

A large ozone-circulation feedback and its implications for global warming assessments

Article

Nowack, P. J., Abraham, N. L., Maycock, A. C., Braesicke, P., Gregory, J. M. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1296-8644, Joshi, M. M., Osprey, A. and Pyle, J. A. (2015) A large ozonecirculation feedback and its implications for global warming assessments. Nature Climate Change, 5 (1). pp. 41-45. ISSN 1758-678X doi: https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2451 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/38984/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2451

Publisher: Nature Publishing Group

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur



CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

A large ozone-circulation feedback and its implications for

2 global warming assessments

3

Peer J. Nowack^{1*}, N. Luke Abraham^{2,1}, Amanda C. Maycock^{1,2}, Peter Braesicke^{2,1,3}, 4 Jonathan M. Gregory^{2,4,6}, Manoj M. Joshi^{2,4,5}, Annette Osprey^{2,4} and John A. Pyle^{1,2} 5 ¹Centre for Atmospheric Science, Department of Chemistry, University of 6 Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom 7 ²National Centre for Atmospheric Science, United Kingdom 8 ³now at: Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, IMK-ASF, Karlsruhe, Germany 9 ⁴Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, Reading, United Kingdom 10 ⁵now at: Centre for Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, University of East Anglia, 11 Norwich, United Kingdom 12 ⁶Met Office Hadley Centre, Met Office, Exeter, United Kingdom 13 14 State-of-the-art climate models now include more climate processes which are 15 simulated at higher spatial resolution than ever¹. Nevertheless, some 16 processes, such as atmospheric chemical feedbacks, are still computationally 17 expensive and are often ignored in climate simulations^{1,2}. Here we present 18 evidence that how stratospheric ozone is represented in climate models can 19 have a first order impact on estimates of effective climate sensitivity. Using a 20 comprehensive atmosphere-ocean chemistry-climate model, we find an 21 increase in global mean surface warming of around 1°C (~20%) after 75 years 22 when ozone is prescribed at pre-industrial levels compared with when it is 23 allowed to evolve self-consistently in response to an abrupt 4xCO₂ forcing. 24 The difference is primarily attributed to changes in longwave radiative 25 feedbacks associated with circulation-driven decreases in tropical lower 26 stratospheric ozone and related stratospheric water vapour and cirrus cloud 27 changes. This has important implications for global model intercomparison 28 studies^{1,2} in which participating models often use simplified treatments of 29

atmospheric composition changes that are neither consistent with the specified greenhouse gas forcing scenario nor with the associated atmospheric circulation feedbacks³⁻⁵.

Starting from pre-industrial conditions, an instantaneous quadrupling of the 33 atmospheric CO₂ mixing ratio is a standard climate change experiment (referred to 34 as abrupt4xCO₂) in model intercomparison projects such as the Coupled Model 35 Intercomparison Project phase 5 (CMIP5)¹ or the Geoengineering Model 36 Intercomparison Project (GeoMIP)². One aim of these initiatives is to offer a 37 quantitative assessment of possible future climate change, with the range of 38 projections from participating models commonly used as a measure of uncertainty⁶. 39 Within such projects, stratospheric chemistry, and therefore stratospheric ozone, is 40 treated differently in individual models. In CMIP5 and GeoMIP, the majority of 41 participating models did not explicitly calculate stratospheric ozone changes^{2,4}. For 42 abrupt4xCO₂ experiments, modelling centres thus often prescribed stratospheric 43 ozone at pre-industrial levels^{2,5}. For transient CMIP5 experiments, it was instead 44 recommended to use an ozone field derived from the averaged projections of 13 45 chemistry-climate models (CCMs)³. This multi-model mean ozone dataset was 46 obtained from CCMVal-2 projections run under the SRES A1b scenario for well-47 mixed greenhouse gases, in contrast to the representative concentration pathway 48 (RCP) scenarios used in CMIP5. To date, research on the impacts of contrasting 49 representations of stratospheric ozone has focused on regional effects, such as the 50 influence of possible future Antarctic ozone recovery on the position of the Southern 51 Hemisphere mid-latitude jet^{4,7}. However, its potential effect on the magnitude of 52 projected global warming has not received much attention. 53

Here, we present evidence which highlights that stratospheric chemistry-54 climate feedbacks can exert a more significant influence on global warming 55 projections than has been suggested⁸. For a specific climate change experiment, we 56 show that the choice of how to represent key stratospheric chemical species alone 57 can result in a 20% difference in simulated global mean surface warming. Therefore, 58 a treatment of ozone that is not internally consistent with a particular model or 59 greenhouse gas scenario, as is the case for some CMIP5 simulations, could 60 introduce a significant bias into climate change projections. 61

The model used here is a HadGEM3-AO configuration of the UK Met Office's Unified Model⁹ coupled to the UKCA stratospheric chemistry scheme¹⁰ (see Methods). This comprehensive model set-up allows us to study complex feedback effects between the atmosphere, land surface, ocean and sea-ice.

Fig. 1 shows the evolution of global and annual mean surface temperature 66 anomalies (ΔT_{surf}) from eight different climate integrations, two of which were carried 67 out with interactive stratospheric chemistry and six with different prescribed monthly-68 mean fields of the following chemically and radiatively active gases: ozone, methane 69 and nitrous oxide (see Table 1 for details). Experiments with label A are pre-70 industrial control runs. Experiment B is an abrupt4xCO₂ run with fully interactive 71 chemistry, and experiments labelled C are non-interactive abrupt4xCO₂ runs in 72 which the chemical fields were prescribed at pre-industrial levels. We conducted two 73 versions of each non-interactive experiment to test the effect of using zonal mean 74 fields (label 2, e.g. A2) instead of full 3D fields (label 1, e.g. A1). The time 75 development of ΔT_{surf} shows a clear difference of nearly 20% between the 76 abrupt4xCO₂ experiments B and C1/C2, indicating a much larger global warming in 77

C1/C2 as a consequence of missing composition feedbacks. The primary driver of 78 these differences is changing ozone, with methane and nitrous oxide making much 79 smaller contributions, see below. Fields averaged over the final 50 years of the 80 interactive experiment B were imposed from the beginning in the abrupt $4xCO_2$ 81 experiments B1 and B2. These simulations show a close agreement with experiment 82 B in terms of ΔT_{surf} , implying that the global mean energy budget can be 83 comparatively well-reproduced with this treatment of composition changes, despite 84 the neglect of transient changes in their abundances. 85

We apply the linear regression methodology for diagnosing climate forcing 86 and feedbacks established by Gregory et al.¹¹ (see also Methods) to investigate the 87 sources of the differences between the abrupt4xCO₂ experiments with and without 88 the effects of interactive chemistry included. The method assumes a linear 89 relationship between the change in global and annual mean radiative imbalance at 90 the top of the atmosphere (TOA) and $\Delta T_{surf.}$ It has been shown to capture well the 91 response of models to many types of climate forcing^{11,12}. The slope obtained from 92 the regression is defined as the climate feedback parameter, α (Wm⁻²°C⁻¹). It 93 represents a characteristic quantity of a given model system, since its magnitude 94 approximates the ΔT_{surf} response to a radiative forcing introduced to the system. Fig. 95 2a shows the Gregory regression plot for each of the 75 years after the initial abrupt 96 4xCO₂ forcing is imposed. The slopes diagnosed for the chemically-similar 97 experiments B, B1 and B2 differ only slightly, however, in C1 and C2, which use the 98 pre-industrial ozone climatologies, there is a significant decrease in the magnitude of 99 α by ~20%, consistent with the larger ΔT_{surf} response. The prescribed chemical fields 100 drive the difference between experiments B1/B2 and C1/C2, so that the fundamental 101

difference in how the modelled climate system responds to the CO₂ forcing must be 102 connected to the changes in atmospheric composition and related further feedbacks. 103 To further investigate the differences, we decompose the TOA radiative fluxes 104 into clear-sky (CS) and cloud radiative effect (CRE) components. In addition, we 105 separate them further into shortwave (SW) and longwave (LW) contributions, 106 producing four components in total (see Methods)¹². Fig. 2b and 2c show Gregory 107 regressions for the two components found to be responsible for the majority of the 108 difference in α , namely the CS-LW ($\alpha_{cs,lw}$) and the CRE-LW ($\alpha_{cre,lw}$) components (see 109 Supplementary Fig. S1 for the smaller changes in the SW components). The 110 differences in $\alpha_{cs,lw}$ between B and C1/C2 are of the same sign as those for α , but 111 larger in magnitude, whereas the change in $\alpha_{cre,lw}$ is of the opposite sign and smaller 112 in magnitude. 113

The reasons for the changes in the CS-LW contribution to α can be 114 understood from the impact of the decrease in tropical and subtropical lower 115 stratospheric ozone between experiment A (and, by definition C1/C2) and B (Fig. 116 3a), which mainly arises as a result of an accelerated Brewer-Dobson circulation 117 (BDC, Supplementary Fig. S2), a ubiquitous feature in climate model projections 118 under increased atmospheric CO_2 concentrations^{4,13}. The increase in middle and 119 upper stratospheric ozone due to the slowing of catalytic ozone depletion cycles¹⁴ 120 under CO₂-induced cooling¹⁵ of the stratosphere is also well understood. The local 121 decrease in ozone induces a significant cooling of the lower and middle tropical 122 stratosphere of up to 3.5°C in experiment B relative to C1 (Fig. 3b). An important 123 feedback resulting from this decrease in tropical tropopause temperature is a relative 124 drying of the stratosphere by ~4 ppmv in experiment B compared to C1/C2 125

(Supplementary Fig. S3). Since stratospheric water vapour is a greenhouse gas, this
 amplifies the tropospheric cooling due to the tropical and subtropical decreases in
 lower stratospheric ozone, and thus also contributes to changes in α (refs 16,17).

It is well-known that composition changes can modify the radiative balance of 129 the atmosphere. However, our results demonstrate that the choice of how to include 130 stratospheric composition feedbacks in climate models can be of first order 131 importance for projections of global climate change. We diagnose radiative effects 132 due to the differences in ozone and stratospheric water vapour between B and C1 of 133 -0.68 Wm⁻² and -0.78 Wm⁻², respectively (see also Methods and Supplementary 134 Figure S4). The magnitude of this effect is related to the strong dependency of the 135 LW radiative impact of ozone and stratospheric water vapour changes on their 136 latitudinal and vertical structure. For instance, the low temperatures in the tropical 137 upper troposphere and lower stratosphere (UTLS) make ozone changes in this 138 region particularly important for the global energy budget^{18,19}. Consequently, climate 139 models need to capture ozone changes here realistically; the tropical UTLS is a 140 crucially sensitive region for climate models. However, trends in tropical tropopause 141 height under climate change differ between models and depend on the forcing 142 scenario²⁰. This suggests a potential mismatch between vertical temperature and 143 prescribed ozone profiles in climate models which do not calculate ozone 144 interactively. Such a mismatch would not only affect the direct radiative impact of 145 ozone, but could also trigger inconsistent local heating or cooling in the cold trap 146 region, which is crucial for the magnitude of the stratospheric water vapour feedback. 147

The magnitude of the overall feedback is expected to be strongly modeldependent, see for example the study by Dietmüller *et al.* (ref. 8) with a less well

resolved stratosphere. The simulated BDC (and thus ozone) trends are closely 150 related to the degree of tropospheric warming (ref. 21), which differs between 151 models. The exact scaling of the ozone and water vapour response with tropospheric 152 warming, in turn, will depend on other model-dependent factors, including the 153 representation of gravity waves, the representation of the stratosphere, tropopause 154 dehydration, lightning NO_x, other Earth system feedbacks, as well as the model base 155 state²². Prescribing an ozone field which is neither consistent with the model nor with 156 the forcing scenario, as in some CMIP5 experiments, will also lead to an inconsistent 157 representation of the feedback. Consequently, further modelling studies are needed 158 to investigate how such inter-model differences affect the magnitude of this feedback 159 among a range of models. 160

The UTLS ozone changes are also key to understanding the differences in 161 $\alpha_{cre.lw}$ (Fig. 2c). To isolate the dominant changes from 50°N to 50°S, we use regional 162 Gregory regressions (Supplementary Fig. S5; ref. 23). We find a significant increase 163 in UTLS cirrus clouds in this region in B compared with C1 (Fig. 4 and 164 Supplementary Fig. S6), in agreement with the sensitivity of cirrus cloud formation to 165 atmospheric temperature (Fig. 3b; ref. 24). This reduces the magnitude of the 166 negative $\alpha_{cre,lw}$ in B compared to C1, consistent with the effects of high-altitude cirrus 167 clouds on the LW energy budget²⁴⁻²⁶. More studies are needed to quantify how this 168 effect could add to the large uncertainty in cloud feedbacks found in state-of-the-art 169 climate models^{12,24-26}. However, we highlight the large range in the magnitude of 170 $\alpha_{cre,lw}$ arising as a result of varying the treatment of ozone. This has obvious 171 implications for studies in which cloud feedbacks are compared between models 172 irrespective of their representation of stratospheric chemistry^{1,2,12}. 173

In conclusion, our results demonstrate the potential for considerable sensitivity 174 of global warming projections to the representation of stratospheric composition 175 feedbacks. We highlight the tropical UTLS as a key region for further study and 176 emphasize the need for similar studies; including other climate feedbacks and their 177 interactions in increasingly sophisticated Earth system models. Our results imply that 178 model- and scenario-consistent representations of ozone are required, in contrast to 179 the procedure applied widely in climate change assessments. These include 180 quadruple CO₂ experiments, where changes in ozone are often not considered, as 181 well as other CMIP5 and GeoMIP integrations where the majority of models specified 182 inconsistent ozone changes. We note that further increasing model resolution will not 183 address this fundamental issue. Consequently, we see a pressing need to invest 184 more effort into producing model- and scenario-specific ozone datasets, or to move 185 to a framework in which all participating models explicitly represent atmospheric 186 187 chemical processes.

188

189

190 Methods

191 Model set-up

A version of the recently developed atmosphere-ocean coupled configuration of the
Hadley Centre Global Environment Model version 3 (HadGEM3-AO) from the United
Kingdom Met Office has been employed here⁹. It consists of three submodels,
representing the atmosphere plus land surface, ocean and sea-ice.

For the atmosphere, the Met Office's Unified Model (MetUM) version 7.3 is used. The configuration used here is based on a regular grid with a horizontal resolution of 3.75° longitude by 2.5° latitude and comprises 60 vertical levels up to a height of ~84 km, and so includes a full representation of the stratosphere. Its dynamical core is non-hydrostatic and employs a semi-Lagrangian advection scheme. Subgridscale features such as clouds and gravity waves are parameterised.

The ocean component is the Nucleus for European Modelling of the Ocean (NEMO) model version 3.0 coupled to the Los Alamos sea ice model CICE version 4.0. It contains 31 vertical levels reaching down to a depth of 5 km. The NEMO configuration used in this study deploys a tripolar, locally anisotropic grid which has 2° resolution in longitude everywhere, but an increased latitudinal resolution in certain regions with up to 0.5° in the tropics.

Atmospheric chemistry is represented by the United Kingdom Chemistry and 208 Aerosols (UKCA) model in an updated version of the detailed stratospheric chemistry 209 configuration¹⁰ which is coupled to the MetUM. A simple tropospheric chemistry 210 scheme is included which provides for emissions of 3 chemical species and 211 constrains surface mixing ratios of 6 further species. This includes the surface mixing 212 ratios of nitrous oxide (280 ppbv) and methane (790 ppbv), which effectively keeps 213 their concentrations in the troposphere constant at approximately pre-industrial 214 levels. Changes in photolysis rates in the troposphere and the stratosphere are 215 calculated interactively using the Fast-JX photolysis scheme²⁷. 216

217 Linear climate feedback theory

²¹⁸ The theory is based on the following equation described by Gregory *et al.*¹¹

 $N = F + \alpha \Delta T_{surf}$

219

where N is the change in global mean net TOA radiative imbalance (Wm⁻²), F the 220 effective forcing (Wm⁻²), ΔT_{surf} the global-mean surface temperature change (°C), 221 and α the climate feedback parameter (W m⁻² °C⁻¹). Thus, α can be obtained by 222 regressing N as a function of time against ΔT_{surf} relative to a control climate. Here, 223 the positive sign convention is used, meaning that a negative α implies a stable 224 climate system. The theory assumes that the net climate feedback parameter can be 225 approximated by a linear superposition of processes which contribute to the overall 226 climate response to an imposed forcing. This can be expressed in form of a linear 227 decomposition of the α parameter into process-related parameters 228 $\alpha = \sum \lambda_i$ 229

with λ_i for example being $\lambda_{water feedback}$, λ_{clouds} etc. Similarly, one can decompose the climate feedback parameter into separate radiative components^{12,23,25}

232
$$\alpha = \alpha_{cs} + \alpha_{cre} = \alpha_{cs,sw} + \alpha_{cs,lw} + \alpha_{cre,sw} + \alpha_{cre,lw}$$

providing individual shortwave (SW) and longwave (LW) components for clear-sky
(CS) radiative fluxes and the cloud radiative effect (CRE). In this method, the CRE
contains direct cloud radiative effects and indirect cloud masking effects, e.g. due to
persistent cloud cover which masks surface albedo changes in the all-sky
calculation^{25,26}.

238 Radiative Transfer Experiments

The radiative transfer calculations were carried out using a version of the Edwards
 and Slingo²⁸ offline radiative transfer code updated to use the correlated-k method

for calculating transmittances²⁹. This is identical to the radiation code used in the 241 coupled model simulations. The inferred all-sky radiative effects due to the changes 242 in ozone and stratospheric water vapour between experiments B and C1 were 243 diagnosed using a base climatology (temperature, pressure, humidity etc.) taken 244 from the last 50 years of C1 and perturbing around this state with the B minus C1 245 ozone or stratospheric water vapour fields over the same time period. The 246 calculations employ the fixed dynamical heating (FDH) method¹⁵, in which 247 stratospheric temperatures are adjusted to re-establish radiative equilibrium in the 248 presence of the imposed perturbation (see ref. 30 for details). The radiative forcing is 249 then diagnosed as the imbalance in the total (LW+SW) net (down minus up) 250 tropopause fluxes. Note that the changes in ozone and stratospheric water vapour 251 described in the study could be considered as a part forcing and part climate 252 feedback. For example, the increase in ozone in the mid and upper stratosphere in 253 254 Fig. 3a is linked to the CO₂ induced cooling at these levels, and may therefore not be strongly correlated with surface temperature change. In contrast, the decrease in 255 ozone in the tropical mid- and lower-stratosphere is driven by the strengthening in 256 the Brewer-Dobson circulation, which is more closely linked to tropospheric 257 temperature change²¹. However, for the purposes of quantifying the radiative 258 contribution of the composition changes to the evolution of global climate in the 259 experiments, we impose them diagnostically in the offline code as a pseudo radiative 260 forcing agent. 261

262

263

264

265 **References**

266	1.	Taylor, K. E., Stouffer, R. J. & Meehl, G. A. An overview of CMIP5 and the
267		experiment design. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc. 93, 485–498 (2012).
268	2.	Kravitz, B. et al. An overview of the Geoengineering Model Intercomparison
269		Project (GeoMIP). J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 118, 13103–13107 (2013).
270	3.	Cionni, I. et al. Ozone database in support of CMIP5 simulations: results and
271		corresponding radiative forcing. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 11, 11267–11292
272		(2011).
273	4.	Eyring, V. et al. Long-term ozone changes and associated climate impacts in
274		CMIP5 simulations. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 118, 5029–5060 (2013).
275	5.	Jones, C. D. et al. The HadGEM2-ES implementation of CMIP5 centennial
276		simulations. <i>Geosci. Model Dev.</i> 4, 543–570 (2011).
277	6.	Knutti, R. & Sedláček, J. Robustness and uncertainties in the new CMIP5
278		climate model projections. Nature Clim. Change 3, 369–373 (2013).
279	7.	Son, SW. et al. The impact of stratospheric ozone recovery on the Southern
280		Hemisphere westerly jet. Science 320, 1486–1489 (2008).
281	8.	Dietmüller, S., Ponater, M. & Sausen, R. Interactive ozone induces a negative
282		feedback in CO ₂ -driven climate change simulations. <i>J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.</i>
283		119, 1796–1805 (2014).
284	9.	Hewitt, H. T. et al. Design and implementation of the infrastructure of
285		HadGEM3: the next-generation Met Office climate modelling system. Geosci.
286		<i>Model Dev.</i> 4 , 223–253 (2011).
287	10	. Morgenstern, O. et al. Evaluation of the new UKCA climate-composition
288		model – Part 1: The stratosphere. Geosci. Model Dev. 2, 43–57 (2009).

- 11. Gregory, J. M. *et al.* A new method for diagnosing radiative forcing and
- climate sensitivity. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **31**, L03205 (2004).
- 12. Andrews, T., Gregory, J. M., Webb, M. J. & Taylor, K. E. Forcing, feedbacks
 and climate sensitivity in CMIP5 coupled atmosphere-ocean climate models.
- 293 Geophys. Res. Lett. **39**, L09712 (2012).
- 13. Meul, S., Langematz, U., Oberländer, S., Garny, H. & Jöckel, P. Chemical
- contribution to future tropical ozone change in the lower stratosphere. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 14, 2959–2971 (2014).
- 14. Haigh, J. D. & Pyle, J. A. Ozone perturbation experiments in a two-
- dimensional circulation model. *Q. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc.* **108**, 551–574

299 **(1982)**.

- 15. Fels, S. B., Mahlman, J. D., Schwarzkopf, M. D. & Sinclair, R. W.
- 301 Stratospheric sensitivity to perturbations in ozone and carbon dioxide:
- radiative and dynamical response. J. Atmos. Sci. 37, 2265–2297 (1980).
- 303 16. Stuber, N., Ponater, M. & Sausen, R. Is the climate sensitivity to ozone
- 304 perturbations enhanced by stratospheric water vapor feedback? *Geophys.*
- 305 Res. Lett. 28, 2887–2890 (2001).
- 306 17. Stuber, N., Ponater, M. & Sausen, R. Why radiative forcing might fail as a
 307 predictor of climate change. *Clim. Dyn.* 24, 497–510 (2005).
- 18. Lacis, A. A., Wuebbles, D. J. & Logan, J. A. Radiative forcing of climate by
 changes in the vertical distribution of ozone. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* 95,
- 310 **9971–9981 (1990)**.
- 19. Hansen, J., Sato, M. & Ruedy, R. Radiative forcing and climate response. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* **102**, 6831–6864 (1997).

313	20	. Santer, B. D. et al. Contributions of anthropogenic and natural forcing to
314		recent tropopause height changes. Science 301, 479–483 (2003).
315	21	. Shepherd, T. G. & McLandress, C. A robust mechanism for strengthening of
316		the Brewer-Dobson circulation in response to climate change: critical-layer
317		control of subtropical wave breaking. J. Atmos. Sci. 68, 784–797 (2011).
318	22	. Hsu, J., Prather, M. J., Bergmann, D. & Cameron-Smith, P. Sensitivity of
319		stratospheric dynamics to uncertainty in O_3 production. J. Geophys. Res.
320		<i>Atmos.</i> 118 , 8984–8999 (2013).
321	23	. Boer, G. J. & Yu, B. Climate sensitivity and response. Clim. Dyn. 20, 415–429
322		(2003).
323	24	. Kuebbeler, M., Lohmann, U. & Feichter, J. Effects of stratospheric sulfate
324		aerosol geo-engineering on cirrus clouds. Geophys. Res. Lett. 39, L23803
325		(2012).
326	25	. Webb, M. J. et al. On the contribution of local feedback mechanisms to the
327		range of climate sensitivity in two GCM ensembles. Clim. Dyn. 27, 17–38
328		(2006).
329	26	. Zelinka, M. D. et al. Contributions of different cloud types to feedbacks and
330		rapid adjustments in CMIP5. J. Clim. 26, 5007–5027 (2013).
331	27	. Telford, P. J. et al. Implementation of the Fast-JX Photolysis scheme (v6.4)
332		into the UKCA component of the MetUM chemistry-climate model (v7.3).
333		Geosci. Model Dev. 6, 161–177 (2013).
334	28	. Edwards, J. M. & Slingo, A. Studies with a flexible new radiation code. I:
335		Choosing a configuration for a large-scale model. Q. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc.
336		122, 689–719 (1996).

337	29. Cusack, S., Edwards, J. M. & Crowther, J. M. Investigating k distribution
338	methods for parameterizing gaseous absorption in the Hadley Centre Climate
339	Model. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 104, 2051–2057 (1999).
340	30. Maycock, A. C., Shine, K. P. & Joshi, M. M. The temperature response to
341	stratospheric water vapour changes. Q. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc. 137, 1070-
342	1082 (2011).

343

344 Additional information

345 Supplementary information is available in the online version of the paper. Reprints

346 and permissions information is available online at www.nature.com/reprints.

347 Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to P.J.N.

348

352

349 Acknowledgements

350 We thank the European Research Council for funding through the ACCI project,

351 project number 267760. The model development was part of the QESM-ESM project

supported by the UK Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) under contract

numbers RH/H10/19 and R8/H12/124. We acknowledge use of the MONSooN

354 system, a collaborative facility supplied under the Joint Weather and Climate

355 Research Programme, which is a strategic partnership between the UK Met Office

and NERC. A.C.M. acknowledges support from an AXA Postdoctoral Research

³⁵⁷ Fellowship. For plotting, we used Matplotlib, a 2D graphics environment for the

³⁵⁸ Python programming language developed by J. D. Hunter. We are grateful for advice

of Paul Telford during the model development stage of this project and thank the

³⁶⁰ UKCA team at the UK Met Office for help and support.

361

362 Author contributions

- ³⁶³ P.J.N. conducted the research on a day-to-day basis; the model was developed by
- 364 N.L.A., J.M.G., M.M.J. and A.O.; N.L.A. and P.B. designed the initial experiment and
- 365 its subsequent evolution; major analysis and interpretation of results was performed
- by P.J.N. and A.C.M.; P.J.N. led the paper writing, supported by A.C.M.; N.L.A., P.B.
- ³⁶⁷ and J.A.P. all contributed to the discussion and interpretation of results and write-up;
- 368 J.A.P. suggested the study.

369

- 370 Competing financial interests
- The authors declare no competing financial interests.
- 372

373 Captions of Figures

374

375 Figure 1 | Temporal evolution of the annual and global mean surface

376 **temperature anomalies.** All anomalies (°C) are shown relative to the average

377 temperature of experiment A. Solid lines show the interactive chemistry runs (A, B),

dashed lines the 3D climatology experiments (A1, B1, C1) and dotted lines the 2D

- 379 climatology experiments (A2, B2, C2). For clarity, lines for the abrupt4xCO₂
- 380 experiments start after year one so that they are not joined with those of the
- 381 corresponding control experiments. The last 50 years of the abrupt4xCO₂
- 382 experiments are highlighted in the inset panel with the straight lines marking the
- ³⁸³ average temperature in each set of experiments over the last 20 years.

384

Figure 2 | Gregory regression plots. a, For all radiative components, giving an
 ~25% larger climate feedback parameter, α, in C1/C2 than in B. b, c, For the CS-

³⁸⁷ LW and CRE-LW components only. In particular in **c**, a clear evolution of the ³⁸⁸ atmospheric state B is observable as it starts off very close to C1 and C2 and ³⁸⁹ evolves towards B1 and B2. Radiative fluxes follow the downward sign convention ³⁹⁰ so that all negative (positive) changes in α imply a cooling (warming) effect. The ³⁹¹ inset tables give the correlation coefficient (R_{corr}) and the α parameter obtained from ³⁹² each regression.

393

Figure 3 | Annual and zonal mean differences in ozone and temperature. Shown 394 are averages over the last 50 years of each experiment. a, The percentage 395 differences in ozone between simulations B and A. By definition, these are identical 396 to the differences in the climatologies between B/B1/B2 and C1/C2/A/A1/A2. Note 397 that the climatologies of experiments B1/B2 and other 2D and 3D versions of each 398 set of experiment are only identical after zonal averaging. **b**, The absolute 399 temperature anomaly (°C) between experiments B and C1. Apart from some areas 400 around the tropopause (hatched out), all differences in **b** are statistically significant at 401 the 95% confidence level using a two-tailed Student's t-test. 402

403

Figure 4 | Cirrus cloud changes. Zonal and annual mean frozen cloud fraction per unit volume multiplied by factor 100 in the region 50°N-50°S where the deviations in $\alpha_{cre,lw}$ are found. The shading shows the difference B minus C1 averaged over the last 50 years of both experiments. Contour lines (interval 2.5) denote the climatology of C1. Note that the tropical cloud fraction increases at ~12-13 km mainly result from the relatively warmer climate in C1. They therefore do not change $\alpha_{cre,lw}$, in contrast to the increases in the UTLS, see also Figure S6. Non-significant differences (using

a two-tailed Student's t-test at the 95% confidence level or where the cloud fraction

- in both experiments is smaller than 5‰) are hatched out.
- 413

Table 1 | Overview of the experiments.

Experiment	Description	Initial Condition	Chemistry
А	piControl, (285 ppmv CO ₂)	Initialised from 900 year spin-up	Interactive
A1	piControl-1, (285 ppmv CO ₂)	Initialised from A (year 175)	Non-interactive, 3D climatologies from A
A2	piControl-2, (285 ppmv CO ₂)	Initialised from A (year 175)	Non-interactive, 2D climatologies from A
В	abrupt4xCO2 (1140 ppmv CO ₂)	Initialised from A (year 225)	Interactive
B1	abrupt4xCO2 (1140 ppmv CO ₂)	Initialised from A1 (year 50)	Non-interactive, 3D climatologies from B
B2	abrupt4xCO2 (1140 ppmv CO ₂)	Initialised from A2 (year 50)	Non-interactive, 2D climatologies from B
C1	abrupt4xCO2 (1140 ppmv CO ₂)	Initialised from A1 (year 50)	Non-interactive, 3D climatologies from A
C2	abrupt4xCO2 (1140 ppmv CO ₂)	Initialised from A2 (year 50)	Non-interactive, 2D climatologies from A

415 Climatologies for the non-interactive runs represent the seasonal cycle on a monthly-

416 mean basis. 3D climatologies contain chemical fields of the most important

⁴¹⁷ radiatively active species (ozone, methane, and nitrous oxide) for all spatial

418 dimensions (longitude, latitude, altitude). For 2D climatologies these fields were

419 averaged over all longitudes, as it is commonly done for ozone climatologies used in

420 non-interactive climate integrations 3,5 .







