastern and Western mindfulness perspectives to get a more profound understanding of
20
21 attention in organizational contexts, fostering continuous organizing, reducing mistakes, and
22
23 enabling wiser actions. In sum, the literature on workplace mindfulness discusses the
24
25 distinction between contemporary mindfulness and Buddhist mindfulness, with scholars
26
27 highlighting significant risks associated with the adoption of contemporary mindfulness in
28
29 organizational settings. While contemporary mindfulness involves risk at work, it can also be
30
31 unethical because it often lacks the ethical and spiritual foundation present in Buddhist
32
33 mindfulness teaching.

40 41 **Ethical conduct of mindfulness practices at work**

42
43
44 While contemporary mindfulness is commonly adopted by the modern business world,
45
46 some critics highlighted that intervention of contemporary mindfulness in the workplace might
47
48 involve certain ethical issues because of their association with a Buddhist tradition (Monteiro
49
50 *et al.*, 2015; Purser and Loy, 2013; Purser, 2018). Such ethical concerns might constrain the
51
52 application of mindfulness at work for individual and workplace benefits. Scholars in
53
54 behavioral health sciences discussed the implicit and explicit nature of ethics in mindfulness
55
56 practices. They argued that there is a need for explicit ethics while incorporating mindfulness
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 in the workplace. For instance, Monteiro *et al.* (2015) argued that the expected outcome of
4 mindfulness practices in the corporate world might not be consistent with the expectation of
5 traditional Buddhist mindfulness. Therefore, teachers and practitioners of mindfulness in the
6 workplace context should follow an ethical code of conduct. These authors suggested that
7 mindfulness training programmes need to add instructions related to an ethical code of conduct
8 concerning mindfulness practices. Brown (2017) highlighted that it is an ethical obligation of
9 mindfulness trainers to remain transparent while conducting mindfulness training programmes
10 in the workplace. Hence mindfulness trainers need to disclose information concerning the risks
11 of harmful as well as spiritual impacts of mindfulness practices. Moreover, it is important to
12 disclose any religious association of the trainers in terms of concepts, values, practices, and
13 communities.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 To address the ethical challenges associated with the application of mindfulness in the
31 workplace many scholars have offered various suggestions and justification. For instance,
32 Mikulas (2018) discussed the three Yanas of Buddhist teachings including Hinayana,
33 Mahayana, and Vajrayana. Hinayana (often identified with Theravada) is described as the
34 earliest form of Buddhism, focusing on individual enlightenment through strict adherence to
35 the Buddha's original teachings. Mahayana expands the focus to include the enlightenment of
36 all beings, emphasizing compassion and the Bodhisattva ideal. Vajrayana, builds on Mahayana
37 with specific practices and rituals intended to rapidly achieve enlightenment, and it is
38 particularly associated with Tibetan Buddhism. Each Yana represents a different approach to
39 spiritual development within Buddhism. Mikulas argues that all three Yanas align more closely
40 with psychology than with religion or philosophy. Thus, the core principles of Buddhism,
41 which he refers to as "essential Buddhism," are universal and pertain to psychological well-
42 being rather than religious or philosophical dogma. Qiu and Rooney (2019) proposed a four-
43 stage model of mindfulness development in the workplace including preliminary
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 concentration, deep concentration, self-transcendence, and reengagement. Each stage has
4 distinct characteristics and impacts on both individuals and organizations, offering a more
5 comprehensive view of workplace mindfulness as an ethics-based and long-term process.
6
7
8

9
10
11 Furthermore, some scholars argue that mindfulness practices are naturally ethical and
12 thus require no specific ethical framework. They highlighted that scientific domain such as
13 organizational psychology provide a suitable ground for the application of mindfulness in the
14 workplace in a secular and ethical way. They argued that mindfulness interventions such as
15 MBSR and dialectical behavior therapy (DBT) are well-structured programmes where trainers
16 or teachers of the programme follow a code of ethical conduct. Moreover, compassion,
17 kindness, and ethical conduct towards self and others are core components of mindfulness
18 practices. It means that mindfulness practices are inherently ethical. These authors further
19 added that it is important to focus on optimising the benefits of mindfulness for humanity rather
20 than focusing on the alignment of contemporary mindfulness with Buddhist mindfulness (Baer,
21 2015; Cheung, 2018; DeSteno, 2013). Another scholar suggested that there is a need to explore
22 mindfulness-related concepts and replace the broader term of mindfulness with other terms
23 such as heedfulness, i.e. the monitoring of cognitive functions to safeguard goal orientation.
24 Such replacement of terms might be helpful to reduce the theoretical confusion raised due to
25 the umbrella term of mindfulness (Krageloh, 2018).
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 In recent years, organizations have adopted various mindfulness-based programs,
47 asserting that these initiatives ethically balance employee well-being with organizational
48 success through practices rooted in kindness, compassion, and ethical awareness. For instance,
49 the mindfulness-based programs offered at Aetna can serve as a case study of how companies
50 can ethically adopt mindfulness practices to balance employee well-being and organizational
51 goals. These programs are offered voluntarily to employees, demonstrated measurable benefits,
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 including a 28% reduction in stress levels and a 20% improvement in sleep quality among
4 participants. Aetna also reported significant reductions in healthcare costs and gains in
5 productivity. It suggests that mindfulness could align ethical employee care with corporate
6 success (Gelles, 2015). Additionally, well-known mindfulness-based workplace programs like
7 Google's "Search Inside Yourself" are based on practices that emphasize kindness,
8 compassion, and ethical awareness. In this program, the instructors follow ethical codes of
9 conduct, mindfulness itself is inherently ethical, and the practice promotes kindness and
10 compassion toward both oneself and others (Baer, 2015; Schaufenbuet, 2015). While some
11 argue that contemporary workplace mindfulness programs are inherently ethical due to their
12 focus on compassion, kindness, and ethical awareness (Baer, 2015), others challenge this view,
13 contending that these programs are inconsistent with traditional Buddhist mindfulness
14 (Monteiro et al., 2015; Purser and Loy, 2013). To contribute to the discussion on the ethical
15 application of mindfulness in the workplace, we explored the POB domain to better understand
16 contemporary mindfulness from a scientific perspective.

35 **Positive organizational behavior**

36
37
38
39 The concept of POB is an outcome of the positive psychology movement. The positive
40 psychology movement was started in 1998 by Seligman and colleagues. The movement aimed
41 to change the focus in the field of psychology from solving life problems to strengthening
42 positive human strengths (Dutton *et al.*, 2006). In the field of psychology, considerable
43 attention is given to negativity or human suffering as compared to positivity or human strengths
44 (Luthans, 2002). Cameron (2008) argued that negativity dominates over positivity in terms of
45 intensity, novelty, adaptation, and singularity. While there is a psychological inclination of
46 humans towards negativity, positive psychology highlights the importance of developing
47 positive subjective experiences in terms of well-being, contentment, satisfaction, hope,
48 optimism, flow, and happiness (Seligman and Csikszentmihalyi, 2000) as opposed to focusing
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 on worst life experiences or negativity. The purpose of positive psychology is to balance the
4 emphasis on positivity and negativity, in both research and practice rather than undermine the
5 importance of negativity (Luthans *et al.*, 2017).
6
7
8
9

10
11 Positive organizational scholarship (POS) is related to positive psychology in the
12 organizational domain and focuses on the organizational role in explaining positivity at the
13 individual, group, and organizational levels (Dutton *et al.*, 2006). POS highlights the
14 importance of positivity in research and practice within the organizational domain. In this
15 regard, POS is often considered a broader term used for positive traits, states, perspectives,
16 relationships, processes, practices, and outcomes in the organizational domain (Cameron and
17 Spreitzer 2012; Luthans *et al.*, 2017). POB is a branch of POS introduced by Fred Luthans in
18 the organizational literature. POB focuses on micro-level and state-like positive individual
19 strengths and capacities that can be measured, developed, and maintained in the organization
20 for performance management (Luthans *et al.*, 2002). It means that when compared with POS,
21 POB provides a more specific individual-level perspective of positive psychology in the
22 organization.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 In POB literature, individual-level positive strengths and capacities are termed as
41 psychological capital or PsyCap. To differentiate PsyCap from other similar individual
42 constructs or resources, POB described the following inclusion criteria of PsyCap: PsyCap
43 must be (a) supported by theory and research, (b) measurable, (c) developable, and (d) related
44 to work performance (Luthans, 2002; Luthans *et al.*, 2007). Following the inclusion criteria,
45 four constructs of PsyCap are identified including hope, efficacy, resilience, and optimism
46 (Luthans *et al.*, 2007; 2017). Hope involves individual willpower to achieve goals and the
47 ability to create alternative plans in difficult situations to achieve goals (Snyder *et al.*, 1991).
48 Efficacy relates to individual confidence and belief related to creating alternative plans, taking
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 actions, and achieving goals (Avey *et al.*, 2008; Stajkovic and Luthans, 1998). Resilience is
4 the individual ability to bounce back from a difficult situation (Luthans, 2002;) and optimism
5 is the individual ability to expect positive outcomes from themselves irrespective of their ability
6 (Avey *et al.*, 2008; Seligman, 1998). While individual hope, efficacy, resilience, and optimism
7 are key dimensions of PsyCap other individual positive resources at work such as individual
8 creativity, mindfulness, emotional intelligence, and spirituality have the potential to be
9 considered as PsyCap (Luthans *et al.*, 2015; 2017).

20 **Mindfulness as Psychological Capital**

21
22
23 Some studies have suggested considering mindfulness as a PsyCap construct within the
24 POB domain. For instance, Roche and Haar (2019) tested the potential of mindfulness as the
25 fifth dimension of psychological capital over two studies. In study one, with a sample of 812
26 New Zealand professionals, they tested the role of psychological capital and mindfulness as
27 predictors of job and life satisfaction. The results revealed that the combined measure of
28 psychological capital and mindfulness was a stronger predictor as compared to individual
29 constructs separately. In study two, they used a daily design testing approach to measure the
30 Psychological Capital-Mindfulness of 222 leaders on their daily fluctuations towards positive
31 and negative affect. They found that the new PsyCap-M is a stronger predictor as compared to
32 individual constructs. This study paves the way for the inclusion of mindfulness within the
33 domain of psychological capital.
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 In another study, Roche *et al.* (2014) examined the relationship between mindfulness,
50 PsyCap, and the wellbeing of organizational leaders. The study found that mindfulness and
51 PsyCap are the strengths of the leaders that have a positive relationship with their wellbeing.
52 Similarly, another study examined the relationships between mindfulness, PsyCap, and
53 positive emotions of employees. The study found that when PsyCap is low, the relationship
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 between mindfulness and positive emotions is strong. It means that mindfulness compensates
4 for the role of PsyCap in predicting positive emotions (Avey *et al.*, 2008). Another study
5 examined the relationship between mindfulness, PsyCap, and workplace outcomes in terms of
6 work engagement and the well-being of employees. The study found that mindfulness is
7 positively related to workplace engagement and fully mediated by PsyCap. In addition,
8 mindfulness is positively related to well-being and partially mediated by PsyCap. Thus, a
9 mindful individual is more engaged in their work and psychologically healthy than others. This
10 relationship is explained by PsyCap (Malinowski and Lim, 2015). It means that mindfulness
11 might be considered as a PsyCap construct or employees' positive strength at work as it can
12 compensate the role of PsyCap.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 *How mindfulness meets the inclusion criteria of PsyCap*

28
29

30 The literature indicates that contemporary mindfulness meets the criteria for inclusion
31 as a component of PsyCap. The first criterion for PsyCap requires it to have a state-like nature,
32 supported by theory and research. Numerous organizational studies have explored the state-
33 like nature of mindfulness, showing that employees can intentionally cultivate a temporary
34 state of mindfulness at work (Brown and Ryan, 2003; Chiepa, 2013; Jamieson and Tuckey,
35 2017; Hulsheger *et al.*, 2012; Roche *et al.*, 2020). Theories that examine state mindfulness in
36 the workplace, such as Conservation of Resources (COR) theory explains the dynamics of state
37 mindfulness (Hulsheger *et al.*, 2018). Lawrie *et al.* (2018) employed job demands-resources
38 model to demonstrate how psychological demands impede, while job control improves state
39 mindfulness at work. Other studies have also explored state mindfulness as a daily experience
40 in organizational settings (e.g., Cigolla and Brown, 2011; Irving *et al.*, 2014; Lyddy *et al.*,
41 2016). Mindfulness could be examined as a state-like measure, as evidenced by studies
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 affirming that state mindfulness is both theoretically grounded and empirically supported
4
5 within the organizational context.
6
7

8
9 The second criterion for PsyCap relates to its measurability, and state mindfulness can
10
11 be effectively measured using tools like the Toronto Mindfulness Scale (TMS) (Lau *et al.*,
12
13 2006). The TMS includes items such as “I noticed subtle changes in my mood” and “I was
14
15 more concerned with being open to my experiences than controlling or changing them” (Sauer
16
17 *et al.*, 2013), and has been applied in workplace mindfulness research (e.g., Bilal and Zia-ur-
18
19 Rehman, 2017; Cleirigh and Greaney, 2015; Malarkey *et al.*, 2013). The Mindful Attention
20
21 Awareness Scale (MAAS), another tool that measures state mindfulness, has been used to
22
23 assess employees’ mindfulness (Brown and Ryan, 2003; Hulsheger *et al.*, 2012; Lawrie *et al.*,
24
25 2018).
26
27
28

29
30 We propose that mindfulness also meets the third PsyCap criterion, which involves its
31
32 development. State mindfulness can be cultivated through various practices and interventions
33
34 (Jamieson and Tuckey, 2017; Sajjad and Shahbaz, 2020). Mindfulness interventions can
35
36 enhance individual mindfulness (e.g., Hulsheger *et al.*, 2012; Roeser *et al.*, 2013; Wolever *et*
37
38 *al.*, 2012). Additionally, various individual and workplace conditions can foster everyday
39
40 mindfulness or state mindfulness among employees. For instance, state mindfulness can be
41
42 developed through factors such as psychological conditions, sleep quality (Hulsheger *et al.*,
43
44 2018), work engagement (Tuckey *et al.*, 2018), psychological demands, job control (Lawrie *et*
45
46 *al.*, 2018), and metacognitive beliefs (Reina and Kudesia, 2020). These studies demonstrate
47
48 that employees' state mindfulness can be enhanced both with and without direct mindfulness
49
50 practices and interventions.
51
52
53
54

55
56 Finally, we propose that mindfulness is linked to work performance, aligning with the
57
58 fourth criterion of PsyCap. Some empirical studies have shown that employees' mindfulness
59
60

1
2
3 positively impacts their work performance. For example, research indicates that mindfulness
4 enhances task performance by increasing problem-solving confidence (Forjan *et al.*, 2020) and
5
6 boosts employee creativity by promoting engagement in creative processes (Cheung *et al.*,
7
8 2020). Additionally, studies have identified a link between mindfulness and overall job
9
10 performance (Dane and Brummel, 2014; Lyddy and Good, 2017). Other research suggests that
11
12 workplace mindfulness interventions can improve task performance (Pang and Ruch, 2019) as
13
14 well as task motivation and focus (Hafenbrack and Vohs, 2018; Reb *et al.*, 2017; Hyland *et al.*,
15
16 2015).

17
18
19
20
21
22 In sum, Luthans (2002) and Luthans *et al.* (2007) argued that PsyCap must be (a)
23 grounded in theory and research, (b) measurable, (c) capable of development, and (d) linked to
24
25 work performance. The workplace mindfulness literature suggests that mindfulness meets the
26
27 criteria for inclusion as a component of PsyCap. Mindfulness is state-like, meaning it can be
28
29 intentionally cultivated by employees, and this is supported by theories like the Conservation
30
31 of Resources theory and the extended job demands-resources model. It can be effectively
32
33 measured using tools such as the Toronto Mindfulness Scale (TMS) and Mindful Attention
34
35 Awareness Scale (MAAS). Mindfulness can be developed through various practices and
36
37 workplace conditions, and studies show it positively impacts work performance by enhancing
38
39 problem-solving, creativity, and job motivation. Overall, mindfulness is theoretically grounded
40
41 and supported by empirical research as a valuable component of PsyCap in organizational
42
43 settings. **The table 1 summarize how mindfulness meets the inclusion criteria of psychological
44
45 capital with implications for both theory and practise.**

Inclusion Criteria of Psychological Capital	How Mindfulness Meets the Criteria	Theoretical Implications	Practical Implications
Grounded in Theory and Research	Mindfulness at workplace can be explained through theories such as the Conservation of Resources (COR) theory and the extended job demands-resources (JD-R) model.	These theories could explain how mindfulness contributes to conserving psychological resources, minimizing stress and improving well-being.	These interventions in the workplace could offer solutions to reduce burnout, stress and improve well-being for managers and leaders.
Measurable	Mindfulness as a construct can be measured using validated tools like the Toronto Mindfulness Scale (TMS) and the Mindful Attention Awareness Scale (MAAS).	Such scales offer reliable measures of mindfulness, granting for clear evaluation in both research and practice.	This has implications for organizations to assess mindfulness levels over time and improve the precision of interventions.
Capable of Development	Mindfulness is a state-like construct, suggesting it can be deliberately developed through practice, training, and workplace provisions.	The capacity to advance mindfulness is reinforced by empirical research, highlighting its malleability through targeted methods.	Workplace mindfulness programs can be employed to improve employee skills, adjusting productivity, flexibility, and well-being.
Linked to Work Performance	Prior research highlights that Mindfulness impacts work performance positively, including problem-solving, creativity, and job motivation.	Mindfulness nurtures psychological flexibility and strength, that can improve job performance and productivity.	Mindfulness practices can be included into employee training programs to improve performance, resourcefulness, and job satisfaction.

Table 1: Mindfulness as a Key Component of PsyCap

Luthans and his colleagues' concerns relating to mindfulness as PsyCap

1
2
3 Luthans *et al.* (2015) posited that mindfulness meets the theory-based, state-like,
4 measurable, and work outcomes-related criteria for inclusion as psycap. However, they
5 questioned whether mindfulness meets the psycap requirements of an agentic mechanism (i.e.,
6 intentional actions or decisions) and its relationship with work performance. This article argues
7 that mindfulness is indeed linked to work performance (Cheung *et al.*, 2020; Dane and
8 Brummel, 2014; Forjan *et al.*, 2020; Hafenbrack and Vohs, 2018; Lyddy and Good, 2017; Pang
9 and Ruch, 2019). Additionally, research on workplace mindfulness suggests that everyday
10 mindfulness can be intentionally cultivated or experienced in the workplace (Cigolla and
11 Brown, 2011; Forjan *et al.*, 2020; Hulsheger *et al.*, 2018; Irving *et al.*, 2014; Jamieson and
12 Tuckey, 2017; Lyddy and Good, 2017). For example, a study found that therapeutic staff
13 consciously choose to be mindful in their personal and professional lives, dealing with patients
14 with attention, awareness, openness, compassion, and acceptance (Cigolla and Brown, 2011).
15 Other studies indicate that mindfulness in everyday life is experienced as an intentional state
16 of being rather than simply doing, marked by enhanced awareness of cognitions, sensations,
17 and emotions (Irving *et al.*, 2014; Lyddy and Good, 2017). Thus, mindfulness meets the psycap
18 criteria for an agentic mechanism and its connection to work performance.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 Luthans *et al.* (2015) asserted that mindfulness, by promoting non-judgmental
42 awareness of present experiences, does not fully align with the PsyCap criteria related to the
43 positive appraisal of circumstances and success probabilities. While many mindfulness
44 practices emphasize non-judgmental awareness, some, such as compassion and loving-
45 kindness practices, actively encourage a positive appraisal of present circumstances for the
46 practitioner's well-being (Hofmann *et al.*, 2011). These practices differ in focus: loving-
47 kindness centers on unconditional kindness, while compassion emphasizes deep sympathy for
48 oneself and others (Grossman and Van Dam, 2011; Hopkins, 2001). They involve wishing for
49 the mental and physical well-being of others, including both supportive and challenging
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 individuals (Hofmann *et al.*, 2011; The Dalai Lama, 2001). In this context, mindfulness can
4
5 indeed foster a positive appraisal of emotions, motivations, and behaviors toward oneself and
6
7 others (Salzberg, 1995). Salzberg (2011) also emphasized that mindfulness is not about
8
9 neutrality but about awareness and discerning feelings and reactions, such as pleasant,
10
11 unpleasant, or neutral emotions. This awareness allows individuals to explore options and
12
13 choose how to respond. Moreover, mindfulness can contribute to cultivating a positive outlook
14
15 on future outcomes or success probabilities.
16
17
18
19

20
21 Overall, Luthans *et al.* (2015) argued that mindfulness fulfils key criteria for inclusion
22
23 as a component of PsyCap, such as being theory-based, state-like, measurable, and related to
24
25 work outcomes, but questioned its alignment with agentic mechanisms and positive appraisal
26
27 of success. This article argues that mindfulness is indeed linked to work performance and can
28
29 be intentionally cultivated in the workplace, meeting the PsyCap requirement for agentic
30
31 mechanisms. Additionally, mindfulness practices like compassion and loving-kindness
32
33 promote positive appraisals of circumstances, further supporting its inclusion as a PsyCap
34
35 component. Overall, mindfulness meets all criteria for PsyCap and can be considered part of
36
37 it.
38
39
40
41

42 *Unique Contribution of Mindfulness as Psychological Capital*

43

44
45 Mindfulness uniquely influences PsyCap by extending through a mechanism of action
46
47 that complements the current components of hope, efficacy, resilience, and optimism. While
48
49 these components have a future-oriented focus on achieving goals, having a positive viewpoint
50
51 and bouncing from adverse circumstances (Mikus and Teoh, 2022), mindfulness on the other
52
53 hand emphasizes awareness of the present moment as well as accepting experiences without
54
55 judgement (Brown and Ryan, 2003).
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

As shown in Figure 1, we propose that this present-focused awareness could uniquely advance our understanding of psychological capital in the following ways. First, mindfulness activities facilitate individuals to regulate their emotional and cognitive reactivity to stressful situations and challenges (Ahne and Rosselli, 2024) thereby preventing them from engaging in impulsive responses. This aspect complements and adds to the resilience dimension but functions differently, by adopting self-control and equanimity rather than just healing. Second, mindfulness enhances metacognition and enables individuals to better identify and manage their strengths and weaknesses (Aránega *et al.*, 2020). This enhanced self-awareness complements and adds to the efficacy dimension by providing clarity in actions and decision-making. Third, mindfulness helps individuals to adapt to changing situations and be more adaptable and flexible in their approach (Dunn and Larson, 2023). This advances and goes beyond the optimism dimension which focuses on maintaining a positive outlook. Fourth, mindfulness also enables individuals to have sustained focus and attention (Bajestani *et al.*, 2024) that helps goal-directed behavior that indirectly contributes to hope and efficacy dimensions by enabling a foundation for measured action. This aspect is not addressed in other dimensions. Hence, mindfulness contributes to psychological capital by not only extending the current dimensions but also significantly enhancing psychological functioning through a present-focused approach, emotional regulation, self-awareness, adaptability and improvement in focus and attention.




Figure 1: Proposing Mindfulness as psychological capital (adapted from Newman et al., 2014)

Discussion

Mindfulness interventions at work have emerged as a promising tool for enhancing employee well-being, relationships, and performance (Good *et al.*, 2016). However, the spiritual and religious origins of mindfulness can raise ethical concerns about its application in organizational settings (Monteiro *et al.*, 2015; Purser and Loy, 2013; Purser, 2018). Some scholars have highlighted the secular and scientific aspects of mindfulness (Baer, 2015; Cheung, 2018; DeSteno, 2013), arguing that the field of POB offers a basis for understanding contemporary mindfulness in a non-religious, evidence-based context (Avey *et al.*, 2008; Luthans *et al.*, 2017; Malinowski and Lim, 2015; Marianetti and Passmore, 2009; Roche *et al.*, 2014; Roche and Haar, 2019). However, these studies have not provided a comprehensive

1
2
3 literature review to fully understand mindfulness within the POB domain. Our comprehensive
4 review of the POB literature highlights the secular and scientific nature of contemporary
5 workplace mindfulness, addresses ethical concerns, and contributes to POB by proposing
6 mindfulness as a potential fifth component of PsyCap. Specifically, we compare and contrast
7 Buddhist and contemporary conceptualization of mindfulness and then argue that
8 contemporary mindfulness aligns with the inclusion criteria of PsyCap relating to the
9 theoretical and research foundations, measurability, developmental potential, and its
10 relationship to work performance.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 **Research Implications**

22
23
24
25 This article contributes significantly to the ongoing discussion about the ethical
26 application of mindfulness in the workplace (Baer, 2015; Cheung, 2018; DeSteno, 2013;
27 Mikulas, 2018). Previous research has suggested that the scientific field of psychology offers
28 a suitable domain for the ethical application of contemporary mindfulness in organizational
29 settings (Baer, 2015; Cheung, 2018; DeSteno, 2013; Mikulas, 2018). By providing a more
30 thorough and rigorous analysis of POB literature, this article enhances the discussion on
31 contemporary mindfulness, proposing it as a potential construct of PsyCap. It argues that the
32 POB field effectively supports understanding the scientific and secular nature of mindfulness
33 in workplace contexts, offering a valuable foundation for organizational researchers.
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 While some studies have suggested that mindfulness could be included as PsyCap due
48 to its alignment with the basic inclusion criteria (Marianetti and Passmore, 2009; Luthans *et*
49 *al.*, 2015; Luthans *et al.*, 2017; Roche and Haar, 2019), there has been a lack of in-depth
50 discussion on this topic. This article addresses that gap by critically evaluating the current
51 literature on workplace mindfulness and PsyCap, aiding organizational researchers in
52 understanding this relationship. It argues that mindfulness meets the PsyCap criteria of being
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 theory-based, measurable, developable, and related to work performance. Luthans *et al.* (2015)
4 raised concerns about mindfulness fulfilling PsyCap requirements, particularly regarding the
5 agentic mechanism, work performance relationship, and positive appraisal. This article
6
7 examines these concerns in light of current workplace mindfulness literature, providing
8 empirical evidence of mindfulness connection to work and task performance (e.g., Cheung *et*
9
10 *al.*, 2020; Dane and Brummel, 2014; Forjan *et al.*, 2020; Hafenbrack and Vohs, 2018) and its
11 intentional cultivation in the workplace (Cigolla and Brown, 2011; Forjan *et al.*, 2020;
12
13 Hulsheger *et al.*, 2018; Irving *et al.*, 2014; Jamieson and Tuckey, 2017; Lyddy and Good,
14
15 2017). Additionally, mindfulness practices, such as loving-kindness and compassion, involve
16 a positive appraisal of circumstances and success possibilities (Grossman and Van Dam, 2011;
17
18 Hofmann *et al.*, 2011; Hopkins, 2001; Salzberg, 1995, 2011; The Dalai Lama, 2001),
19 suggesting that mindfulness could be considered a potential PsyCap within POB, alongside
20
21 hope, efficacy, resilience, and optimism.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 Furthermore, the article identifies areas for future research through an in-depth
35 evaluation of workplace mindfulness in POB literature. While most research has focused on
36
37 trait mindfulness and mindfulness interventions (e.g., Allen and Kiburz, 2012; Zhang *et al.*,
38
39 2013; Wolever *et al.*, 2012), there has been limited exploration of state mindfulness (Forjan *et*
40
41 *al.*, 2020; Hulsheger *et al.*, 2018). For instance, the nature of state mindfulness in everyday
42 workplace contexts remains unclear, as does the distinction between state mindfulness and
43
44 informal mindfulness practices like mindful reading, driving, and eating (Kiken *et al.*, 2015).
45
46 The majority of existing mindfulness-based scales measure trait mindfulness, with only two
47
48 (MAAS and TMS) measuring state mindfulness (Bergomi *et al.*, 2013; Sauer *et al.*, 2013). To
49
50 better understand state mindfulness as a PsyCap construct, further research is needed on its
51
52 nature and measurement. The article highlights the need for more research on the intersection
53
54 of POB and workplace mindfulness, noting that while POB is a promising field for studying
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 mindfulness and workplace outcomes, its application in organizational literature remains
4
5 limited (Shahbaz and Parker, 2022).
6
7

8 **Practical implications**

9

10
11 This article presents three key practical implications. First, while mindfulness
12 interventions are often recognised as effective tools for enhancing employee well-being and
13 performance (Johnson *et al.*, 2020; Lomas *et al.*, 2017; Sajjad and Shahbaz, 2020),
14 organizational leaders and employees may hesitate to adopt these practices due to their spiritual
15 and religious origins (Monteiro *et al.*, 2015; Purser and Loy, 2013; Purser, 2018). This article
16 clarifies the nature of contemporary mindfulness within the workplace, emphasizing its
17 scientific and secular aspects. A deeper understanding of mindfulness as a secular and
18 evidence-based intervention could increase the confidence of those considering its integration
19 into organizational practices for workplace benefits.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 Secondly, Luthans *et al.* (2004) argued that organizations can gain a competitive edge
34 not by merely addressing existing problems but by investing in their PsyCap, such as
35 confidence, hope, optimism, and resilience. This article proposes a new perspective by
36 suggesting mindfulness as a potential PsyCap construct. Traditional mindfulness programs like
37 MBSR, MBCT (Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy), and DBT have primarily focused on
38 managing issues like anxiety, depression, and personality disorders (Kabat-Zinn, 1982;
39 Teasdale *et al.*, 2000; Linehan, 1993). While these programs aim to reduce negative outcomes
40 in line with psychological approaches focused on deficit reduction (Seligman and
41 Csikszentmihalyi, 2000), they also lead to enhancements in areas such as positive affect,
42 cognitive functioning, self-regulation, positive appraisal, and improved interpersonal
43 interactions (Geschwind *et al.*, 2011; Goleman, 2006; Holzel *et al.*, 2011; Ryan and Deci,
44 2000). Therefore, practitioners should consider incorporating mindfulness not merely as a
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 solution to workplace problems but as a positive strength that can offer multifaceted benefits
4
5 to organizations.
6
7

8
9 Third, viewing mindfulness as a positive strength and component of PsyCap could also
10 enhance the employee recruitment process. Mindful employees are likely to contribute
11 positively to the organization's psychological capital and may perform better than others (e.g.,
12 Cheung *et al.*, 2020; Dane and Brummel, 2014; Forjan *et al.*, 2020; Hafenbrack and Vohs,
13 2018). Consequently, organizational leaders might consider mindfulness as an important
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24 criterion when hiring new employees, recognising its potential to bolster overall organizational
25 performance.

25 **Conclusion**

26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Mindfulness is an emerging concept in the workplace, but the limited understanding of its
scientific and secular aspects may hinder its research and application in the workplace context.
Drawing from the mindfulness and POB literature, we offer a deeper insight into contemporary
mindfulness, arguing that it meets the criteria for inclusion as a PsyCap construct. As such, it
could be recognized as a potential element of positive psychology in the workplace.
Conceptualizing mindfulness as a PsyCap construct enables researchers to investigate it within
the established field of POB and allows professionals to implement mindfulness practices in a
scientifically grounded manner.

References

- Ahne, E. and Rosselli, M. (2024), "The impact of a single, brief mindfulness intervention on cognitive and emotional reactivity: An EEG study", *Mindfulness*, Vol. 15 No. 8, pp. 1940-1957.
- Allen, T. D. and Kiburz, K. M. (2012), "Trait mindfulness and work-family balance among working parents: The mediating effects of vitality and sleep quality", *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, Vol. 80 No.2, pp. 372-379.
- Aránega, A. Y., Núñez, M. T. D. V. and Sánchez, R. C. (2020), "Mindfulness as an intrapreneurship tool for improving the working environment and self-awareness", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 115, pp. 186-193.
- Avey, J. B., Wernsing, T. S. and Luthans, F. (2008), "Can positive employees help positive organizational change? Impact of psychological capital and emotions on relevant attitudes and behaviors", *The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, Vol 44 No 1, pp. 48-70.
- Baer, R. A. (2015), "Ethics, values, virtues, and character strengths in mindfulness-based interventions: A psychological science perspective", *Mindfulness*, Vol 6 No 4, pp. 956-969.
- Baer, R. A. (2003), "Mindfulness training as a clinical intervention: A conceptual and empirical review", *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, Vol 10 No. 2, pp. 125-143.
- Bajestani, G. S., Ghanizadeh, A., Makhlooghi, F., Hosseinpour Kharrazi, F., Hosseini, A. and Toosi, M. B. (2024), "The Impact of Blended Mindfulness Intervention (BMI) on University Students' Sustained Attention, Working Memory, Academic Achievement, and Electroencephalogram (EEG) Asymmetry", *Mindfulness*, Vol. 15 No. 3, pp. 675-688.

- 1
2
3 Bergomi, C., Tschacher, W. and Kupper, Z. (2013), "The assessment of mindfulness with self-
4 report measures: Existing scales and open issues", *Mindfulness*, Vol 4 No 3, pp. 191-
5 202.
6
7
8
9
- 10 Bilal, S. and Zia-ur-Rehman, M. (2017), "The role of employee's mindfulness and human
11 resource development climate towards public leadership behavior-analyzing the
12 moderating impact of emotional capital", *Journal of Administrative and Business
13 Studies*, Vol 3 No 5, pp. 248-254.
14
15
16
17
18
- 19 Brown, C. G. (2017), "Ethics, transparency, and diversity in mindfulness programs", in
20 Monteiro, L. M., Compson, L.M, Compson, J.F., and Musten, F. (Ed.s), *Practitioner's
21 guide to ethics and mindfulness-based interventions*, Springer, pp. 45-86.
22
23
24
25
- 26 Brown, K. W. and Ryan, R. M. (2003), "The benefits of being present: mindfulness and its role
27 in psychological well-being", *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, Vol 84
28 No. 4, pp. 822-848.
29
30
31
32
- 33 Brown, K. W., Ryan, R. M. and Creswell, J. D. (2007), "Mindfulness: Theoretical foundations
34 and evidence for its salutary effects", *Psychological inquiry*, Vol 18 No. 4, pp. 211-
35 237.
36
37
38
39
- 40 Cameron, K. S. (2008), "Paradox in positive organizational change", *The Journal of Applied
41 Behavioral Science*, Vol. 44 No. 1, pp. 7-24.
42
43
44
- 45 Cameron, K. S. and Spreitzer, G. M. (2012), *Oxford Handbook of Positive Organizational
46 Scholarship*. Oxford University Press.
47
48
- 49 Chambers, R., Gullone, E. and Allen, N. B. (2009), "Mindful emotion regulation: An
50 integrative review", *Clinical Psychology Review*, Vol 29 No. 6, pp. 560-572.
51
52
53
- 54 Cheung, K. (2018), "Implicit and explicit ethics in mindfulness-based programs in a broader
55 context", In Stanley, S., Purser, R.E. and Singh, N.N. (Ed.s), *Handbook of ethical
56 foundations of mindfulness*, Springer, pp. 305-321.
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Cheung, S. Y., Huang, E. G., Chang, S. and Wei, L. (2020), "Does being mindful make people
4 more creative at work? The role of creative process engagement and perceived leader
5 humility", *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, Vol 159, pp. 39-
6 48.
7
8
9
10
11
12 Chiesa, A. (2013), "The difficulty of defining mindfulness: Current thought and critical issues",
13 *Mindfulness*, Vol 4 No 3, pp. 255-268.
14
15
16
17 Cigolla, F. and Brown, D. (2011), "A way of being: Bringing mindfulness into individual
18 therapy", *Psychotherapy Research*, Vol 21 No 6, pp. 709-721.
19
20
21
22 Cleirigh, D. O. and Greaney, J. (2015), "Mindfulness and group performance: An exploratory
23 investigation into the effects of brief mindfulness intervention on group task
24 performance", *Mindfulness*, Vol 6 No 3, pp. 601-609.
25
26
27
28
29 Dane, E. and Brummel, B. J. (2014), "Examining workplace mindfulness and its relations to
30 job performance and turnover intention", *Human Relations*, Vol 67 No 1, pp. 105-128.
31
32
33
34 DeSteno, D. (2013, July 5), "The morality of meditation", *The New York Times*, available at:
35 [https://www.nytimes.com/2013/07/07/opinion/sunday/the-morality-of-](https://www.nytimes.com/2013/07/07/opinion/sunday/the-morality-of-meditation.html)
36 [meditation.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2013/07/07/opinion/sunday/the-morality-of-meditation.html)
37
38
39
40 Ditrich, T. (2016), "Buddhism between Asia and Europe: The concept of mindfulness through
41 a historical lens", *Asian Studies*, Vol 4 No 1, pp. 197-213.
42
43
44
45 Dunne, J.D. (2015), "Buddhist styles of mindfulness: A heuristic approach," in Brian D.
46 Ostafin, Michael D., Robinson, and Brian P. M. (Ed.s), *Handbook of mindfulness and*
47 *self-regulation*, New York: Springer, pp. 251-270.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Dunn, M. S. and Larson, K. E. (2023), "Mindful Educators: Compassion, Community, and
Adaptability Are Key", *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational
Research*, Vol. 22 No. 2, pp. 358-376.

- 1
2
3 Dutton, J., Glynn, M.A. and Spreitzer, G. (2006), "Positive organizational scholarship", in J.H.
4 Greenhaus, J.H. and Callahan, G.A. (Ed.s), *Encyclopedia of Career Development*,
5 Sage, pp. 641-644.
6
7
8
9
10 Eby, L. T., Allen, T. D., Conley, K. M., Williamson, R. L., Henderson, T. G. and Mancini, V.
11 S. (2019), "Mindfulness-based training interventions for employees: A qualitative
12 review of the literature", *Human Resource Management Review*, Vol 29 No 2, pp. 156-
13 178.
14
15
16
17
18
19 Forjan, D. N., Tuckey, M. R. and Li, Y. (2020), "Problem-solving and affect as mechanisms
20 linking daily mindfulness to task performance and job satisfaction", *Stress and Health*,
21 Vol 36 No 3, pp. 338-349.
22
23
24
25
26 Gelles, D. (2015, February 27), "At Aetna, A CEO's management by mantra", *The New York*
27 *Times*, available at: [https://www.nytimes.com/2015/03/01/business/at-aetna-a-ceos-](https://www.nytimes.com/2015/03/01/business/at-aetna-a-ceos-management-by-mantra.html)
28 [management-by-mantra.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2015/03/01/business/at-aetna-a-ceos-management-by-mantra.html)
29
30
31
32
33 Geschwind, N., Peeters, F., Drukker, M., van Os, J. and Wichers, M. (2011), "Mindfulness
34 training increases momentary positive emotions and reward experience in adults
35 vulnerable to depression: A randomized controlled trial", *Journal of consulting and*
36 *clinical psychology*, Vol 79 No 5, pp. 618-628.
37
38
39
40
41
42 Glomb, T. M., Duffy, M. K., Bono, J. E. and Yang, T. (2011), "Mindfulness at work", *Research*
43 *in Personnel and Human Resources Management*, Vol 30, pp. 115-157.
44
45
46
47 Goleman, D. (2006), "The socially intelligent", *Educational leadership*, Vol 64 No 1, pp. 76-
48 81.
49
50
51
52 Good, D. J., Lyddy, C. J., Glomb, T. M., Bono, J. E., Brown, K. W., Duffy, M. K., Baer, R. A.,
53 Brewer, J. A. and Lazar, S. W. (2016), "Contemplating mindfulness at work: An
54 integrative review", *Journal of Management*, Vol 42 No. 1, pp. 114-142.
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Grossman, P. and Van Dam, N. T. (2011), "Mindfulness, by any other name...: trials and
4 tribulations of sati in western psychology and science", *Contemporary Buddhism*, Vol
5 12 No 1, pp. 219-239.
6
7
8
9
10 Hafenbrack, A. C. (2017), "Mindfulness meditation as an on-the-spot workplace
11 intervention", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol 75, pp. 118-129.
12
13
14 Hafenbrack, A. C. and Vohs, K. D. (2018), "Mindfulness meditation impairs task motivation
15 but not performance", *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, Vol
16 147, pp. 1-15.
17
18
19
20
21 Harrington, A. and Dunne, J. D. (2015), "When mindfulness is therapy: Ethical qualms,
22 historical perspectives", *American Psychologist*, Vol 70 No 7, pp. 621-631.
23
24
25
26 Hart, R., Ivtzan, I. and Hart, D. (2013), "Mind the gap in mindfulness research: A comparative
27 account of the leading schools of thought", *Review of General Psychology*, Vol 17 No
28 4, pp. 453-466.
29
30
31
32
33 Hofmann, S. G., Grossman, P. and Hinton, D. E. (2011), "Loving-kindness and compassion
34 meditation: Potential for psychological interventions", *Clinical psychology review*, Vol
35 31 No 7, pp. 1126-1132.
36
37
38
39
40 Holzel, B. K., Lazar, S. W., Gard, T., Schuman-Olivier, Z., Vago, D. R. and Ott, U. (2011),
41 "How does mindfulness meditation work? Proposing mechanisms of action from a
42 conceptual and neural perspective", *Perspectives on psychological science*, Vol 6 No
43 6, pp. 537-559.
44
45
46
47
48
49 Hopkins, J. (2001), *Cultivating compassion*, New York, NY: Broadway Books.
50
51
52 Hulsheger, U. R., Alberts, H. J., Feinholdt, A. and Lang, J. W. (2012), "Benefits of mindfulness
53 at work: the role of mindfulness in emotion regulation, emotional exhaustion, and job
54 satisfaction", *Journal of Applied Psychology*, Vol 98 No 2, pp. 310-325.
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Hulsheger, U. R., Walkowiak, A. and Thommes, M. S. (2018), "How can mindfulness be
4 promoted? Workload and recovery experiences as antecedents of daily fluctuations in
5 mindfulness", *Journal of occupational and organizational psychology*, Vol 91 no 2, pp.
6 261-284.
7
8
9
10
11
12 Hyland, P. K., Andrew Lee, R. and Mills, M. J. (2015), "Mindfulness at work: A new approach
13 to improving individual and organizational performance", *Industrial and*
14 *Organizational Psychology*, Vol 8 No 4, pp. 576-602.
15
16
17
18
19 Ie, A., Ngnoumen, C. T. and Langer, E. J. (2014), *The wiley blackwell handbook of*
20 *mindfulness*, Wiley, pp 1-6.
21
22
23
24 Irving, J. A., Park-Saltzman, J., Fitzpatrick, M., Dobkin, P. L., Chen, A. and Hutchinson, T.
25 (2014), "Experiences of health care professionals enrolled in mindfulness-based
26 medical practice: a grounded theory model", *Mindfulness*, Vol 5 No 1, pp. 60-71.
27
28
29
30
31 Jamieson, S. D. and Tuckey, M. R. (2017), "Mindfulness interventions in the workplace: A
32 critique of the current state of the literature", *Journal of occupational health*
33 *psychology*, Vol 22 No 2, pp. 180-193.
34
35
36
37
38 Johnson, D. P., Penn, D. L., Fredrickson, B. L., Meyer, P. S., Kring, A. M. and Brantley, M.
39 (2009), "Loving-kindness meditation to enhance recovery from negative symptoms of
40 schizophrenia", *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, Vol 65 No 5, pp. 499-509.
41
42
43
44
45 Johnson, K. R., Park, S. and Chaudhuri, S. (2020), "Mindfulness training in the workplace:
46 exploring its scope and outcomes", *European Journal of Training and Development*,
47 Vol 44 No 4/5, pp. 341-354.
48
49
50
51
52 Kabat-Zinn, J. (2003), "Mindfulness-based interventions in context: Past, present, and future",
53 *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, Vol 10 No 2, pp. 144-156.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Kabat-Zinn, J. (1982), "An outpatient program in behavioral medicine for chronic pain patients
4 based on the practice of mindfulness meditation: Theoretical considerations and
5 preliminary results", *General hospital psychiatry*, Vol 4 No 1, pp. 33-47.
6
7
8
9
- 10 Kang, C. and Whittingham, K. (2010), "Mindfulness: A dialogue between Buddhism and
11 clinical psychology", *Mindfulness*, Vol 1 No 3, pp. 161-173.
12
13
- 14 Khoury, B., Knauper, B., Pagnini, F., Trent, N., Chiesa, A. and Carriere, K. (2017), "Embodied
15 mindfulness", *Mindfulness*, Vol 8 No 5, pp. 1160-1171.
16
17
18
- 19 Kiken, L. G., Garland, E. L., Bluth, K., Palsson, O. S. and Gaylord, S. A. (2015), "From a state
20 to a trait: Trajectories of state mindfulness in meditation during intervention predict
21 changes in trait mindfulness", *Personality and Individual Differences*, Vol 81, pp. 41-
22 46.
23
24
25
26
27
- 28 Krageloh, C. U. (2018), "Mindfulness, heedfulness, and ethics", in Stanley, S., Purser, R.E., &
29 Singh, N.N. (Ed.), *Handbook of ethical foundations of mindfulness*, Springer, pp. 85-
30 100.
31
32
33
34
- 35 Landau, S. D. and Jones, F. W. (2021), "Finding the spiritual in the secular: A meta-analysis
36 of changes in spirituality following secular mindfulness-based programs",
37 *Mindfulness*, Vol 12, pp. 1567-1581.
38
39
40
41
- 42 Langer, E. J. (2014), "Mindfulness forward and back", In Ie, A. Ngnoumen, C. T., and Langer,
43 E. J. (Ed.s), *The Wiley Blackwell Handbook of Mindfulness*, Wiley, pp. 7-20.
44
45
46
- 47 Langer, E. J. and Moldoveanu, M. (2000), "The construct of mindfulness", *Journal of Social
48 Issues*, Vol 56 No 1, pp. 1-9.
49
50
- 51 Lau, M. A., Bishop, S. R., Segal, Z. V., Buis, T., Anderson, N. D., Carlson, L., Shapiro, S.,
52 Carmody, J., Abbey, S. and Devins, G. (2006), "The toronto mindfulness scale:
53 Development and validation", *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, Vol 62 No 12, pp. 1445-
54 1467.
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Lawrie, E. J., Tuckey, M. R. and Dollard, M. F. (2018), "Job design for mindful work: The
4
5 boosting effect of psychosocial safety climate", *Journal of Occupational Health*
6
7 *Psychology*, Vol 23 No 4, pp. 483-495.
8
9
- 10 Lindahl, J. R. (2015), "Why right mindfulness might not be right for
11
12 mindfulness", *Mindfulness*, Vol 6 No 1, pp. 57-62.
13
14
- 15 Linehan, M. M. (1993), *Cognitive-behavioral treatment of borderline personality disorder*.
16
17 New York: Guilford.
18
- 19 Luthans, F. (2002), "Positive organizational behavior: Developing and managing
20
21 psychological strengths", *Academy of Management Perspectives*, Vol 16 No 1, pp. 57-
22
23 72.
24
25
- 26 Luthans, F., Avolio, B. J., Avey, J. B. and Norman, S. M. (2007), "Positive psychological
27
28 capital: Measurement and relationship with performance and satisfaction", *Personnel*
29
30 *psychology*, Vol 60 No 3, pp. 541-572.
31
32
- 33 Luthans, F. and Youssef, C. M. (2004), "Human, social, and now positive psychological capital
34
35 management: Investing in people for competitive advantage", *Organizational*
36
37 *Dynamics*, Vol 33 No 2, pp. 143-60.
38
39
- 40 Luthans, F. and Youssef, C. M. (2017), "Psychological capital: An evidence-based positive
41
42 approach", *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational*
43
44 *Behavior*, Vol 4, pp. 339-366.
45
46
- 47 Luthans, F., Youssef, C. M. and Avolio, B. J. (2015), *Psychological capital and beyond*,
48
49 Oxford University Press.
50
- 51 Lyddy, C. J. and Good, D. J. (2017), "Being while doing: An inductive model of mindfulness
52
53 at work", *Frontiers in Psychology*, Vol 7, available at:
54
55 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.02060>
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Lyddy, C. J., Schachter, Y., Reyer, A. and Julliard, K. (2016), "Transfer of mindfulness training
4 to the work setting: A qualitative study in a health care system", *Journal of Continuing*
5
6 *Education in the health Professions*, Vol 36 No 4, pp. 240-248.
7
8
9
- 10 Malarkey, W. B., Jarjoura, D. and Klatt, M. (2013), "Workplace-based mindfulness practice
11 and inflammation: a randomized trial", *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*, Vol 27, pp.
12
13 145-154.
14
15
16
- 17 Marianetti, O. and Passmore, J. (2009), *Mindfulness at work: paying attention to enhance well-*
18
19 *-being and performance*, Oxford University Press.
20
21
- 22 Mikulas, W. L. (2018), "Buddhist ethics, spiritual practice, and the three yanas", in Stanley, S.,
23
24 Purser, R.E., & Singh, N.N. (Ed.), *Handbook of ethical foundations of mindfulness*,
25
26 Springer, pp. 101-119.
27
- 28 Mikus, K. and Teoh, K. R. (2022), "Psychological capital, future-oriented coping, and the well-
29
30 being of secondary school teachers in Germany", *Educational Psychology*, Vol. 42 No.
31
32 3, pp. 334-353.
33
34
- 35 Monteiro, L. M., Musten, R. and Compson, J. (2015), "Traditional and contemporary
36
37 mindfulness: finding the middle path in the tangle of concerns", *Mindfulness*, Vol 6 No
38
39 1, pp. 1-13.
40
41
- 42 Newman, A., Ucbasaran, D., Zhu, F. E. I. and Hirst, G. (2014), "Psychological capital: A
43
44 review and synthesis", *Journal of organizational behavior*, Vol. 35 No. 1, pp. 120-138.
45
46
- 47 Pang, D. and Ruch, W. (2019), "Fusing character strengths and mindfulness interventions:
48
49 Benefits for job satisfaction and performance", *Journal of Occupational Health*
50
51 *Psychology*, Vol 24 No 1, pp. 150-162.
52
53
- 54 Payne, R. K. (2018), "Mindfulness and morality", in Stanley, S., Purser, R.E., & Singh, N.N.
55
56 (Ed.), *Handbook of Ethical Foundations of Mindfulness*, Springer, pp. 323-337.
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Purser, R. and Loy, D. (2013, July 01), Beyond mcMindfulness. *Huffington post*, available at:
4
5 https://www.huffpost.com/entry/beyond-mcmindfulness_b_3519289
6
7
8 Purser, R. E. (2018), "Critical perspectives on corporate mindfulness", *Journal of*
9
10 *Management, Spirituality & Religion*, Vol 15 No 2, pp. 105-108.
11
12 Purser, R. E. and Milillo, J. (2015), "Mindfulness revisited: A Buddhist-based
13
14 conceptualization", *Journal of Management Inquiry*, Vol 24 No 1, pp. 3-24.
15
16
17 Qiu, J. X. J. and Rooney, D. (2019), "Addressing unintended ethical challenges of workplace
18
19 mindfulness: A four-stage mindfulness development model", *Journal of Business*
20
21 *Ethics*, Vol 157 No 3, pp. 715-730.
22
23
24 Reb, J., Narayanan, J., Chaturvedi, S. and Ekkirala, S. (2017), "The mediating role of emotional
25
26 exhaustion in the relationship of mindfulness with turnover intentions and job
27
28 performance", *Mindfulness*, Vol 8 No 3, pp. 707-716.
29
30
31 Reina, C. S. and Kudesia, R. S. (2020), "Wherever you go, there you become: How mindfulness
32
33 arises in everyday situations", *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision*
34
35 *Processes*, Vol 159, pp. 78-96.
36
37
38 Roche, M., Good, D., Lyddy, C., Tuckey, M. R., Grazier, M., Leroy, H. and Hulsheger, U.
39
40 (2020), "A Swiss army knife? How science challenges our understanding of
41
42 mindfulness in the workplace", *Organizational Dynamics*, Vol 49 No 4, pp. 1-9.
43
44
45 Roche, M. and Haar, J.M. (2019, August 9-13), "Adding mindfulness to psychological capital:
46
47 A two study investigation into why mindfulness matters", [Paper presentation], *79th*
48
49 *Annual Meeting of the Academy of Management on Understanding the Inclusive*
50
51 *Organization*, Boston, USA.
52
53
54 Roche, M., Haar, J. M. and Luthans, F. (2014), "The role of mindfulness and psychological
55
56 capital on the well-being of leaders", *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, Vol
57
58 19 No 4, pp. 476-489.
59
60

- 1
2
3 Roemer, A., Sutton, A., Grimm, C. and Medvedev, O. N. (2021), "Differential contribution of
4 the five facets of mindfulness to well-being and psychological
5 distress", *Mindfulness*, Vol 12, pp. 693-700.
6
7
8
9
- 10 Roeser, R. W., Schonert-Reichl, K. A., Jha, A., Cullen, M., Wallace, L., Wilensky, R., Oberle,
11 E., Thomson, K., Taylor, C. and Harrison, J. (2013), "Mindfulness training and
12 reductions in teacher stress and burnout: Results from two randomized, waitlist-control
13 field trials", *Journal of Educational Psychology*, Vol 105 No 3, pp. 787-804.
14
15
16
17
18
- 19 Ryan, R. M. and Deci, E. L. (2000), "*When rewards compete with nature: The undermining of*
20 *intrinsic motivation and self-regulation*", In *Intrinsic and extrinsic motivation*,
21 Academic Press, pp. 13-54.
22
23
24
25
- 26 Sajjad, A. and Shahbaz, W. (2020), "Mindfulness and social sustainability: An integrative
27 review", *Social Indicators Research*, Vol 150 No 1, pp. 73-94.
28
29
30
31
- 32 Salzberg, S. (1995), *Lovingkindness: The revolutionary art of happiness*, Shambhala
33 Publications.
34
35
- 36 Salzberg, S. (2011), "Mindfulness and loving-kindness", *Contemporary Buddhism*, Vol 12 No
37 1, pp. 177-182.
38
39
- 40 Sauer-Zavala, S. E., Walsh, E. C., Eisenlohr-Moul, T. A. and Lykins, E. L. (2013), "Comparing
41 mindfulness-based intervention strategies: Differential effects of sitting meditation,
42 body scan, and mindful yoga", *Mindfulness*, Vol 4 No 4, pp. 383-388.
43
44
45
46
- 47 Sauer, S., Walach, H., Schmidt, S., Hinterberger, T., Lynch, S., Büssing, A. and Kohls, N.
48 (2013), "Assessment of mindfulness: Review on state of the art", *Mindfulness*, Vol 4
49 No 1, pp. 3-17.
50
51
52
53
- 54 Schaufenbuett, K. (2015, December 28), "Why Google, Target, and General Mills are investing
55 in mindfulness", *Harvard Business Review*, available at: [https://hbr.org/2015/12/why-
56 google-target-and-general-mills-are-investing-in-mindfulness](https://hbr.org/2015/12/why-google-target-and-general-mills-are-investing-in-mindfulness)
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Seligman, M. E. P. (1998), *Learned optimism*, Pocket Books.
- 4
5 Seligman, M. E. P. and Csikszentmihalyi, M. (2000), "Positive psychology: An introduction",
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- Shahbaz, W. and Parker, J. (2022), "Workplace mindfulness: An integrative review of antecedents, mediators, and moderators", *Human Resource Management*, Vol 32 No 3, 100849.
- Shapiro, S. L. (2009), "The integration of mindfulness and psychology", *Journal of clinical psychology*, Vol 65 No 6, pp. 555-560.
- Snyder, C. R., Irving, L. M. and Anderson, J. R. (1991), "Hope and health", in Snyder, C.R. (Ed.), *Handbook of social and clinical psychology*, Oxford University Press, pp. 285-305.
- Stajkovic, A. S. and Luthans, F. (1998), "Social cognitive theory and self-efficacy: Going beyond traditional motivational and behavioral approaches", *Organizational Dynamics*, Vol 26 No 4, pp. 62-74.
- Sutcliffe, K. M., Vogus, T. J. and Dane, E. (2016), "Mindfulness in organizations: A cross-level review", *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, Vol 3, pp. 55-81.
- Teasdale, J. D., Segal, Z. V., Williams, J. M. G., Ridgeway, V. A., Soulsby, J. M. and Lau, M. A. (2000), "Prevention of relapse/recurrence in major depression by mindfulness-based cognitive therapy", *Journal of consulting and clinical psychology*, Vol 68 No 4, pp. 615-623.
- The Dalai Lama (2001), *An open heart: Practicing compassion in everyday life*, Boston, MA: Little Brown and Company.
- Tuckey, M. R., Sonnentag, S. and Bryan, J. (2018), "Are state mindfulness and state work engagement related during the workday?", *Work & Stress*, Vol 32 No 1, pp. 33-48.

- 1
2
3 Vu, M. C. and Burton, N. (2024), "Beyond the inclusion–exclusion binary: Right mindfulness
4 and its implications for perceived inclusion and exclusion in the workplace", *Journal*
5 *of Business Ethics*, Vol 191 No 1, pp. 147-165.
6
7
8
9
10 Vu, M. C. and Gill, R. (2018), "Is there corporate mindfulness? An exploratory study of
11 Buddhist-enacted spiritual leaders' perspectives and practices", *Journal of*
12 *Management, Spirituality & Religion*, Vol 15 No 2, pp. 155-177.
13
14
15
16
17 Weick, K.E., and Putnam, T. (2006), "Organizing for mindfulness: Eastern wisdom and
18 Western knowledge", *Journal of Management Inquiry*, Vol f5 No 3, pp. 275—287.
19
20
21
22 Willmott, H. (2018), "Madness and mindfulness: How the "Personal" Is "Political", in S.
23 Stanley, S., Purser, R.E., & Singh, N.N. (Ed.), *Handbook of ethical foundations of*
24 *mindfulness*, Springer, pp. 259-281.
25
26
27
28
29 Wolever, R. Q., Bobinet, K. J., McCabe, K., Mackenzie, E. R., Fekete, E., Kusnick, C. A. and
30 Baime, M. (2012), "Effective and viable mind-body stress reduction in the workplace:
31 a randomized controlled trial", *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, Vol 17 No
32 2, pp. 246-258.
33
34
35
36
37
38 Zhang, J., Ding, W., Li, Y. and Wu, C. (2013), "Task complexity matters: The influence of
39 trait mindfulness on task and safety performance of nuclear power plant operators",
40 *Personality and Individual Differences*, Vol 55 No 4, pp. 433-439.
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60