

# *Evaluation of water properties in HEA– HEMA hydrogels swollen in aqueous-PEG solutions using thermoanalytical techniques*

Article

Accepted Version

Hackl, E. V., Khutoryanskiy, V. V. ORCID:  
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7221-2630>, Tiguman, G. M. B. and  
Ermolina, I. (2015) Evaluation of water properties in HEA–  
HEMA hydrogels swollen in aqueous-PEG solutions using  
thermoanalytical techniques. *Journal of Thermal Analysis and  
Calorimetry*, 121 (1). pp. 335-345. ISSN 1588-2926 doi:  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10973-015-4446-y> Available at  
<https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/40314/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

Published version at: <http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10973-015-4446-y>

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10973-015-4446-y>

Publisher: Springer Netherlands

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

[www.reading.ac.uk/centaur](http://www.reading.ac.uk/centaur)

**CentAUR**

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

# Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry

## Evaluation of water properties in HEA-HEMA hydrogels swollen in aqueous-PEG solutions using thermoanalytical techniques

--Manuscript Draft--

<b>Manuscript Number:</b>	
<b>Full Title:</b>	Evaluation of water properties in HEA-HEMA hydrogels swollen in aqueous-PEG solutions using thermoanalytical techniques
<b>Article Type:</b>	Original Research
<b>Corresponding Author:</b>	Irina Ermolina, Ph.D. De Montfort University Leicester, UNITED KINGDOM
<b>Corresponding Author Secondary Information:</b>	
<b>Corresponding Author's Institution:</b>	De Montfort University
<b>Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:</b>	
<b>First Author:</b>	Ellen V. Hackl, PhD
<b>First Author Secondary Information:</b>	
<b>Order of Authors:</b>	Ellen V. Hackl, PhD Vitaliy V. Khutoryanskiy, PhD Gustavo M.B. Tiguman Irina Ermolina, Ph.D.
<b>Order of Authors Secondary Information:</b>	
<b>Abstract:</b>	<p>Hydrogels are polymeric materials used in many pharmaceutical and biomedical applications due to their ability to form the 3-D hydrophilic polymeric networks, which can absorb large amounts of water. In the present work polyethylene glycols (PEG) were introduced into the hydrogel liquid phase in order to improve the mechanical properties of hydrogels composed of 2-hydroxyethylacrylate and 2-hydroxyethylmethacrylate (HEA-HEMA) synthesized with different co-monomer compositions and equilibrated in water or in 20% water-PEG 400 Da and 600 Da solutions. The thermoanalytical techniques (differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and thermogravimetry (TG)) were used to evaluate the amount and properties of free and bound water in HEA-HEMA hydrogels. Internal structure and the mechanical properties of hydrogels were studied using scanning electron microscopy and friability assay. TG 'loss-on-drying' experiments were applied to study the water-retention properties of hydrogels whereas the combination of TG and DSC allowed estimating the total amount of freezable and non-freezing water in hydrogels.</p> <p>The results show that the addition of the viscous co-solvent (PEG) to the liquid medium results in significant improvement of the mechanical properties of HEA-HEMA hydrogels and also slightly retards the water loss from hydrogels. A redistribution of free and bound water in the hydrogels equilibrated in mixed solutions containing 20 vol% of PEGs takes place.</p>
<b>Suggested Reviewers:</b>	Polycarpos Pissis National Technical University of Athens ppissis@central.ntua.gr  Jacek Ulanski Professor, Technical University of Lodz julanski@p.lodz.pl

1  
2  
3 Ellen V. Hackl<sup>1</sup>, Vitaliy V. Khutoryanskiy<sup>2</sup>, Gustavo M.B. Tiguman<sup>1,3</sup>, Irina Ermolina<sup>1\*</sup>  
4  
5

6 **Evaluation of water properties in HEA-HEMA hydrogels swollen in aqueous-PEG solutions using**  
7 **thermoanalytical techniques**  
8  
9

10 <sup>1</sup>Leicester School of Pharmacy, De Montfort University, Leicester, UK

11 <sup>2</sup>Reading School of Pharmacy, University of Reading, Reading, UK

12 <sup>3</sup>Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Universidade de Sao Paulo, Brazil  
13  
14

15  
16 \* Corresponding author, email: [iermolina@dmu.ac.uk](mailto:iermolina@dmu.ac.uk)  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

22  
23 **Key words:** hydrogels, mechanical properties, free water, bound water, DSC, TG  
24

25 **Abstract**  
26

27  
28 Hydrogels are polymeric materials used in many pharmaceutical and biomedical applications due to their ability to  
29 form the 3-D hydrophilic polymeric networks, which can absorb large amounts of water. In the present work  
30 polyethylene glycols (PEG) were introduced into the hydrogel liquid phase in order to improve the mechanical  
31 properties of hydrogels composed of 2-hydroxyethylacrylate and 2-hydroxyethylmethacrylate (HEA-HEMA)  
32 synthesized with different co-monomer compositions and equilibrated in water or in 20% water-PEG 400 Da and  
33 600 Da solutions. The thermoanalytical techniques (differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and thermogravimetry  
34 (TG)) were used to evaluate the amount and properties of free and bound water in HEA-HEMA hydrogels. Internal  
35 structure and the mechanical properties of hydrogels were studied using scanning electron microscopy and friability  
36 assay. TG 'loss-on-drying' experiments were applied to study the water-retention properties of hydrogels whereas  
37 the combination of TG and DSC allowed estimating the total amount of freezable and non-freezing water in  
38 hydrogels.  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

45 The results show that the addition of the viscous co-solvent (PEG) to the liquid medium results in significant  
46 improvement of the mechanical properties of HEA-HEMA hydrogels and also slightly retards the water loss from  
47 hydrogels. A redistribution of free and bound water in the hydrogels equilibrated in mixed solutions containing 20  
48 vol% of PEGs takes place.  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## Introduction

Hydrogels are three dimensional hydrophilic polymer networks, which are able to absorb and retain a significant amount of water (from 10-20% up to thousand of times their dry mass) [1, 2, 3]. Hydrogels are used in many pharmaceutical and biomedical applications (i.e. drug delivery systems [1, 4], contact lenses and ocular drug delivery [5, 6], wound dressings, tissue engineering [7, 8], intelligent sensitive sensors [1]) due to their excellent biocompatibility as they have properties similar to living tissues and do not trigger responses or rejections from the immune system. Hydrogels can be classified as chemical (permanent) if they are covalently cross-linked networks, or physical (reversible) if they are composed of non-covalently cross-linked networks and macromolecules are held together by ionic bonds, H-bonding and/or hydrophobic effects [1]. Chemically cross-linked hydrogels are usually mechanically stronger compared to physical gels.

Hydrogels can accommodate substantial amount of water, which has different properties depending on the position and interactions within the hydrophilic network. A “three states model” presented in 1973 by John and Andrade, defines three types of water in hydrogels - non-freezing or bound water, free or bulk water and freezing interfacial or intermediate water [9]. Molecules of free water are not affected by the polymer and freeze / melt similarly to pure water; molecules of bound water are directly immobilized by binding to the polymer chains through hydrogen bonds. Molecules of intermediate or freezing bound water interact weakly with polymers. Some authors suggest that the polar interaction between water and polymer chains cannot be the sole factor responsible for the existence of non-freezing water; other factors including increased viscosity at low temperatures and inability for water molecules to diffuse through the hydrogel phase also need to be taken into account [10 and references therein].

It was widely shown that properties of bound water (phase transitions, relaxation time, polarization, etc.) differ from properties of free water. As a result, diffusion of the water-soluble drug molecules in hydrogels is expected to be affected by the presence of different types of water. It is assumed that at high level of hydration, the drug diffusion would occur primarily in the bulk water; however at low hydration, the diffusion can take place in the bound water [11, 12]. The diffusion in bound water can be reduced due to additional steric hindrance, increased viscous drag, or reduced compatibility with a diffusing solute.

Several atomistic models have been proposed to describe the interstitial diffusion based on the motions of free water. For instance, according to the Mackie and Meares model [13], the polymer blocks a fraction of the sites restricting the solute diffusion to the free sites. In this case the diffusion coefficient  $D$  for a solute in the gel depends on the volume fraction of the free water (the porosity fraction),  $\varepsilon$ :  $f = \frac{D}{D_0} = (\varepsilon / (2 - \varepsilon))^2$ , where  $D_0$  is the diffusion coefficient in water. To obtain  $f < 0.1$  (meaning the diffusion time increases 10 times),  $\varepsilon$  must be below 0.5.

The drug uptake/release properties are strongly dependent on the diffusive behavior of the water; therefore the determination of the amount of free and bound water in hydrogels is very important for designing the intelligent drug

1  
2  
3 delivery systems with controlled drug release properties. Besides, knowing the redistribution of free and bound water  
4 is important for the understanding of the nature of interactions between polymer and water.  
5  
6

7 Several methods were previously applied to determine the different types of water in hydrogels including NMR [14,  
8 15, 16], dilatometry, conductivity measurements and thermal analysis [10, 17, 18 and references therein].  
9

10  
11 Polymer hydrogels (synthetic or natural) can be synthesized as homopolymers or co-polymers. Poly(2-hydroxyethyl  
12 methacrylate) (polyHEMA or PHEMA) is one of the most widely used hydrogel materials. The properties of  
13 PHEMA hydrogels have been extensively investigated in the number of studies [19 and references therein]. PHEMA  
14 has generally been regarded as a hydrophilic, but water insoluble material. It contains both hydrophobic (methyl) and  
15 hydrophilic pendant groups (OH, CO), which are responsible for the hydrogel swelling. In a swollen state it becomes  
16 soft and rubber-like thermostable material with a high mechanical strength.  
17  
18

19  
20 Different properties of hydrogels (such as oxygen permeability, the rate of drug release and release mechanism, etc.)  
21 can be adjusted by changing the water content in hydrogels. In order to increase the amount of water accumulated by  
22 hydrogels (the hydrogel swelling degree) HEMA monomers can be co-polymerized with more hydrophilic  
23 monomers [20, 21, 22, 23 ]. Recently Khutoryanskiy and co-workers [24] synthesized cross-linked HEA-HEMA co-  
24 polymer hydrogels with different HEA-to-HEMA ratios and studied their swelling and mechanical properties. It was  
25 shown that co-polymerisation of HEMA with more hydrophilic HEA can significantly increase the water content in  
26 hydrogels (the equilibrium swelling degree) when HEA content in hydrogels increases above 40 mol%. At the same  
27 time hydrogels with large HEA content (above 50-60 mol%) and significantly enriched water content were shown to  
28 have inferior mechanical properties (mechanical weaknesses). Increased friability of hydrogels makes them very  
29 difficult to handle, which limits their potential application.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38

39 In the present work oligomeric polyethylene glycols (PEG) with molecular weights of 400 and 600 Da were  
40 introduced into the liquid phase in order to overcome mechanical weaknesses and to improve the mechanical  
41 properties of cross-linked HEA-HEMA hydrogels. Polyethylene glycols with different molecular weights are widely  
42 used as excipients in cosmetics and pharmaceutical industry due to excellent solubility in water and outstanding  
43 toxicological safety [25]. However, addition of PEG into formulation can have an impact on water content and free-  
44 bound water redistribution in hydrogels resulting in alteration in drug diffusion and uptake/release properties.  
45 Therefore the state of water in HEA-HEMA hydrogels in PEG solutions needs to be examined to establish the PEG  
46 impact on the liquid medium and physicochemical properties of the hydrogels. To evaluate the amount and  
47 properties of free and bound water in HEA-HEMA hydrogels, in the present work the thermoanalytical techniques  
48 (DSC and TG) were applied. Additionally, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was engaged for the structure  
49 analysis of the fully swollen hydrogels. The friability test was applied to characterize the mechanical properties of  
50 hydrogels with different compositions and liquid media.  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## Materials and Methods

### Materials

The cross-linked 2-hydroxyethylacrylate-co-2-hydroxyethylmethacrylate (HEA-HEMA) hydrogels with different copolymer compositions were synthesized by three-dimensional free-radical copolymerisation of HEA and HEMA as described previously [24]. Eleven hydrogel samples with different HEA/HEMA ratios (HEA content varied from 0 to 100 mol%) were prepared. All hydrogels are labelled according to the HEA mol% content in the monomer feed mixture.

PEG with the average molecular weights of 400 and 600 Da were purchased from Fluka.

Freeze-dried hydrogels were immersed in different liquid media including distilled water, 20 vol% aqueous solutions of PEG 400 and 20 vol% aqueous solutions of PEG 600 Da. Hydrogels were fully swollen in a large excess of the liquid media at 4 °C until the mass of hydrogel became constant over time.

### Methods

#### *Swelling of HEA-HEMA hydrogels*

Dry hydrogels were immersed into an excessive amount of liquid (distilled water or 20 vol% aqueous solutions of PEG 400 or PEG 600) at room temperature. The mass of the hydrogels was measured gravimetrically at regular time intervals. Before each measurement surface water was accurately removed by blotting each sample against plastic weighing boat. The experiment was continued until the mass of a sample remained constant. Swelling degree (in %) at each time was calculated as

$$SD\% = \frac{W_s - W_d}{W_d} \cdot 100\% \quad (1)$$

where  $W_s$  is mass of a swollen hydrogel;  $W_d$  is mass of a dry hydrogel.

#### *Thermogravimetry (TG)*

TG measurements of fully-swollen HEA-HEMA hydrogels were carried out using the Perkin Elmer Pyris 1 Thermogravimetric Analyzer (USA). Nitrogen was used as purge gas with the flow rate of 20 mL min<sup>-1</sup>; nitrogen flow conditions were kept constant during all experiments. Two types of experiments were conducted:

(i) Temperature scanning: hydrogel samples (25-30 mg) were embedded into non-hermetic aluminium pans sealed up with a lid perforated by a needle. Samples were heated from room temperature to 250 °C at a heating rate of 5 °C min<sup>-1</sup>.

(ii) Loss on drying experiments: hydrogel sample of 25-30 mg was placed in uncovered aluminium pan and change in the sample mass was measured at constant temperature (37 °C) and controlled purged gas for 120 minutes. To evaporate water remained after hydrogel isothermal drying at physiological temperature sample was heated from

1  
2  
3 37 °C to 250 °C at a rate of 5 °C min<sup>-1</sup>. Water evaporated during incubation at 37 °C and total water in hydrogel  
4 samples were calculated.  
5  
6

### 7 ***Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)***

8 DSC measurements were performed in a Perkin–Elmer Jade DSC (USA). Swollen hydrogel samples (about 9-12 mg)  
9 were crimped in an aluminum pan. An empty aluminum pan was used as a reference. The samples were subjected to  
10 a cooling scan from 30 °C down to -35 °C (-45 °C for hydrogels swollen in 20 vol% PEG solutions) and equilibrated  
11 for 3 min, followed by a heating scan from that temperature up to 30 °C. Both scans were performed at the rate of  
12 2 °C min<sup>-1</sup>. Nitrogen was used as a purge gas at the flow rate of 30 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. The calorimeter was calibrated using  
13 indium standard.  
14  
15  
16  
17

### 18 ***Friability of fully swollen hydrogels***

19 Mechanical stability of the hydrogels with different HEA contents in aqueous and in 20 vol% PEG solutions was  
20 studied using an Erweka Roche friability tester (Germany). In a typical experiment a fully swollen hydrogel sample  
21 with mass ranging between 25 mg to 40 mg was placed in a plastic spheroidal container of 45.1 mm long with an  
22 internal diameter of 35.1 mm. To increase the damaging force a small plastic bead with a diameter of 8.2 mm and a  
23 mass of 660 mg was placed into the container. 100 µL of liquid medium (distilled water or PEG solution) were  
24 added to keep the hydrogel wet. Two spheroidal containers were placed in the friability tester and were subjected to  
25 15 min rotation at 25 revolutions per min. After rotation the mass of the largest hydrogel fragment was measured and  
26 hydrogel mass loss  $W_{loss}$  was calculated as  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

$$33 \quad W_{loss} \% = 100\% - \frac{W_{max}}{W_0} \times 100\% \quad (2)$$

34 where  $W_{max}$  is the mass of the largest hydrogel fragment after rotation and  $W_0$  is the initial mass of the hydrogel  
35 sample. Each experiment was repeated at least three times and average value of the mass loss was taken.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

### 41 ***Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)***

42 The surface morphology of the hydrogels was examined using Carl Zeiss EVO HD15 Scanning Electron Microscope  
43 (UK) with the Peltier Coolstage MK3 (DEBEN UK Ltd) at various magnifications (up to 10K). Fully water-swollen  
44 gel samples were mounted on 12.5 SEM pin metal stubs and quickly frozen before images were collected. The  
45 temperature and pressure inside the sample chamber were -21 °C at 10-15 Pa, respectively.  
46  
47  
48  
49

### 50 ***Calculation of the amount of freezing and non-freezing water in hydrogels***

51 The amount of non-freezable bound water  $W_{bound}$  in total water was assessed by subtracting the amount of freezing  
52 water from the total water content using the equation  
53  
54  
55

$$56 \quad W_{bound} (\%) = W_{total} (\%) - \left( \frac{\Delta H_{freezing}}{\Delta H_{pure}} \times 100\% \right) \quad (3)$$

57 where  $W_{total}$  is the total water content in fully swollen hydrogel,  $\Delta H_{freezing}$  is the melting enthalpy of freezable water  
58 (combined free and freezable bound water),  $\Delta H_{pure}$  is the melting enthalpy of pure water (334 J g<sup>-1</sup> [17]).  $\Delta H_{freezing}$   
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



1  
2  
3 values were calculated from the area under the endothermic melting peak on DSC heating run. The total water  
4 content in hydrogels was calculated from the mass loss by hydrogels upon temperature-induced water evaporation  
5 measured by TG.  
6  
7  
8  
9

## 10 **Results**

### 13 **Swelling of HEA-HEMA hydrogels in water and in 20 vol% PEG 400 (600) solutions.**

14  
15 Hydrogels were fully swollen either in water or in 20 vol% PEG solutions with PEG average molecular weights of  
16 400 or 600 Da. Fig. 1 (a) shows the relationship between the equilibrium swelling degree of HEA-HEMA hydrogels  
17 and the HEA content in hydrogels. As is seen, the amount of liquid in HEA-HEMA hydrogels in all solutions tested  
18 strongly depends on the copolymer composition and increases when the HEA content increases. The dependence on  
19 the HEA content is not linear; significant increase in the water uptake by the hydrogels occurs when the HEA content  
20 exceeded 40-50 mol% (Fig. 1 (a)). Especially remarkable increase in the equilibrium swelling degree is observed in  
21 the case of HEA-rich hydrogels with the HEA content  $\geq 70$  mol%. Fig. 1 (a) also shows that the equilibrium swelling  
22 degrees of hydrogels depend on the liquid medium and decrease in more viscous media (20 vol% PEG solutions).  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

28  
29 Kinetics of hydrogel swelling (Fig. 1 (b)) shows that swelling of HEA-rich hydrogels ( $\text{HEA} \geq 70$  mol%) occurs faster  
30 than swelling of HEMA-rich hydrogels ( $\text{HEA} \leq 40$  mol%) both in aqueous and in mixed solutions containing PEG  
31 400 (600).  
32  
33

### 34 **Morphology of HEA-HEMA hydrogels in aqueous solution.**

35  
36 The internal structure of water-swollen hydrogels was examined using SEM. The SEM micrographs of HEA-HEMA  
37 hydrogels at swelling equilibrium are shown in Fig. 2. All hydrogels have a porous structure with a low  
38 interconnectivity between individual pores in the case of PHEMA and highly interconnected pores in the case of  
39 HEA-rich hydrogels. PHEMA hydrogels show a very dense structure with the comparable linear sizes of pores and  
40 inter-pore polymeric “walls”. As the fraction of HEA in the co-polymeric network increases, the hydrogels show a  
41 remarkable increase in porosity leading to a decrease in the polymer “wall” thickness and increase in (pore  
42 diameter):(polymer “wall” thickness) ratio. These structural changes result from the increased interaction between  
43 more hydrophilic HEA fragments and water molecules. It is supposed that the pores are the regions of mobile phase  
44 permeation. Comparison of the SEM images (Fig. 2) and the swelling degree dependence on the HEA content (Fig. 1  
45 (a)) shows that the increased porosity of the HEA-rich hydrogels is observed in the hydrogel samples with the  
46 enhanced water sorption capability.  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52

### 53 **Mechanical stability of HEA-HEMA hydrogels in aqueous and PEG solutions**

54  
55 It is well known that the addition of PEG to aqueous solution increases the solution viscosity. Kinematic viscosities  
56 of 20 vol% PEG solutions used in the present work were found to be 2.58 and 3.02 mPa s for PEG 400 and PEG 600  
57 solutions, respectively. To test whether PEG presence in the liquid media could improve the hydrogel mechanical  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3 properties, friability of HEA-HEMA hydrogels with high HEA content ( $> 50$  mol%) fully swollen in water or in 20  
4 vol% PEG 400 (600) mixed solutions was assessed.  
5  
6

7 Fig. 3 shows the mass loss of the HEA-rich hydrogel samples in water and in 20 vol% solutions of PEG 400 and  
8 PEG 600 after the mechanical stress was applied. The mass loss values were calculated according to Eq. (2). As is  
9 seen, the mass loss increases with increased HEA content in hydrogels reflecting the fact that highly porous HEA-  
10 rich hydrogels containing a large amount of liquid are more fragile and easily brake into pieces. Hydrogels  
11 containing PEG keep their integrity much better and lose less mass under mechanical stress applied. PEG 600 (20  
12 vol% solution) shows stronger effect on the hydrogel mechanical properties than 20 vol% of PEG 400. These results  
13 show that when PEG is introduced in the liquid medium it is able to significantly improve the mechanical properties  
14 of HEA-HEMA hydrogels with high HEA content making them more stable and less fragile.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

### 21 **The total amount of water in HEA-HEMA hydrogels measured by TG**

22 The total amount of water is one of the main characteristics of hydrogels, since they are supposed to have an  
23 extraordinary capacity for imbibing water into the network structure. To assess the total amount of water in HEA-  
24 HEMA hydrogels the TG was performed from 25 to 250 °C and the total mass loss of the samples was calculated.  
25 Fig. 4 presents the values of the total amount of water in HEA-HEMA hydrogels containing aqueous or 20 vol%  
26 aqueous-PEG liquid phases. The water uptake by the hydrogels strongly depends on their chemical composition and  
27 remarkably increases with the HEA content both in water and in 20 vol% PEG 400 (600) mixed solutions. Hydrogels  
28 fully equilibrated in 20 vol% PEG solutions contain less amount of water than those fully equilibrated in pure water  
29 (Fig. 4) with only a minute difference between the water content in hydrogels swollen in 20 vol% mixed solutions  
30 containing PEG400 or PEG600.  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

### 38 **Water-retention properties of HEA-HEMA hydrogels in aqueous and PEG solutions studied by TG**

39 To study how the hydrogel composition and the presence of 20 vol% PEG 400 (600) in formulation affect the water-  
40 retention properties of hydrogels, a drying of swollen HEA-HEMA samples at physiological temperature (37 °C) was  
41 conducted using TG. Before experiments, the hydrogels were fully equilibrated either in water or in 20 vol% PEG  
42 solutions. In a typical 'loss-on-drying' experiment, each hydrogel sample was held during 120 min at 37 °C and  
43 constant purged gas flow and the sample mass loss over time was recorded. Typical 'loss-on-drying' TG curves for  
44 different hydrogels in 20 vol% PEG 600 are presented in Fig. 5 (a). Mass of the hydrogel samples decreases over  
45 time due to water expelling from hydrogels.  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

51 Figure 5(b) shows the dependencies of the amount of water lost after two hours of drying at 37 °C as a function of  
52 the HEA content in HEA-HEMA hydrogels equilibrated in water or in 20% PEG 400 (600) solutions. The results  
53 show that in the case of HEA-rich hydrogels, a negligible amount of residual water remained after the drying stage,  
54 evidencing that water is evaporated from HEA-rich hydrogels relatively easily. More water retains in HEMA-rich  
55 hydrogels (HEA  $< 40$  mol%). The presence of 20 vol% PEG in formulations does not change the profiles of water  
56 evaporation. The character of water evaporation from hydrogels remains similar for hydrogels containing water or 20  
57 vol% PEG solutions as a liquid medium. Hydrogels equilibrated in 20 vol% PEG 600 retain slightly more (~3-5%)  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3 water than hydrogels equilibrated in water (Fig. 5 (b)).  
4  
5

6 TG loss-on-drying curves schematically can be split into two stages: initial relatively fast loss of mass (i.e. fast water  
7 evaporation) followed by slow mass loss (i.e. slow water evaporation). To estimate the amount of fast and slowly  
8 evaporating water in HEA-HEMA hydrogels the inflection points were identified using Pyris TGA software (onset  
9 option). Fig. 5(c) shows the dependencies of the inflection times on the HEA content in hydrogels. As is seen, the  
10 inflection point is shifting towards longer times when the HEA content in hydrogels increases. The percentages of  
11 fast and slowly evaporating water calculated using the values of the sample masses at the inflection points are  
12 presented in Fig. 5(d).  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17

### 18 **Study of the thermal properties of water in HEA-HEMA hydrogels by DSC.**

19 Fig. 6(a) presents typical DSC curves of HEA-HEMA hydrogels in 20 vol% PEG 600 solution. All curves were  
20 obtained during the cooling run from 30 to -45°C followed by a heating run from -45 to 30 °C. For all samples  
21 studied (hydrogels swollen in water, in 20% PEG400 (or 600) solutions; pure water and 20% aqueous PEG  
22 solutions), two thermal events were observed: (i) sharp exothermic peak on the cooling stage related to  
23 crystallization of free water in the sample; (ii) broad endothermic peak on the heating stage due to the melting of  
24 water which was frozen during the cooling stage. The melting enthalpy values presented in Fig. 6 (b) increase when  
25 the HEA content increases indicating that the amount of freezable water strongly rises in HEA-rich hydrogel samples  
26 as they contain more water per unit of hydrogel mass. The dependencies in Fig. 6(b) are non-linear (“S”-shaped)  
27 indicating the significant changes in the HEA-HEMA hydrogel properties with a threshold near 40 mol% of HEA  
28 and the maximum melting enthalpy values achieved for samples containing 80-100 mol% of HEA. The absolute  
29 value of crystallization enthalpy also increases with the HEA content (data not shown).  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

### 38 **Estimation of the amount of free and bound water in HEA-HEMA hydrogels in aqueous and aqueous-PEG 39 solutions.**

40 The quantities of non-freezing ( $W_{bound}$ ) and freezing water ( $W_{freezing}$ ) within the HEA-HEMA hydrogels were  
41 determined from the area under the endothermic peak in DSC curves (Fig. 6(a)) according to Eq. (3). The melting  
42 enthalpy of pure water measured by DSC in our experiments has been found to be equal to 335 J g<sup>-1</sup> which is in very  
43 good agreement with the literature data (334-340 J g<sup>-1</sup> [17, 18, 26]). The total amount of water in hydrogels was  
44 measured by TG (Fig. 4). The quantities of freezing and non-freezing bound water are expressed as percentages of  
45 the total amount of water in fully swollen hydrogels.  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

51 Fig. 7 shows the amount of freezing water in HEA-HEMA hydrogels equilibrated in aqueous and in 20 vol%  
52 aqueous-PEG solutions. One can see that for water-swollen hydrogels the amount of freezing water significantly  
53 increases with HEA content from about 65% in PHEMA to about 90-95% in HEA90 and PHEA hydrogels.  
54 Consequently, the proportion of non-freezing water decreases with increased HEA content.  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3 As the content of liquid medium changes from pure water to 20 vol% water-PEG solution, the amount of freezing  
4 water in hydrogel samples decreases (Fig. 7). HEA-rich hydrogels show greater difference in the amount of freezing  
5 water between hydrogels equilibrated in water and in PEG solutions than HEMA-rich hydrogels.  
6  
7  
8  
9

## 10 **Discussion**

11  
12  
13 The equilibrium swelling degree of HEA-HEMA hydrogels strongly depends on HEA/HEMA ratio in the monomer  
14 mixture used for their synthesis. Increase in the HEA content above 40-50 mol% results in a remarkable rise in the  
15 swelling degree from about 200% for HEA40 up to about 1400% for HEA90 (Fig. 1(a)). Comparison of the swelling  
16 degree and the total water content in hydrogels (Fig. 4) shows that above 80-85% of the mass of the HEA70-HEA80  
17 hydrogel samples is the liquid phase; and for HEA90-PHEA water-swollen hydrogels the water content is as high as  
18 93-94 mass%. At the same time PHEMA hydrogel contains only 55 mass% of water. The difference in hydrogel  
19 swelling is related to the difference in the chemical structures of 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate (HEMA) and 2-  
20 hydroxyethyl acrylate (HEA) – lacking one methyl group, HEA is more hydrophilic than HEMA and can attract  
21 more water.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

28  
29 It is not surprising that a material containing 85-90 mass% of water shows weak mechanical properties. However,  
30 our results clearly show that the mechanical properties of HEA-HEMA hydrogels with high HEA content can be  
31 significantly improved by adding viscous co-solvent (PEG) to the hydrogel liquid medium. As is seen in Fig. 3,  
32 HEA-rich hydrogels containing 20 vol% PEG can better withstand the mechanical stress and preserve their integrity  
33 during friability test than the hydrogels swollen in pure water. More viscous 20 vol% PEG with molecular weight of  
34 600 (kinematic viscosity 3.02 mPa s) protects hydrogels from the mechanical stress better than 20 vol% PEG400  
35 (kinematic viscosity 2.58 mPa s).  
36  
37  
38  
39

40  
41 In mixed solutions containing 20 vol% PEG the equilibrium swelling degree of HEA-HEMA hydrogels decreases  
42 but still remains high (above 900-1000% for HEA-rich hydrogels with HEA > 70 mol%) compared to the swelling  
43 degree of PHEMA (below 200%) (Fig. 1(a)).  
44  
45  
46

47 Similar to the equilibrium swelling degree, the rate of hydrogel swelling also decreases in 20 vol% PEG solutions.  
48 To determine the mechanism of solvent diffusion into the polymer matrix the following power law equation [1, 27]  
49 can be used:  
50

$$51 \frac{M_t}{M_0} = kt^n \quad (4)$$

52  
53  
54  
55  
56 where  $M_t$  and  $M_0$  are the amount of water absorbed by the hydrogel at time  $t$  and at equilibrium,  $k$  is a characteristic  
57 constant of the system and  $n$  is a characteristic exponent of the mode of water transport. Equation (4) is applicable  
58 only to the initial stage of swelling when  $M_t / M_0 \leq 0.6$ . Equation (4) can be re-written as  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

$$\ln \frac{M_t}{M_0} = \ln k + n \ln t \quad (5)$$

The swelling kinetics data were re-plotted in logarithmic coordinates  $\ln(M_t/M_0)$  vs.  $\ln(t)$ . The intercept and slope of the linear curves obtained were used to calculate the values of the kinetic constant  $k$  and diffusion exponent  $n$ . Values of diffusion exponent  $n$  calculated for HEA-HEMA hydrogel swelling in water [24] and solutions containing 20 vol% PEG 400 (600) are shown in Fig. 1(c).

Depending on the rates of liquid diffusion into the polymer matrix and polymer relaxation, three types of diffusion mechanism can be considered [1, 28, 29]. For the Fickian diffusion (Case 1) with  $n=0.5$ , the rate of water diffusion is lower than the rate of relaxation of the polymer chain making the diffusion a rate limited factor. Coefficient  $n=1$  indicates Case 2 diffusion where the rate of diffusion is rapid compared with the chain relaxation process. Non-Fickian diffusion or Case 3 diffusion ( $0.50 < n < 1$ ) occurs when the diffusion and relaxation rates are comparable and hydrogel swelling is controlled by both liquid phase diffusion inside the polymer matrix and by relaxation of polymer chains [1, 27].

Analysis of the results (Fig. 1 (c)) shows that for hydrogels swollen in pure water nearly linear dependence of  $n$  on HEA content occurs. HEMA-rich samples (HEA < 60) revealed Fickian type of diffusion of water into hydrogels ( $n$  in the range of 0.33 - 0.45). At the same time HEA-rich hydrogels show non-Fickian type of diffusion ( $n > 0.5$ ). Similar observations were reported for HEMA-based hydrogels in [30, 31] with  $n$  equal to 0.44 for PHEMA and 0.5-0.53 for HEMA with more hydrophilic copolymers. In 20 vol% PEG solution swelling of HEMA-rich hydrogels (HEA < 70 mol%) becomes “less Fickian” [32] with  $n < 0.5$  (Fig. 1 (c)), meaning that increased viscosity of the liquid medium containing PEG makes its diffusion into the polymer matrix very slow, thus limiting the rate of the swelling process. In this case the water penetration rate is much below the polymer chain relaxation rate. HEA-rich samples (HEA > 80 mol%) show non-Fickian diffusion ( $0.5 < n < 1$ ) in 20 vol% PEG solutions as well as in water (Fig. 1 (c)).

One of the main properties of hydrogels is their ability not only to imbibe water but also to retain it making possible the sustained release of the loaded medicines. TG ‘loss-on-drying’ experiments allow a convenient way to study water evaporation from hydrogels at different temperatures. The TG results (Fig. 5(b)) show that the rate of water evaporation from hydrogels at physiological temperature (37 °C) strongly depends on the hydrogel composition – after 2 hours’ incubation HEMA-rich hydrogels lose significantly less water (up to 15-17%) than HEA-rich hydrogels due to their denser structure preventing water from escape. PEG400 has been shown to have an insignificant effect on the amount of water evaporated from HEA-rich (HEA  $\geq$ 70 mol%) hydrogels, however its presence helps HEMA-rich hydrogels (HEA  $\leq$  40 vol%) to retain water better (Fig.5 (b)). Both HEA-rich and HEMA-rich hydrogels containing 20 vol% PEG600 in the liquid medium retained more water after 120 min incubation at physiological temperature; however, as the results show, the overall increase in water retained is only 3-5% of the total amount.

1  
2  
3 TG also allows monitoring the profiles of water loss from hydrogels. As Fig. 5(a) shows that in the case of HEA-  
4 HEMA hydrogels the water evaporation profiles consist of “fast” and “slow” evaporation stages. It can be assumed  
5 that water evaporating during the “fast” stage is easily accessible and has little or no interaction with the polymer  
6 matrix (bulk water). On the contrary, “slowly” evaporating water requires more time to escape from hydrogel,  
7 probably, due to its H-bonding to the polymer matrix or to bound water. The more free water hydrogel contains, the  
8 longer the “fast” evaporating stage is expected to carry on and the more percentage of water is expected to be  
9 expelled during the fast stage.

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15 The duration of the “fast” evaporation stage clearly depends on the HEA content and increases from about 30 min for  
16 PHEMA to about 60 min for HEA-rich hydrogels (Fig. 5(c)). At the same time the amount of “fast” evaporating  
17 water also increases with increased HEA content – about 85% of the total water is evaporated during the fast stage  
18 from PHEMA and HEMA-rich hydrogels (HEA < 40 mol%) and almost 97-100% of water from HEA-rich hydrogels  
19 (HEA ≥ 80 mol%). The presence of 20 vol% PEG in liquid medium does not change the profiles of water  
20 evaporation from hydrogels. PEG400 has only a minor effect on the inflection time and the amount of fast-  
21 evaporating water. The presence of PEG600 in liquid medium slightly increases the duration of the fast stage and  
22 decreases the amount of fast-evaporating water (Fig. 5(c and d)).

23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29 Overall, the results show that the hydrogels equilibrated in 20 vol% PEG 600 retain more water than the samples  
30 swollen in water, however, the difference is rather small. One can conclude that the water-retention properties of  
31 HEA-HEMA hydrogels swollen in water and in PEG solutions strongly depend on the composition of the polymer  
32 network and, to a lesser extent, depend on the composition of the swelling liquid medium.

33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

Combination of TG and DSC thermoanalytical methods also allows assessing the amount of freezing (bulk and intermediate) and non-freezing (bound) water in hydrogels. The crystallization peak on the DSC curves of all samples (Fig. 6 (a)) indicates the presence of freezable water in hydrogels equilibrated in aqueous and in PEG solutions. For partly dried hydrogel samples the area under the crystallization peak significantly decreased and eventually peak disappeared. For example, for the HEA10 hydrogel the crystallization peak disappeared when the water content in hydrogel was reduced from ~60 (for hydrogel fully equilibrated in water) to ~38 mass% (data not shown). Therefore certain minimum water content in hydrogels is required for free water to appear.

The enthalpies of crystallization and melting measured by DSC were used to assess the amount of freezing water in hydrogels. Fig. 7 shows that the amount of freezable water in water-swollen hydrogels increases with increased HEA content. PHEMA hydrogel with the lowest water content possesses the smallest free-to-bound water ratio. As the HEA content in hydrogel increases, the total amount of water and the free-to-bound water ratio increase significantly. In the case of HEA-rich hydrogels the amount of freezable water rises due to a substantial increase in porosity of the HEA-rich hydrogels. It is expected that more hydrophilic HEA polymer contains a greater proportion of bound water. At the same time addition of HEA to HEMA within the copolymers leads to a polymer network with a lower cross-linking density (larger mesh size) and greater interconnecting pores accumulating more free water (Fig. 2). Perhaps, these two processes are in equilibrium in the case of intermediate HEA contents (30-60 mol%) where the swelling

1  
2  
3 degree is moderately high (Fig. 1(a)) and the amount of freezable water in hydrogels does not change significantly  
4 with increased HEA content (Fig. 7).  
5  
6

7  
8 In aqueous PEG solutions, a part of water molecules forms H-bonds with hydrophilic molecules of PEG increasing  
9 the overall amount of bound water. The latter results in a decrease in the melting enthalpies ( $\Delta H_{\text{melt}}$ ) of the mixed  
10 solutions:  $\Delta H_{\text{melt}}$  for 20 vol% PEG600 and PEG400 were 168 and 194, respectively, indicating that about 42 and  
11 50% of all water in mixed solutions becomes non-freezable. When 20 vol% PEGs (400 or 600) are added to the  
12 hydrogel liquid medium, the total amount of bound water is also expected to grow thus lowering the amount of free  
13 water (Fig. 7).  
14  
15  
16  
17

18 Overall, the results obtained show that the addition of the viscous co-solvent (PEG) to the liquid medium results in  
19 significant improvement of the mechanical properties of HEA-HEMA hydrogels and also slightly retards the water  
20 loss from hydrogels. A redistribution of free and bound water in the hydrogel samples occurring in mixed solutions  
21 containing 20 vol% PEG can have an impact on the rate of drug diffusion to/from hydrogels.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

### 27 **Acknowledgements**

28 The authors would like to thank Rachel Armitage for help with recording SEM images. G.M.B. Tiguman  
29 acknowledges the “Science Without Borders” student exchange program and the University of San Paulo, Brazil.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

### 36 **References**

- 
- 37  
38  
39 1. Peppas NA, Bures P., Leobandung W., Ichikawa H. Hydrogels in Pharmaceutical Formulations. *Eur J Pharm*  
40 *Biopharm.* 2000;50:27-46.  
41  
42 2. Lin CC., Metters AT. Hydrogels in controlled release formulations: network design and mathematical modeling.  
43 *Adv Drug Deliv Rev.* 2006; 58(12-13):1379-408.  
44  
45 3. Kim JK., Kim HJ., Chung JY., Lee JH., Young SB., Kim YH. Natural and synthetic biomaterials for controlled  
46 drug delivery. *Arch Pharm Res.* 2014;1:60-8.  
47  
48 4. Amin S., Rajabnezhad S., Kohli K. Hydrogels as Potential Drug Delivery Systems. *Scientif Res Essay.* 2011;  
49 11:1175-83.  
50  
51 5. Hu X., Hao L., Wang H., et al. Hydrogel contact lens for extended delivery of ophthalmic drugs. *Intl J Pol Sci.*  
52 2011;1-9.  
53  
54 6. Ribeiro A., Veiga F., Santos D., Torres-Labandeira JJ., Concheiro A., Alvarez-Lorenzo C. Bioinspired  
55 imprinted PHEMA-hydrogels for ocular delivery of carbonic anhydrase inhibitor drugs. *Biomacromolecules.*  
56 2011;12(3):701-9.  
57  
58 7. Cetin D., Kahraman AS., Gümüşderelioğlu M. Novel scaffolds based on poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate)  
59 superporous hydrogels for bone tissue engineering. *J Biomater Sci Polym Ed.* 2011;22:1157-78.  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

8. Slaughter B., Khurshid S., Fisher OZ., Khademhosseini A., Peppas NA. Hydrogels in Regenerative Medicine. *Adv Mater.* 2009;21:3307-29.
9. John MS., Andrade JD. Water and hydrogels. *J Biomed Mater Res.* 1973; 7:509-15.
10. Salmerón-Sánchez M., Monleón Pradas M., Gómez Ribelles JL. Thermal transitions in PHEA hydrogels by thermomechanical analysis. A comparison with DSC data. *Europ Pol J.* 2004; 40(2):329-34.
11. Wood JM., Attwood D., Collett JH. The influence of gel formulation on the diffusion of salicylic acid in polyHEMA hydrogels. *J Pharm Pharmacol.* 1982; 34(1):1-4.
12. Wood JM., Attwood D., Collett JM. Characterization of poly(2-hydroxyethylmethacrylate) gels. *Drug Devel Ind Pharm.* 1983;9(1-2):93-101.
13. Mackie JS., Meares P. The diffusion of electrolytes in a cation exchange resin membrane. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London.* 1955;232:498-509.
14. McBrierty VJ., Martin SJ., Karasz FE. Understanding hydrated polymers: the perspective of NMR. *J Mol Liquids.* 1999;80:179-205.
15. Sakai Y., Kuroki S., Satoh M. Water properties in the super-salt-resistive gel probed by NMR and DSC. *Langmuir.* 2008;24(13):6981-7.
16. Baumgartner S., Lahajnar G., Sepe A., Kristl J. Investigation of the state and dynamics of water in hydrogels of cellulose ethers by 1H NMR spectroscopy. *AAPS PharmSciTech.* 2002;3(4):E36.
17. Bouwstra JA., Salomon-de Vries MA., van Miltenburg JC. The thermal behaviour of water in hydrogels. *Thermochimica Acta* 1995;248:319-27.
18. Goda T., Watanabe J., Takai M., Ishihara K. Water structure and improved mechanical properties of phospholipid polymer hydrogel with phosphorylcholine centered intermolecular cross-linker. *Polymer*;4:1390-96.
19. Tomar N., Tomar M., Gulati N., Nagaich U. pHEMA hydrogels: Devices for ocular drug delivery. *Int J Health and Allied Sci.* 2012;4:224-30.
20. Paterson SM., Shadforth AM., Shaw JA., Brown DH., Chirila TV., Baker MV. Improving the cellular invasion into PHEMA sponges by incorporation of the RGD peptide ligand: the use of copolymerization as a means to functionalize PHEMA sponges. *Mater Sci Eng C Mater Biol Appl.* 2013;33(8):4917-22.
21. Dursch TJ., Taylor NO., Liu DE., Wu RY., Prausnitz JM., Radke CJ. Water-soluble drug partitioning and adsorption in HEMA/MAA hydrogels. *Biomaterials.* 2014;35(2):620-9.
22. Jovašević JS., Dimitrijević SI., Filipović JM., Tomić SLj., Micić M.M. Swelling, Mechanical and Antimicrobial Studies of Ag/P(HEMA/IA)/PVP Semi-IPN Hybrid Hydrogels. *Acta Physica Polonica a.* 2011;2:279-83.
23. Hong KH., Jeon YS., Kim JH. Preparation and properties of modified PHEMA hydrogels containing thermo-responsive pluronic component. *Macromol Res.* 2009;1:26-30.
24. Khutoryanskaya OV., Mayeva ZA., Mun GA., Khutoryanskiy VV. Designing temperature-responsive biocompatible copolymers and hydrogels based on 2-hydroxyethyl(meth)acrylates. *Biomacromolecules.* 2008;9(12):3353-61.
25. Henning T. Polyethylene glycols (PEGs) and the pharmaceutical industry. *PharmaChem.* 2002;6:57-59.



- 
- 1  
2  
3  
4 26. Ostrowska-Czubenko J., Gierszewska-Drużyńska M. Effect of Ionic Crosslinking on the Water State in  
5 Hydrogel Chitosan Membranes. *Carbohydrate Polym.* 2009;3:590-98.  
6  
7 27. Ritger PL., Peppas NA. A simple equation for description of solute release. I. Fickian and non-Fickian release  
8 from non-swelling devices in the form of slabs, spheres, cylinders or discs. *J Controlled Release.* 1987;5:23-36.  
9  
10 28. Katime I., Mendizábal E. Swelling properties of new hydrogels based on the dimethyl amino ethyl acrylate  
11 methyl chloride quaternary salt with acrylic acid and 2-methylene butane-1,4-dioic acid monomers in aqueous  
12 solutions. *Materials Sci&d Applicat.* 2010;1:162-67.  
13  
14 29 De Kee D., Liu Q., Hinestroza J. Viscoelastic (Non-Fickian) diffusion. *Canad J of Chem Engin.* 2005;6:913–29.  
15  
16 30. George KA., Wentrup-Byrne E., Hill DJT., Whittaker AK. Investigation into the diffusion of water into  
17 HEMA-co-MOEP hydrogels. *Biomacromolecules.* 2004;5(4):1194–99.  
18  
19 31. Tomić S., Mičić M., Filipović J., Suljovrujić E. Swelling and drug release behavior of poly(2-hydroxyethyl  
20 methacrylate/itaconic acid) copolymeric hydrogels obtained by gamma irradiation. *Radiat Phys&Chem.*  
21 2007;5:801-10.  
22  
23 32. Wang J., Wu W., Lin Z. Kinetics and thermodynamics of the water sorption of 2-hydroxyethyl  
24 methacrylate/styrene copolymer hydrogels. *J Appl Polym Sci.* 2008;109:3018-23.  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

35 **Figure Captions:**  
36

37 **Fig. 1** HEA-HEMA hydrogel swelling in aqueous and mixed solutions containing 20 vol% PEG400 or PEG600

38 (a) The equilibrium swelling degree of HEA-HEMA hydrogels as a function of the HEA co-polymer content for  
39 hydrogels fully swollen in water ( $\Delta$ ), 20 vol% PEG 400 ( $\blacksquare$ ) and 20 vol% PEG 600 ( $\bullet$ )  
40

41 (b) Typical swelling kinetics of HEA-HEMA hydrogels in 20 vol% PEG 600 solution; HEA content, mol%: 0 ( $\bullet$ ),  
42 60 ( $\blacktriangle$ ), 70 ( $\blacksquare$ )  
43

44 (c) Effect of the HEA content on the diffusion exponent  $n$  for hydrogels in water ( $\circ$ ) [24], 20 vol% PEG400 ( $\bullet$ ), 20  
45 vol% PEG600 ( $\blacktriangle$ )  
46  
47

48 **Fig. 2** SEM micrographs (x5000) of the porous structure of HEA-HEMA hydrogels equilibrated in distilled water.  
49 HEA content, mol%: (a) 0, (b) 10, (c) 50, (d) 80  
50  
51

52 **Fig. 3** The mass loss after the mechanical stress for HEA-HEMA hydrogels equilibrated in water ( $\Delta$ ), 20 vol% PEG  
53 400 ( $\blacksquare$ ) or 20 vol% PEG 600 ( $\bullet$ ) solutions  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 **Fig. 4** Total amount of water in hydrogels with different HEA content measured by TG. Hydrogels were fully  
5 equilibrated in water ( $\Delta$ ), 20 vol% PEG 400 ( $\blacktriangle$ ) or 20 vol% PEG 600 ( $\bullet$ ) solutions. Solid lines represent fitting of  
6 the experimental data to sigmoidal (Boltzmann) function  
7  
8  
9

10 **Fig. 5** Water-retention properties of HEA-HEMA hydrogels studied by TG

11 (a) Typical loss-on-drying TG curves illustrating change in hydrogel mass over time for HEA-HEMA hydrogels in  
12 20 vol% PEG400 incubated at a constant temperature 37 °C during 120 min. After 120 min hydrogels were heated  
13 up to 250 °C. HEA content (mol%) from top to bottom: 0, 30, 40, 50, 70, 100. The dashed lines interception shows  
14 the inflection point (illustrated for HEA100% only)  
15  
16

17 (b) Amount of water (% of the total water) evaporated during 120 min incubation of hydrogels at 37 °C for hydrogels  
18 with different HEA content equilibrated in water ( $\Delta$ ), 20 vol% PEG400 ( $\blacksquare$ ), 20 vol% PEG600 ( $\bullet$ )  
19  
20

21 (c) Dependencies of the inflection time on HEA content for hydrogels in water ( $\Delta$ ), 20 vol% PEG400 ( $\blacksquare$ ) and 20  
22 vol% PEG600 ( $\bullet$ )  
23

24 (d) The amount of water evaporated during the first (fast) stage vs. HEA content for hydrogels equilibrated in water  
25 ( $\Delta$ ), 20 vol% PEG400 ( $\blacksquare$ ), 20 vol% PEG600 ( $\bullet$ )  
26


27 Solid lines (in Fig b, c, d) represent fitting of the experimental data to sigmoidal (Boltzmann) function  
28  
29

30 **Fig. 6** Freezing and melting of water in HEA-HEMA hydrogels studied by DSC


31 (a) Typical DSC curves representing the freezing and melting curves for hydrogels in 20 vol% PEG 600 solution.  
32 HEA content (mol%) from top to bottom: 100, 90, 60, 40, 20, 10  
33

34 (b) Enthalpies of melting  $\Delta H$  for freezable water in hydrogels with different HEA content fully equilibrated in water  
35 ( $\Delta$ ), 20 vol% PEG400 ( $\blacksquare$ ) or 20 vol% PEG600 ( $\bullet$ )  
36  
37  
38


39 **Fig. 7** Amount of freezing water (%) in HEA-HEMA hydrogels with different HEA content equilibrated in water ( $\Delta$ ),  
40 20 vol% solutions of PEG400 ( $\blacksquare$ ) or PEG600 ( $\bullet$ )  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



a




b



c

Fig. 1



**Fig. 2**




Fig. 3







Fig. 4




a



b



c



d

Fig. 5




Fig. 6




Fig. 7

## Copyright Transfer Statement

Publisher: Akadémiai Kiadó Zrt., Budapest, Hungary

The signed Copyright Transfer Statement please return to:  
<http://www.editorialmanager.com/jtao/>

## Author

Name: Dr. Irina Ermolina  
 Address: De Montfort University, Leicester, UK LE1 3BH  
 E-mail address: ie.ermolina@dmu.ac.uk

## Article information

Title: Evaluation of water properties in HEMA-HEMA Hydrogels swollen in aqueous-PEI solution  
 Journal title: Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry  
 Co-authors: Eileen V. Hackett, Vitaliy Khutoryanskiy, Guntaro M.B. Tiggeman

## I. Transfer of copyright

By execution of the present Statement Author transfers copyright and assigns exclusively to Publisher all rights, title and interest that Author may have (to the extent transferable) in and to the Article and any revisions or versions thereof, including but not limited to the sole right to print, publish and sell the Article worldwide in all languages and media. Transfer of the above rights is referred to as those of the final and published version of the Article but does not restrict Author to self-archive the preprint version of his/her paper (see Section III).

## II. Rights and obligations of Publisher

The Publisher's rights to the Article shall especially include, but shall not be limited to:

- ability to publish an electronic version of the Article via the website of the publisher Akadémiai Kiadó, [www.akademiai.com](http://www.akademiai.com) (in Hungary), as well as the co-publisher's website, [www.SpringerLink.com](http://www.SpringerLink.com) (outside of Hungary) or any other electronic format or means of electronic distribution provided by or through Akadémiai Kiadó or Springer from time to time, selling the Article world-wide (through subscriptions, Pay-per-View, single archive sale, etc.)
- transforming to and selling the Article through any electronic format
- publishing the Article in the printed Journals as listed on the official Website of Publisher
- transferring the copyright and the right of use of the Article onto any third party
- translating the Article
- taking measures on behalf of the Author against infringement, inappropriate use of the Article, libel or plagiarism.

Publisher agrees to send the text of the Article to the e-mail address of Author indicated in the present Statement for preview before the first publishing either in paper and/or electronic format (Proof). Author shall return the corrected text of the Article within 2 days to the Publisher. Author shall, however, not make any change to the content of the Article during the First Proof review.

## III. Rights and obligations of Author

The Author declares and warrants that he/she is the exclusive author of the Article – or has the right to represent all co-authors of the Article (see Section IV) – and has not granted any exclusive or non-exclusive right to the Article to any third party prior to the execution of the present Statement and has the right therefore to enter into the present Statement and entitle the Publisher the use of the Article subject to the present Statement. By executing the present Statement Author confirms that the Article is free of plagiarism, and that Author has exercised reasonable care to ensure that it is accurate and, to the best of Author's knowledge, does not contain anything which is libelous, or obscene, or infringes on anyone's copyright, right of privacy, or other rights. The Author expressly acknowledges and accepts that he/she shall be entitled to no royalty (or any other fee) related to any use of the Article subject to the present Statement. The Author further accepts that he/she will not be entitled to dispose of the copyright of the final, published version of the Article or make use of this version of the Article in any manner after the execution of the present Statement. The Author is entitled, however, to self-archive the preprint version of his/her manuscript. The preprint version is the Author's manuscript or the galley proof or the Author's manuscript along with the corrections made in the course of the peer review process. The Author's right to self-archive is irrespective of the format of the preprint (.doc, .tex, .pdf) version and self-archiving includes the free circulation of this file via e-mail or publication of this preprint on the Author's webpage or on the Author's institutional repository with open or restricted access. When self-archiving a paper the Author should clearly declare that the archived file is not the final published version of the paper, he/she should quote the correct citation and enclose a link to the published paper (<http://dx.doi.org/DOI> of the Article without brackets).

## IV. Use of third party content as part of the Article

When not indicating any co-authors in the present Statement Author confirms that he/she is the exclusive author of the Article. When indicating co-authors in the present Statement Author declares and warrants that all co-authors have been listed and Author has the exclusive and unlimited right to represent all the co-authors of the Article and to enter into the present Statement on their behalf and as a consequence all declarations made by Author in the present Statement are made in the name of the co-authors as well. Author also confirms that he/she shall hold Publisher harmless from all third-party claims in connection to non-authorized use of the Article by Publisher. Should Author wish to reuse material sourced from third parties such as other copyright holders, publishers, authors, etc. as part of the Article, Author bears responsibility for acquiring and clearing of the third party permissions for such use before submitting the Article to the Publisher for acceptance. Author shall hold Publisher harmless from all third party claims in connection to the unauthorized use of any material under legal protection forming a part of the Article.

## V. Other provisions

Subject to the present Statement the Article shall be deemed as first published within the Area of the Hungarian Republic. Therefore the provisions of the Hungarian law, especially the provisions of Act LXXVI of 1999 on Copy Rights shall apply to the rights of the Parties with respect to the Article. For any disputes arising from or in connection with the present Statement Parties agree in the exclusive competence of the Central District Court of Pest or the Capital Court of Budapest respectively.

Irina Ermolina

Author



Signature

28/09/2009 Leicester, UK

Date and Place