

Piriformospora indica reduces *Fusarium* head blight disease severity and mycotoxin DON contamination in wheat under UK weather conditions

Article

Accepted Version

Rabiey, M. and Shaw, M. W. (2016) *Piriformospora indica* reduces *Fusarium* head blight disease severity and mycotoxin DON contamination in wheat under UK weather conditions. *Plant Pathology*, 65 (6). pp. 940-952. ISSN 0032-0862 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/ppa.12483> Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/48683/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ppa.12483>

Publisher: Wiley

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

1 Short title: *Piriformospora indica* reduces Fusarium

2 ***Piriformospora indica* reduces Fusarium head blight disease severity and mycotoxin DON**
3 **contamination in wheat under UK weather conditions**

4

5 M. Rabiey*

6 M. W. Shaw

7 School of Agriculture, Policy and Development, University of Reading, Whiteknights,

8 Reading RG6 6AR

9

10 *Email: m.rabieyghahfarokhy@pgr.reading.ac.uk

11

12

13

14

15 Key words: *Piriformospora indica*, root endophytic fungus, Fusarium head blight, Fusarium

16 crown rot, *Triticum aestivum*, mycotoxin DON

17

18

19 **Summary**

20 *Piriformospora indica* (Sebacinaceae) is a cultivable root endophytic fungus. It colonises the
21 roots of a wide range of host plants. In many settings colonisation promotes host growth,
22 increases yield and protects the host from fungal diseases. We evaluated the effect of *P. indica*
23 on Fusarium head blight (FHB) disease of winter (cv. Battalion) and spring (cv. Paragon,
24 Mulika, Zircon, Granary, KWS Willow and KWS Kilburn) wheat and consequent
25 contamination by the mycotoxin deoxynivalenol (DON) under UK weather conditions.
26 Interactions of *P. indica* with an arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus (*Funneliformis mosseae*),
27 fungicide application (Aviator Xpro) and low and high fertiliser levels were considered. *P.*
28 *indica* application reduced FHB disease severity and incidence by 70%. It decreased mycotoxin
29 DON concentration of winter and spring wheat samples by 70% and 80% respectively. *P.*
30 *indica* also increased above ground biomass, 1000 grain weight and total grain weight. *P.*
31 *indica* reduced disease severity and increased yield in both high and low fertiliser levels. The
32 effect of *P. indica* was compatible with *F. mosseae* and foliar fungicide application. *P. indica*
33 did not have any effects on plant tissue nutrients. These results suggest that *P. indica* might be
34 useful in biological control of Fusarium diseases of wheat.

35 **Introduction**

36 Fusarium crown rot (FCR) and head blight (FHB) are two of the most important diseases of
37 wheat globally. The two most prevalent causal organisms are *Fusarium culmorum* and *F.*
38 *graminearum* (Fernandez & Chen, 2005). *Fusarium* spp. produce a range of mycotoxins that
39 can accumulate in the grain and, if they enter the food chain, can cause a risk to human and
40 animal health (Xu et al., 2008). The mycotoxin deoxynivalenol (DON), which is produced
41 during head infection, has been identified as the most frequent contaminant associated with
42 FHB in wheat (Bai & Shaner, 2004). European Union legislation has set a legal limit for DON

43 of 1250 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ for cereals intended for human consumption (Anon, 2006), but even low level
44 contamination of grain can reduce market prices or cause the grain to be rejected entirely (Bai
45 & Shaner, 2004). *Fusarium* species overwinter in soil and crop residues for several seasons.
46 They survive as saprophytes on dead host tissues, especially if susceptible crops are planted in
47 successive years. The most important sources of inoculum are ascospores from the sexual stage
48 and macroconidia from the anamorph stage but chlamydospores and hyphal fragments can also
49 act as sources of inoculum (Leplat et al., 2013). During warm, moist and windy environmental
50 conditions the ascospores or macroconidia are dispersed by water-splash or air currents onto
51 wheat heads and initiate infection of wheat spikes. Infections can occur as early as spike
52 emergence, but the flowering stage or shortly after is considered the most vulnerable stage for
53 *Fusarium* infection (Madgwick et al., 2011). No highly resistant commercial cultivars are yet
54 available. Agronomic practices intended to reduce these diseases are only partially effective,
55 because the necessary actions depend on the causal species and the environmental conditions,
56 and the results are often unpredictable (Paulitz et al., 2002). Currently, control of *Fusarium*
57 diseases relies on high inputs of fungicide in FHB-endemic regions (Mesterházy, 2003). Two
58 factors are currently increasing the *Fusarium* problem in the UK. First, the UK is predicted to
59 experience more often weather (UKCIP; www.ukcip.org.uk/) which will increase the risks of
60 infection, colonisation, reproduction and dispersal of *Fusarium* diseases (West et al., 2012)
61 leading to increased severity and incidence. Second, maize cultivation is increasing, leading to
62 increased populations of *F. graminearum*; as maize debris is a potent source of inoculum of
63 *Fusarium* (West et al., 2012).

64 Plant roots are associated with beneficial fungi in the majority of soils. For example, arbuscular
65 mycorrhizal fungi (AMF), such as *Funneliformis mosseae* (= *Glomus mosseae*), are important
66 soil microorganisms forming beneficial symbiotic associations with most land plants. AMF are

67 obligate biotrophs which provide mineral nutrients, specifically phosphate and nitrogen, to
68 their host plant in exchange for carbohydrates and therefore stimulate plant growth (Bucher,
69 2007, Schalamuk et al., 2011).

70 *Piriformospora indica* is a root endophyte with a wide host range belonging to the
71 Sebacinaceae (Sebacinales, Basidiomycota). It was originally found in the Thar desert of
72 Rajasthan, an arid region in India (Verma et al., 1998), which experiences extreme day-time
73 heat and diurnal temperature fluctuations as well as extended drought. *P. indica* promotes plant
74 growth, increases root and above ground biomass and final yield of a broad range of host plants,
75 including many plants of economic importance (Shrivastava & Varma, 2014) and helps plants
76 to grow under temperature, water and physical stresses (Alikhani et al., 2013, Ghabooli et al.,
77 2013). Evidence suggests that *P. indica* protects plants against pathogens of roots (caused by
78 *Fusarium culmorum*, *F. graminearum*, *Gaeumannomyces graminis* var. *tritici*), stems (caused
79 by *Oculimacula* Spp.) and leaves (caused by *Blumeria graminis* f.sp. *tritici* and *B. graminis*
80 f.sp. *hordei*) under glasshouse and field conditions (Deshmukh & Kogel, 2007, Ghahfarokhy
81 et al., 2011, Harrach et al., 2013, Waller et al., 2005). Our previous work shows that *P. indica*
82 association protected wheat seedlings from FCR damage in simulated UK autumn conditions
83 (Rabiey et al., 2015).

84 The effect of some root associated fungi is to improve plant nutrient uptake (Miransari, 2010,
85 Wu et al., 2011). For instance, AMF obtain fixed carbon compounds from host plants, while
86 plants benefit from increased nutrient supply (Finlay, 2008). Research so far suggests that *P.*
87 *indica* association improves plant mineral nutrient acquisition from the soil. It can mobilise
88 and transport phosphorus, nitrogen and micronutrients from soil to the infected host plant via
89 plant-fungal interfaces (Sherameti et al., 2005, Yadav et al., 2010). However, it is not yet clear
90 if *P. indica* can increase nutrient uptake in all of its hosts.

91 The present study investigated the effect of *P. indica* on *Fusarium* infection of parts of the host
92 not directly colonised by *P. indica*. We tested the following hypotheses: *P. indica* would reduce
93 damage to wheat grains caused by FHB and mycotoxin contamination; any effect of *P. indica*
94 on FHB would be greater at low soil fertility levels as in AMF, such as *F. mosseae* (Nouri et
95 al., 2015); *P. indica* application would be as effective as fungicide application; and *P. indica*
96 would increase plant tissue nutrients. We scored FHB disease severity and incidence, analysed
97 mycotoxin DON, yield parameters and nutrients level in wheat grown in pots with factorial
98 combinations of inoculation with *F. culmorum*, *F. graminearum*, *P. indica*, or *F. mosseae*,
99 foliar fungicide and low and high fertiliser application rates. Plants were grown outdoors.

100 **Materials and Methods**

101 **Fungal inoculation**

102 *Piriformospora indica*

103 *P. indica* was obtained from Dr. Patrick Schafer, Warwick University, UK and was grown on
104 agar containing complex modified *Aspergillus* medium (CM medium). Inoculum prepared by
105 the methods described by Rabiey et al. (2015). *P. indica* liquid culture containing an
106 unquantified mixture of chlamydospores and mycelium was used for inoculation. The
107 inoculum was mixed with soil at sowing time.

108 **Fusarium isolates**

109 Isolates of *F. culmorum* and *F. graminearum* (576 and 602.1) of UK origin were obtained from
110 the School of Biological Sciences, University of Reading and Rothamsted Research Centre,
111 UK, respectively. Inocula of *F. culmorum* were prepared by the methods described by
112 Ghahfarokhy et al. (2011).

113 Conidia of *F. graminearum* 576 and *F. graminearum* 602.1 were harvested from the surface of
114 sporulating PDA cultures in sterile distilled water so that the resulting suspension contained
115 1×10^6 spores mL⁻¹.

116 *Funneliformis mosseae*

117 *F. mosseae* was obtained from Prof. Alan Gange, Royal Holloway/University of London. The
118 fungus was propagated on maize plants grown in a 3:1 mixture of steam sterilised compost
119 (John Innes Composts, BHGS Ltd, UK) and sand. After 3 months, the contents of each pot
120 (including compost and roots) were chopped on a sterilised surface and transferred into a zip-
121 lock bag and stored at 4 °C until required.

122 **Plant materials and pot experiments**

123 **The effect of *Piriformospora indica* and *Funneliformis mosseae* on Fusarium crown rot** 124 **and Fusarium head blight of winter wheat under low and high fertiliser regimes**

125 Winter wheat seeds, cv. Battalion, were surface disinfected by rinsing for 2 mins in 20mL L⁻¹
126 (2%) sodium hypochlorite (Fisher scientific, UK), followed by three rinses in sterilized distilled
127 water, and germinated on damp filter paper in a Petri dish at room temperature under natural
128 indoor light for 48 hours. No micro-organisms grew from a sample of seeds so treated and
129 placed on PDA plates for one week. Eight germinated seeds per pot were planted in 12L pots
130 at a depth of 2 cm in a mixture of 2 parts non-sterilised compost (No 2, John Innes Compost,
131 BHGS Ltd, UK) and one part sand, mixed with 11 grams (1 g L⁻¹) or 44 grams (4 gL⁻¹) of slow
132 release fertiliser (8-9 months, Osmocote® Pro, the Scott Company, UK, contains 16% nitrogen,
133 11% phosphorus, 10% potassium, 2% magnesium oxide, 0.01% boron, 0.042% copper, 0.3%
134 iron, 0.04% manganese, 0.015% molybdenum and 0.01% zinc) to provide wheat macro- and
135 micro-nutrients during the experiment. Seeds were planted in 2 rows 11 cm apart with 2 cm

136 between each seed to simulate field spacing. Non-sterilised compost and sand were used to
137 simulated field soil conditions.

138 In all experiments, pots were watered as necessary to maintain the compost moist, and the
139 experimental area was surrounded by pots filled with sand to reduce edge effects on
140 microclimate.

141 The experiment was carried out in the 2013-14 growing season at the University of Reading
142 (grid ref: SU733719), under natural conditions. The experiment had 32 treatments with two
143 replicates (giving 32 df for error), distributed in two randomised blocks, with the following
144 factorial combinations of treatments = $\pm P.indica$, $\pm F. mosseae$, $\pm F.culmorum$ (FCR), $\pm F.$
145 *graminearum* (FHB) and \pm fertiliser (1 g L⁻¹ or 4 g L⁻¹). Inoculations with *P. indica* (6 g liquid
146 culture mixed with soil) and *F. mosseae* (50 g, 20 spores per g, mixed with soil) and *F.*
147 *culmorum* (6 g of prepared inocula mixed with soil) were performed at sowing and *F.*
148 *graminearum* was applied at flowering. All disease symptoms, whether from inoculations or
149 natural infections were recorded when appropriate, including Septoria leaf blotch and yellow
150 rust.

151 In this experiment, extra nitrogen and sulphur fertiliser were applied in two split applications,
152 with the first dose applied in late March and the second in late April, including 1.4 g N pot⁻¹
153 (over 2 splits) and 28 mg S pot⁻¹ (in one application). The first dose was made up of ammonium
154 nitrate (34.5%N) and ammonium sulphate nitrate (27%N, 30% SO₄). The second dose was
155 ammonium nitrate (34.5%N).

156 **The effect of *Piriformospora indica*, *Funneliformis mosseae* and fungicide application on**
157 **Fusarium head blight of spring wheat**

158 Spring wheat seeds, cv. Paragon, were surface disinfected and pre-germinated as described
159 above. Eight germinated seeds per pot were planted in 12L pots at a depth of 2 cm in non-

160 sterilised compost and sand (2:1), mixed with 44 grams (4 g L⁻¹) of slow release fertiliser as
161 for winter wheat.

162 The experiment was carried out in the 2014 growing season. It had 16 treatments with three
163 replicates, distributed in three randomised blocks, with the following factorial combinations of
164 treatments: $\pm P. indica$, $\pm F. mosseae$, $\pm F. graminearum$ (FHB) and \pm fungicide. Inoculations
165 with *P. indica* (6g liquid culture mixed with soil) and *F. mosseae* (50 g, 20 spores per g mixed
166 with soil) were performed at sowing. The fungicide, Aviator Xpro (Bayer CropScience, UK)
167 with active ingredients of prothioconazole (15.84%) and bixafen (7.43%), was applied at the
168 concentration of 2 ml L⁻¹, diluted with water, when flag leaf was fully emerged (Zadoks Growth
169 Stage (GS) 39; T2; Zadoks et al. (1974)) and also 72 hours after plants were artificially sprayed
170 with spore suspension of *F. graminearum* (GS 65; T3) at both stages for the selected treatments
171 only. The fungicide Aviator Xpro exhibits both translaminar (within and across the leaf) and
172 systemic movement (around the plant).

173 **The effect of *Piriformospora indica* on *Fusarium* head blight of different cultivars of** 174 **spring wheat**

175 It is possible that some wheat cultivars benefit more than others from association with *P. indica*.
176 In another experiment, the effect of *P. indica* on *Fusarium* head blight of spring wheat was
177 assessed on 6 different spring wheat cultivars: Paragon, Mulika, Zircon (group 1), Granary,
178 KWS Willow (group 2) and KWS Kilburn (group 4), chosen from HGCA recommended list
179 for spring sowing and were supplied by KWS UK Ltd. Eight germinated seeds per pot were
180 planted in 12L pots at a depth of 2 cm in a mixture of 2 parts non-sterilised compost and one
181 part sand, mixed with 44 grams (4 g L⁻¹) of slow release fertiliser (3-4 months, Osmocote®
182 Pro).

183 The experiment was done in the 2015 growing season. The experiment had 24 treatments with
184 three replicates, distributed in three randomised blocks, with the following factorial
185 combinations of treatments: $\pm P. indica$, $\pm F. graminearum$ (FHB), and 6 cultivars of spring
186 wheat. Inoculations with *P. indica* (6 g liquid culture mixed with soil) was performed at sowing
187 and *F. graminearum* was applied at flowering. All disease symptoms, whether from
188 inoculations or natural infections, were recorded when appropriate including yellow rust and
189 powdery mildew.

190 **Fusarium ear inoculation**

191 In all experiments, when most tillers of each pot were at mid-anthesis stage (GS 65), all tillers
192 in the pot were sprayed with 1 mL of a 50:50 mixed conidia suspension of *F. graminearum*
193 576 and *F. graminearum* 602.1. In all experiments inoculation was done in a cloudy evening
194 with rain afterward.

195 **Fusarium head blight visual disease assessment and yield determination**

196 Visual disease assessment, based on the percentage of infected spikelets per ear, was made two
197 weeks after artificial inoculation on each of the treated ears from each pot. *F. graminearum*
198 disease symptoms were recognized by pink fungal growth, brown-coloured lesions and
199 premature bleaching of spikelets.

200 Plants were hand harvested at GS 92. The total above ground dry weight, total grain weight at
201 15% moisture content, 1000 grain weight (TGW), harvest index (total grain weight/total above
202 grain weight), number of ears and root dry weight were measured.

203 **Mycotoxin Analysis**

204 Determination of mycotoxin DON in all samples from the winter and spring experiments was
205 performed using ELISA testing by RomerLabs (Romer Labs Ltd, UK).

206 The effect of *P. indica* on plant tissue nutrients under low and high fertiliser regimes

207 Winter wheat seeds, cv. Battalion, were surface disinfected and pre-germinated. Eight seeds
208 per pot were planted in 12L pots at a depth of 2 cm in 2 parts non-sterilised and one part sand,
209 mixed with 11 grams (1 g L⁻¹) or 44 grams (4 g L⁻¹) of slow release fertiliser (8-9 months,
210 Osmocote® Pro). The experiment was carried out in the 2014/15 growing season. The
211 experiment had 8 treatments with three replicates, distributed in three randomised blocks, with
212 the following factorial combinations of treatments: ±*P. indica*, ±*F. mosseae*, and ±fertiliser (1
213 g L⁻¹ or 4 g L⁻¹). Inoculations with *P. indica* (6 g liquid cultures mixed with soil) and *F. mosseae*
214 (50 g, 20 spores per g mixed with soil) were done at the time of sowing. Around 200g leaf
215 materials of each treatment at GS 27-29 (tillering, main shoot with 7-9 or more tillers) were
216 sent for analysis in the first week of April 2015. The plant tissue analysis included total nitrogen
217 (N) and sulphur (S) with N:S ratio, total phosphorus (P), potassium (K), magnesium (Mg),
218 calcium (Ca), copper (Cu), zinc (Zn), Iron (Fe) and Boron (B).

219 Weather conditions during 2013-15

220 Winter 2013/14 was an exceptionally stormy season, with at least 12 major winter storms
221 affecting the UK. Mean temperatures and total rainfall over Reading were well above the long-
222 term average (Nov-Mar 2013-14 average 7.1°C). Following this, the weather of spring and
223 summer 2014 was warm (April-June 2014 average 13.5°C) with rainfall above the average.
224 The weather of Sep-Nov 2014 was exceptionally warm (average 12.7°C) with all months
225 warmer than average and the number of air frosts well below average. Rainfall was slightly
226 above average. Dec-Mar 2014/15 was sunny with mean temperature (5.6°C) near average.
227 Rainfall totals were slightly below average. April-Jun 2015 mean temperature (12.5°C) was,
228 close to average, with rainfall well below the average. (www.met.reading.ac.uk/weatherdata).

229 **Statistical analysis of experiments**

230 ANOVA was used to analyse all data using GenStat 17th ed, (VSN, UK) with appropriate
231 blocking. Where judged necessary from residual plots, data were \log_{10} or square root
232 transformed to stabilize the residual variance and aid interpretation.

233 **Results**


234 **Emergence rate**

235 The emergence rate of cv. Battalion (winter 2013), cv. Paragon (spring 2014) and the average
236 of six cultivars of spring wheat seedlings (spring 2015) from control treatments 14 days after
237 sowing was 90%, 98% and 95% respectively. *F. culmorum* application at sowing time reduced
238 the emergence rate by 10% ($P=0.04$). There were no other significant differences between
239 treatments.

240 **Fusarium head blight disease severity and incidence**

241 FHB disease severity of winter wheat cv. Battalion was assessed two weeks after artificial
242 inoculation at GS65. The main effects of fungicide and inoculation were large and significant,
243 but interactions between them and with *P. indica* were also important. Third and fourth order
244 interactions were not significant. Inoculation of ears with *Fusarium* increased the disease
245 severity and incidence significantly ($P<0.001$) compared to non-inoculated samples, but there
246 was also some natural background infection of *Fusarium* spp. present. *F. culmorum* application
247 at the time of sowing did not have a significant effect on FHB disease severity or incidence.
248 FHB severity and incidence in pots inoculated with *P. indica* (at sowing) and *F. graminearum*
249 (at flowering) was reduced by 70% (severity interaction $P=0.004$; incidence interaction
250 $P=0.005$), compared to *F. graminearum* inoculated pots. Disease severity and incidence were
251 higher in the low fertilisation level than the high level (main effect $P<0.001$). *F. mosseae*
252 reduced severity and incidence of FHB, but this effect was not additive to that of *P. indica*, so

253 *F. mosseae* in co-inoculation with *P. indica* gave no extra advantage (Fig. 1 a,b, supporting
 254 information 1).



257 **Fig 1.** The effect of *Piriformospora indica* (Pi) and *Funneliformis mosseae* under low (1g L^{-1})
 258 and high (4g L^{-1}) fertiliser levels (Osmocote® Pro slow release fertiliser) on Fusarium head
 259 blight (FHB) disease severity and incidence of winter wheat (cv. Battalion), recorded at two
 260 weeks after artificial inoculation with *Fusarium graminearum*. (a) FHB disease severity, s.e.d
 261 = 0.02; d.f = 31 (data were square root transformed); (b) FHB disease incidence, s.e.d = 0.05;
 262 d.f = 31; Each point represents mean \pm 2SEM.

263

264

265 In spring wheat cv. Paragon, inoculation of ears with *Fusarium* spores significantly increased
266 the disease severity and incidence of FHB (main effect of inoculation $P < 0.001$), but there was
267 also some natural background infection of *Fusarium* spp. (Fig. 2 a,b). The application of
268 fungicide following *F. graminearum* inoculation reduced FHB severity by 80%
269 (fungicide.FHB interaction $P = 0.04$). *P. indica* soil inoculation resulted in a reduction in FHB
270 severity, but the effect was only marginally significant (*P. indica*. FHB interaction $P = 0.08$; Fig.
271 2 a,b, supporting information 2).

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283


284

285

286

287

288



289


290

291 **Fig 2.** The effect of *Piriformospora indica* (Pi), *Funnelformis mosseae* and fungicide Aviator
 292 Xpro on *Fusarium* head blight (FHB) disease severity and incidence of spring wheat (cv.
 293 Paragon), recorded at two weeks after artificial inoculation with *Fusarium graminearum*. (a)
 294 FHB disease severity, s.e.d = 0.05, d.f = 30 (data were square root transformed); (b) FHB
 295 disease incidence, s.e.d = 0.18, d.f = 30, (data were square root transformed); Each point
 296 represents mean \pm 2SEM.

297

298

299 Ears inoculation of six cultivars of spring wheat with *F. graminearum* spores significantly
 300 increased the disease severity and incidence of FHB (main effect of inoculation $P < 0.001$), but
 301 there was also some natural background infection of *Fusarium* spp. (Fig 3 a,b). FHB severity
 302 and incidence in pots inoculated with *P. indica* (at sowing) and *F. graminearum* (at flowering)
 303 was reduced by around 80% (severity *P. indica*. FHB interaction $P < 0.001$; incidence
 304 interaction $P = 0.02$), compared to *F. graminearum* inoculated pots (Fig 3 a,b, supporting
 305 information 3).



308 **Fig 3.** The effect of *Piriformospora indica* (Pi) on Fusarium head blight (FHB) disease severity
 309 and incidence of six cultivars of spring wheat (cv. Paragon, Mulika, Zircon, Granary, KWS
 310 Willow and KWS Kilburn), recorded at two weeks after artificial inoculation with *Fusarium*

311 *graminearum*. (a) FHB disease severity, s.e.d = 0.04; d.f = 46; (b) FHB disease incidence s.e.d
312 = 0.1; d.f = 46; (data were square root transformed). Each point represents mean \pm 2 SEM.
313

314 **Mycotoxin DON analysis**

315 ELISA testing could only detect DON level of above the limit of detection. For both winter
316 and spring wheat samples with no *Fusarium* head inoculation, DON concentrations were below
317 the limit of detection ($<250 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$). We therefore restricted the analysis to those samples from
318 plants which were artificially inoculated with *F. graminearum* and considered those lower than
319 the limit of detection as $250 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$. The following results concern *F. graminearum*-inoculated
320 samples only, in the cv. Battalion in 2014: *P. indica* application reduced DON concentrations
321 by 70% at low fertilisation and 50% at high fertilisation (Fig 4a; *P. indica*. fertiliser interaction
322 $P < 0.001$), to levels close to the limit of detection. In the absence of *P. indica*, DON
323 concentrations were 70% higher at low fertilisation (fertiliser main effect $P = 0.005$) than high
324 fertilisation. DON concentrations were higher in the samples inoculated at sowing with *F.*
325 *culmorum* ($P < 0.001$); however, *P. indica* reduced DON concentrations in these samples to
326 below the limit of detection ($P < 0.001$). *F. mosseae* had no main effect ($P = 0.5$) and no
327 significant interactions (Fig. 4a, supporting information 4).

328 In the cv. Paragon spring wheat samples in 2014 inoculation with *F. graminearum* significantly
329 increased DON concentrations (main effect $P < 0.001$). The following results concern *F.*
330 *graminearum*-inoculated samples only: *P. indica* application (main effect $P = 0.01$) reduced
331 DON concentrations by 80% (Fig 4b). Fungicide application (main effect $P = 0.001$) also
332 reduced the mycotoxin concentrations by 70%, but the effect was not additional to that of *P.*
333 *indica* (interaction $P = 0.03$). *F. mosseae* had no effect on average (main effect, $P = 0.5$) but had
334 a significant interaction with *P. indica* ($P = 0.009$): without *P. indica*, *F. mosseae* reduced DON

335 by roughly 50%, but in the presence of *P. indica*, *F. mosseae* increased DON by about 50%
336 (Fig. 4b, supporting information 5).

337 In 2015, inoculation of six cultivars of spring wheat samples with *F. graminearum* significantly
338 increased DON concentrations (main effect $P < 0.001$); very few positive samples were found
339 in the uninoculated pots, and with low levels of contamination. The following results concern
340 *F. graminearum*-inoculated samples only: The cultivars differed in mycotoxin DON
341 concentration ($P < 0.001$). *P. indica* application reduced DON concentration by around 90%
342 (main effect $P < 0.001$). *P. indica* reduced DON concentration in all cultivars, with an interaction
343 arising because cv. KWS Willow and cv. Granary had low concentrations of DON even in non-
344 *P. indica* treated pots (interaction $P = 0.002$, Fig 4c, supporting information 6).

345 FHB severity was well correlated to DON ($r = 0.7$). Both FHB severity and DON were weakly
346 related to yield, but not to root-shoot ratio, above ground biomass or root biomass.

347

348

349

350

351

352


353

354


355

356


357



358



359



360

361 **Fig 4.** The effect of *Piriformospora indica* (Pi), *Funneliformis mosseae* and fungicide Aviator
 362 Xpro, under low (1g L^{-1}) and high (4g L^{-1}) fertiliser levels (Osmocote® Pro slow release
 363 fertiliser) on *Fusarium* mycotoxin deoxynivalenol (DON) of winter and spring wheat grain
 364 samples. (a) DON of winter wheat samples (cv. Battalion), s.e.d = 0.15, d.f = 15; (b) DON of
 365 spring wheat samples (cv. Paragon), s.e.d = 0.13, d.f = 14; (c) DON of six cultivars of spring
 366 wheat samples (cv. Paragon, Mulika, Zircon, Granary, KWS Willow and KWS Kilburn), s.e.d
 367 = 0.08, d.f. = 22; (data were Log_{10} transformed); Each point represents mean \pm 2SEM; (F.c: *F.*
 368 *culmorum*).
 369

370

371 **Final harvest results**

372 **Winter wheat cv. Battalion, 2014**

373 Above ground biomass: *F. mosseae* increased the above ground biomass in the presence of *F.*
 374 *culmorum* by 17% at high fertilisation and by 10% at low fertilisation, compared to *F.*
 375 *culmorum*-inoculated samples (*F. mosseae. F. culmorum* interaction $P < 0.001$). *P. indica*
 376 inoculation increased biomass on average (main effect $P = 0.06$). Its combination with *F.*
 377 *mosseae* increased the above ground biomass in the presence of *F. graminearum* by 25% at
 378 low fertilisation (*P. indica. F. mosseae. F. graminearum* interaction $P = 0.008$), compared to
 379 samples inoculated with *F. graminearum* alone. The co-inoculation increased biomass also in
 380 plants inoculated with *F. culmorum*, by 15% at low fertilisation and 34% at high fertilisation
 381 (*P. indica. F. mosseae. F. culmorum* interaction $P = 0.07$). At low fertilisation, in the presence
 382 of *F. graminearum*, *F. mosseae* increased the above ground biomass by 30% (*F. mosseae,*
 383 *fertiliser. F. graminearum* interaction $P = 0.001$), compared to *F. graminearum*-inoculated
 384 samples at low fertilisation. *F. culmorum* application at sowing time reduced the above ground
 385 weight by 7%, but the effect could have been chance ($P = 0.09$).

386 Root biomass: Roots were heavier at high fertilisation than low fertilisation (main effect
 387 $P < 0.001$). *P. indica* application increased the root weight by 55% at both low and high
 388 fertilisation (main effect $P < 0.001$), compared to non-*P. indica* inoculated samples. The co-

389 inoculation of *F. mosseae* with *P. indica* also increased the root weight by 52% at low
390 fertilisation and 37% at high fertilisation (*P. indica. F. mosseae* $P < 0.001$). *F. culmorum*
391 reduced the root weight by 40% at both low and high fertilisation (interaction $P < 0.001$). This
392 reduction was smaller when *P. indica* ($P = 0.01$) or *F. mosseae* ($P = 0.01$) were also applied.

393 Yield: *F. mosseae* at low fertilisation increased the total grain weight by 5%, but at high
394 fertilisation it decreased the weight by 20% (*F. mosseae. fertiliser* interaction $P = 0.03$),
395 compared to non-*F. mosseae*-inoculated samples. The combination of *P. indica* and *F. mosseae*
396 increased the total grain weight by 60% in the presence of *F. graminearum* (*P. indica.*
397 *F. mosseae. F. graminearum* interaction $P = 0.09$) at low fertilisation level, compared to *F.*
398 *graminearum*-inoculated samples. The combination of *P. indica* and *F. mosseae* increased the
399 total grain weight in the presence of *F. culmorum* at both low and high fertilisation (*P. indica.*
400 *F. mosseae. F. culmorum* interaction $P = 0.05$).

401 TGW: *P. indica* application increased 1000 grain weight (TGW) by 8% at low fertility (main
402 effect $P = 0.02$). The application of *F. graminearum* reduced TGW by 10% ($P = 0.06$) at both low
403 and high fertilisation. However, *P. indica* maintained TGW in the presence of *F. graminearum*
404 at low fertilisation (*P. indica. F. graminearum* interaction $P = 0.04$). The combination of *P.*
405 *indica* and *F. mosseae* increased TGW at high fertilisation, but not at low fertilisation (*P.*
406 *indica. F. mosseae. fertiliser* interaction $P = 0.008$).

407 Harvest index

408 : There were no significant differences among treatments for harvest index.

409 Ears: Fertilisation increased the number of ears per pot (main effect $P = < 0.001$). The
410 combination of *P. indica* and *F. mosseae* increased the number of ears at both low and high
411 fertilisation (*P. indica. F. mosseae. fertiliser* interaction $P = 0.02$), compared to non-*P. indica*-
412 inoculated samples (table 1 & supporting information 1).

413 **Table 1.** Final harvest results of winter wheat samples (cv. Battalion) inoculated with
 414 *Piriformospora indica* (Pi), *Funneliformis mosseae*, *Fusarium culmorum* (F. c; at sowing time)
 415 and *F. graminearum* (F. g; at flowering time) under low (1g L⁻¹) and high (4g L⁻¹) fertiliser
 416 levels (Osmocote® Pro slow release fertiliser; d.f. = 31).

Fertiliser	P.indica	F.g	F.mosseae	F.c	Total above ground weight (g)	Root weight	Total grain weight per pot (g)	1000 grain weight (g)	Harvest index	no of ears per pot (Log10)
1 g/L	-	-	-	-	243	23	78	68	0.3	1.4
			-	+	227	16	77	66	0.3	1.4
				-	264	21	82	71	0.3	1.4
				+	251	27	84	70	0.3	1.4
				-	204	21	57	60	0.3	1.4
				+	195	17	62	63	0.3	1.4
				+	266	27	83	69	0.3	1.4
				+	274	33	79	67	0.3	1.4
				mean	241	23	75	67	0.3	1.4
	+	-	-	-	272	34	85	73	0.3	1.4
			-	+	217	38	63	68	0.3	1.3
				-	257	35	83	67	0.3	1.4
				+	261	34	90	68	0.3	1.4
				-	247	28	77	66	0.3	1.3
			+	221	35	65	73	0.3	1	
			+	257	32	92	68	0.4	1.4	
			+	276	32	88	69	0.3	1.4	
			mean	251	34	80	69	0.3	1.3	
4 g/L	-	-	-	-	336	27	120	69	0.4	2
			-	+	276	19	95	67	0.3	1.6
				-	303	38	96	71	0.3	1.7
				+	326	34	94	68	0.3	2
				-	307	31	89	64	0.3	1.6
				+	277	18	93	69	0.3	2
				+	305	38	110	65	0.4	1.7
				+	298	32	92	67	0.3	1.7
				mean	304	30	99	68	0.3	1.8
	+	-	-	-	317	42	125	68	0.4	1.7
			-	+	281	37	94	69	0.3	1.6
				-	301	37	102	71	0.3	1.6
				+	372	37	129	71	0.3	1.7
				-	380	41	122	65	0.3	1.6
			+	316	38	97	69	0.3	2	
			+	266	37	81	70	0.3	1.6	
			+	297	39	92	68	0.3	2	
			mean	316	39	105	69	0.3	1.7	
			s.e.d	24	3.09	17.3	3.07	0.05	0.05	

417

418 **Spring wheat cv. Paragon, 2014**

419 The application of *P. indica* increased total above ground weight by 16% (main effect $P=0.05$),
420 root weight by 20% (main effect $P=0.02$), total grain weight by 23% (main effect $P=0.02$),
421 TGW by 23% (main effect $P=0.08$), harvest index by 8% (main effect $P=0.07$), and number of
422 ears by 12% (main effect $P=0.003$), compared to samples without *P. indica*. The interaction of
423 *P. indica* with *F. graminearum* increased total grain weight of *F. graminearum*-inoculated
424 samples by 54% ($P=0.08$) and harvest index by 13% ($P=0.07$). Also, the combination of *P.*
425 *indica*, *F. mosseae* and fungicide increased total above ground weight ($P=0.03$), total grain
426 weight ($P=0.003$), TGW ($P=0.01$), harvest index ($P=0.009$) and number of ears ($P=0.003$)
427 (Table 2 & supporting information 2).

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442 **Table 2.** Final harvest results of spring wheat samples (cv. Paragon) inoculated with
 443 *Piriformospora indica* (Pi), *Funneliformis mosseae* (at sowing time), *Fusarium graminearum*
 444 (F. g; at flowering time) and fungicide Aviator Xpro (at growth stage 39 and 72 hours after
 445 artificial inoculation at flowering time) (d.f. = 26).

<i>P. indica</i>	F.g	F.mosseae	Fungicide	Total above ground weight (g)	Root weight (g)	Total grain weight per pot (g)	1000 grain weight (g)	Harvest index	no of ears per pot (Log10)
		-	-	193	23	73	43	0.4	39
			+	229	28	103	52	0.5	41
	-		-	212	24	98	50	0.5	39
		+	+	201	24	79	46	0.4	35
-			-	183	21	62	38	0.3	36
		-	+	199	22	83	45	0.4	38
	+		-	213	29	86	50	0.4	38
		+	+	214	30	90	45	0.4	35
mean				206	25	84	46	0.4	38
		-	-	225	28	89	53	0.4	44
			+	205	28	91	47	0.5	40
	-		-	205	29	82	46	0.4	39
		+	+	232	28	102	47	0.4	41
+			-	217	28	96	51	0.4	40
		-	+	204	28	91	47	0.4	37
	+		-	236	28	95	51	0.4	40
		+	+	226	25	108	48	0.5	39
mean				219	28	94	49	0.4	40
s.e.d				18.5	2.8	10.9	4.1	0.04	2.01

446

447 **Six cultivars of spring wheat, 2015**

448 Averaged over other treatments, the cultivars of spring wheat differed in above ground biomass
 449 (P=0.02), root weight (P=0.09), total grain weight (P=0.001), and the number of ears per pot
 450 (P<0.001). Averaged over cultivars *P. indica* inoculation increased the above ground biomass
 451 (P<0.002), root weight (P= 0.002), total grain weight (P<0.001), TGW (P<0.001), harvest
 452 index (P<0.001) and the number of ears per pot (P=0.002). *F. graminearum* application at
 453 flowering reduced the above ground biomass (P=0.06), total grain weight (P<0.001), and
 454 harvest index (P=0.03) of all cultivars. In the presence of *F. gramineraum*, *P. indica* inoculation

455 increased the above ground biomass and TGW (*P. indica*.*F. graminearum* interaction $P=0.04$
456 and $P=0.03$, respectively). There was no interaction between *P. indica* or *F. graminearum* with
457 cultivars (Table 3 & supporting information 3).

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479 **Table 3.** Final harvest results of six **cultivars** of spring wheat samples (cv. Paragon, Mulika,
 480 Zircon, Granary, KWS Willow and KWS Kilburn) inoculated with *Piriformospora indica* (at
 481 sowing time), and *Fusarium graminearum* (F. g; at flowering time, d.f. = 46).

P.indica	F.g	Spring wheat cultivars	Total above ground weight (g)	Root weight (g)	Total grain weight per pot (g)	1000 grain weight (g)	Harvest index	No of ears
	-	Paragon	267	18.6	82	45	0.3	51
		Mulika	267	15.3	94	47	0.4	52
		Zircon	289	17.9	103	48	0.4	66
		Granary	250	16.2	87	46	0.4	60
		KWS Willow	283	14.8	105	45	0.4	59
		KWS Kilburn	257	16.1	93	44	0.4	62
		mean	269	16.5	94	46	0.4	58
	+	Paragon	201	17.2	61	39	0.3	54
		Mulika	228	16.8	72	43	0.3	53
		Zircon	245	17.4	88	45	0.4	61
		Granary	219	15.7	74	44	0.3	60
		KWS Willow	257	17.4	71	41	0.3	65
		KWS Kilburn	251	17.1	74	41	0.3	58
		mean	234	16.9	73	42	0.3	59
	-	Paragon	223	27.4	102	65	0.5	56
		Mulika	284	20.1	127	65	0.4	57
		Zircon	338	22.8	154	62	0.5	74
		Granary	257	20.8	111	61	0.4	68
		KWS Willow	302	22.4	97	61	0.3	70
		KWS Kilburn	269	21.3	97	55	0.4	61
		mean	279	22.5	115	62	0.4	64
	+	Paragon	280	21.7	89	60	0.3	61
		Mulika	273	23.01	108	65	0.4	58
		Zircon	269	24.6	115	60	0.4	69
		Granary	269	22.7	105	59	0.4	65
		KWS Willow	325	22.9	102	64	0.3	62
		KWS Kilburn	268	21.1	103	66	0.4	61
		mean	281	22.7	104	62	0.4	63
	s.e.d	30.9	2.1	13.4	3.6	0.05	5.3	

482 **Leaf tissue nutrients analysis**

483 The concentrations of leaf total N, P, K, Ca, Mg, S, Mn, Cu, Zn and B were all higher at high
 484 fertilisation (main effect $P < 0.001$). However, the concentration of Fe was higher at low
 485 fertilisation (main effect $P = 0.002$). The concentration of B in the leaves was lower in the
 486 presence of *P. indica* (main effect $P = 0.01$). The combination of *P. indica* and *F. mosseae*, at
 487 high fertilisation, increased the total concentration of N in the leaves (*P. indica*, *F. mosseae*
 488 and fertiliser interaction $P = 0.04$), but on their own, each decreased the level (table 4 &
 489 supporting information 7).

490 **Table 4.** Leaf tissue nutrient analysis results of winter wheat samples (cv. Battalion) with
 491 *Piriformospora indica* and *Funneliformis mosseae* at sowing time (fertiliser: Table 4.3. Leaf
 492 nutrient analysis results of winter wheat samples inoculated with *Piriformospora indica* and
 493 *Funneliformis mosseae* at sowing time (fertiliser: Osmocote® Pro slow release fertiliser, N:
 494 Nitrogen, P: phosphorus, K: potassium, Ca: calcium, Mg: magnesium, S: sulphur, Mn:
 495 manganese, Cu: copper, Zn: zinc, Fe: Iron, B: boron ; d.f. = 14).

Fertiliser	P.indica	F.mosseae	Total N	Total P	Total K	Total Ca	Total Mg	Total S	Total Mn	Total Cu	Total Zn	Total Fe	Total B
1 g/L	-	-	3	4471	35830	2849	908	2649	123	4	29	517	3
		+	3	5163	40524	2803	1020	3564	139	4	32	192	3
	Mean		3	4817	38177	2826	964	3107	131	4	31	355	3
	+	-	3	4989	39836	2771	1029	3443	152	5	31	214	3
		+	3	4906	38003	2689	1003	3125	142	4	31	173	3
		Mean		3	4948	38920	2730	1016	3284	147	5	31	194
4 g/L	-	-	5	7803	52583	4120	1540	7440	216	8	60	157	4
		+	4	7465	51042	3638	1382	6479	209	6	53	121	4
		Mean		5	7634	51813	3879	1461	6960	213	7	57	139
	+	-	4	7995	52829	3668	1406	6790	204	7	56	135	3
		+	5	7106	52588	4065	1553	6018	177	6	54	121	3
		Mean		5	7551	52709	3867	1480	6404	191	7	55	128
s.e.d		0.3	632	3500	450	132	715	15	0.6	5	76	0.3	

496

497

498

499

500

501 **Discussion**

502 *P. indica* effectively reduced FHB disease severity and incidence, and also grain DON
503 contamination. It was as effective as fungicide applied 72 hours after *F. graminearum*
504 inoculation, and the effect was consistent across years and cultivars. *P. indica* also increased
505 yield in both high and low fertilisation, suggesting *P. indica* application is compatible with
506 low-input systems. However, unlike mycorrhizal fungi, its effect was greater at the high
507 fertilisation level. *P. indica* application was compatible with *F. mosseae* and fungicide, but
508 effects of these were not additive. Collectively, these results suggest that *P. indica* application
509 could be useful in the long-term. *P. indica* reduced FCR at sowing, FHB at flowering and grain
510 DON contamination, suggesting there would be fewer spores, hyphae and macroconidia
511 overwintering in soil and crop residues; as a result there would be less inoculum available for
512 the disease to occur in the next season.

513 Fungicide application during wheat growing stages can reduce the risk of FHB and mycotoxin
514 contamination (Edwards & Godley, 2010, Paul et al., 2008). However, inconsistent control of
515 FHB disease with fungicide has been found in several reports (Horsley et al., 2006, McMullen,
516 1994). Yoshida et al. (2012) indicated that the timing of fungicide application differentially
517 affected FHB disease and mycotoxin concentration, considering anthesis as the crucial stage
518 for fungicide application. The application of fungicide, in our spring wheat experiment, at GS
519 39 (when flag leaf was fully emerged), and then at anthesis GS 65 (72 hours after *Fusarium*
520 inoculation), reduced both FHB and DON concentration. In all spring and winter wheat
521 experiments, *P. indica* application at sowing also reduced FHB severity and incidence as
522 effectively as fungicide. The application of *P. indica* not only might reduce the use of fungicide
523 and any environmental damage from fungicide use, but also increase plant resistance against
524 other pathogens (Franken, 2012).

525 The DON concentration in samples inoculated at sowing with *F. culmorum* and then at heading
526 with *F. graminearum* was much higher than in samples inoculated only with *F. graminearum*.
527 This suggests that when *Fusarium* is already present in the plant, there is an increased risk of
528 mycotoxin production in the grains by FHB. *F. culmorum* might have produced DON that
529 moved from lower parts of the plants to the heads, consistent with the results of Moretti et al.
530 (2014) and Covarelli et al. (2012) who demonstrated that although *F. graminearum* and *F.*
531 *culmorum* could not be detected beyond the third internode, a low concentration of DON was
532 found in the kernels beyond those tissues colonized by the fungus; suggesting that DON can
533 be moved from lower parts of the plants to the heads. This is probably due to its water solubility,
534 which can cause a reduction in concentration at late harvest, but in this case led to transfer
535 upwards. Alternatively, Mudge et al. (2006) isolated *F. graminearum* and DON from wheat
536 heads and flag leaf nodes following inoculation of the stem base. Xu et al. (2007) indicated that
537 the mycotoxin productivity of *F. graminearum* in the co-inoculation with *F. culmorum* and *F.*
538 *poae* was higher of that in the single-isolate inoculations. However, in the present case DON
539 levels in the ear were not detectably increased by root infection with *F. culmorum* in the
540 absence of *F. graminearum* inoculation. The increased production of DON is therefore
541 presumably connected to increased plant resistance.

542 In the winter wheat experiment, *P. indica* increased the above ground weight, total grain weight
543 and 1000 grain weight by similar amounts under both low and high fertilisation, suggesting
544 that the *P. indica* effect on grain yield was independent of fertiliser levels. Similarly Achatz et
545 al. (2010) found that increased grain yield in *P. indica* inoculated barley was independent of
546 the fertilisation level. Murphy et al. (2014) found that *P. indica*-inoculated barley had greater
547 grain weight in higher nutrient input. These indicates that *P. indica*-induced yield increase
548 does not result from relief of low phosphorus or nitrogen supply. By contrast, both our results

549 and those of Achatz et al. suggest that the increase in the above ground weight caused by *F.*
550 *mosseae* only occurred under low fertility. The difference in response to high fertility shows
551 that the beneficial effects of *P. indica* are based on different mechanisms from mycorrhizal
552 fungi. The effect of *P. indica* under low and high fertilisation levels on final yield of winter
553 wheat was confirmed on a small scale experiment (data not shown, see supportive information
554 8 &9).

555 Consistently with our results, Shahabivand et al. (2012) and Yaghoubian et al. (2014) reported
556 that *P. indica* increased wheat growth more than *F. mosseae* and that their co-inoculation
557 improved the defence mechanisms, drought resistance, and growth of wheat plants, suggesting
558 *P. indica* application was compatible with *F. mosseae* application.

559 During the experiments we scored the severity of any air borne diseases which occurred
560 naturally. *P. indica* reduced disease severity and incidence of Septoria leaf blotch at GS 22
561 (tillering stage) and yellow rust at GS 35-37 (stem elongation, 5th node detectable to flag leaf
562 just visible) for the winter wheat cv. Battalion, and yellow rust and powdery mildew at GS 70
563 (milk development) for six different cultivars of spring wheat (data not shown). In a small-
564 scale experiment the effect of *P. indica* on Septoria leaf blotch was confirmed at seedling stage;
565 this is consistent with *P. indica* producing a generalised increase in resistance to a wide class
566 of fungi.

567 These results show that *P. indica* colonised and increased shoot and final yield of the winter
568 wheat (cv. Battalion) and 6 cultivars of spring wheat. *P. indica* reduced disease severity and
569 incidence of FHB, and other foliar diseases and DON concentration of all cultivars. It is
570 consistent with Deshmukh et al. (2006), Deshmukh and Kogel (2007)'s study. They inoculated
571 different barley cultivar seedlings with *P. indica* and different isolates of *Sebacina vermifera*
572 (member of Sebacinaceae, genetically close to *P. indica*). Despite considerable variation of the

573 fungal activity of the different isolates, they found an increase in shoot and root biomass with
574 consistent resistance-inducing activity of all strains of the *S. vermifera* against powdery mildew
575 (caused by *Blumeria graminis* f.sp. *hordei*) as with *P. indica*. In contrast, Gravouil (2012)
576 showed that different barley cultivars had different rates of colonisation by *P. indica*. Some
577 barley cultivars had the highest rate of *P. indica* colonisation and the best increase in shoot
578 biomass and protection against pathogens such as *Rhynchosporium commune*.

579 The leaf tissue nutrient analysis showed that *P. indica* did not have any effect on leaf nutrients,
580 suggesting that at least in the case of this experiment, *P. indica* effects on growth and yield
581 were not due to better nutrient uptake. These results are inconsistent with others that suggest
582 *P. indica* increasing the uptake of micro- and macro-nutrients leads to growth promotion
583 (Shrivastava & Varma, 2014). Gosal et al. (2010) reported that *P. indica* increased the amount
584 of Cu, Zn and Mn in *Chlorophytum sp.* and promoted plant growth and biomass. *P. indica*
585 increased the amount of Zn in Turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.) which enhanced the growth, yield
586 and active ingredients (Bajaj et al., 2014). The inconsistency with their results might have
587 various causes. It might be due to the host differences, the methods of plant cultivations and
588 inoculations, environmental effects or differences in the fertilisers and their concentrations.

589 However, *F. mosseae* also did not have any effects on leaf nutrients, suggesting no effect of *P.*
590 *indica* and/or *F. mosseae* might be because of the experimental conditions. So more
591 experiments are needed to confirm that.

592 These results suggest that *P. indica* could be useful in control of Fusarium crown rot and head
593 blight, mycotoxin contamination and other air borne diseases. However, *P. indica* is probably
594 an alien species in many parts of the world including the UK, so its releases into the open
595 environments in these regions, to confirm its beneficial effects, requires consideration also of
596 physiological trade-offs and ecological and agronomical side-effects. The wider effects of *P.*

597 *indica* and similar organisms also need to be better understood before agricultural deployment.

598 A search for native organisms with similar characteristics might be a safer direction to go in.

599 **Acknowledgment**

600 This work was funded by the Sir Halley Stewart Trust.

601 **References**

602 Achatz B, Von Ruden S, Andrade D, Neumann E, Pons-Kuhnemann J, Kogel KH, Franken P,
603 Waller F, 2010. Root colonization by *Piriformospora indica* enhances grain yield in barley
604 under diverse nutrient regimes by accelerating plant development. *Plant and Soil* **333**, 59-70.

605 Alikhani M, Khatabi B, Sepehri M, Nekouei MK, Mardi M, Salekdeh GH, 2013. A proteomics
606 approach to study the molecular basis of enhanced salt tolerance in barley (*Hordeum vulgare*
607 L.) conferred by the root mutualistic fungus *Piriformospora indica*. *Molecular Biosystems* **9**,
608 1498-510. <http://www.rsc.org/molecularbiosystems>.

609 Anon, 2006. Commission Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006 setting maximum levels of certain
610 contaminants in foodstuffs. Official Journal of the European Union L364:5-24.

611 Bai G-H, Shaner GE, 2004. Management and resistance in wheat and barley to *Fusarium* head
612 blight. *Annual Review Phytopathology* **42**, 135-61.

613 Bajaj R, Agarwal A, Rajpal K, Asthana S, Prasad R, Kharkwal AC, Kumar R, Sherameti I,
614 Oelmüller R, Varma. A, 2014. Co-cultivation of *Curcuma longa* with *Piriformospora indica*
615 Enhances the Yield and Active Ingredients. *American Journal of Current Microbiology* **2**, 1-
616 12.

617 Bucher M, 2007. Functional biology of plant phosphate uptake at root and mycorrhiza
618 interfaces. *New Phytologist* **173**, 11-26.

619 Covarelli L, Beccari G, Steed A, Nicholson P, 2012. Colonization of soft wheat following
620 infection of the stem base by *Fusarium culmorum* and translocation of deoxynivalenol to the
621 head. *Plant Pathology* **61**, 1121-9.

622 Deshmukh S, Hueckelhoven R, Schaefer P, Imani J, Sharma M, Weiss M, Waller F, Kogel K-
623 H, 2006. The root endophytic fungus *Piriformospora indica* requires host cell death for
624 proliferation during mutualistic symbiosis with barley. *Proceedings of the National Academy*
625 *of Sciences of the United States of America* **103**, 18450-7.

626 Deshmukh SD, Kogel KH, 2007. *Piriformospora indica* protects barley from root rot caused
627 by *Fusarium graminearum*. *Journal of Plant Diseases and Protection* **114**, 263-8.

628 Edwards SG, Godley NP, 2010. Reduction of *Fusarium* head blight and deoxynivalenol in
629 wheat with early fungicide applications of prothioconazole. *Food Additives and Contaminants*
630 *Part a-Chemistry Analysis Control Exposure & Risk Assessment* **27**, 629-35.

- 631 Fernandez MR, Chen Y, 2005. Pathogenicity of *Fusarium* species on different plant parts of
632 spring wheat under controlled conditions. *Plant Disease* **89**, 164-9.
- 633 Finlay RD, 2008. Ecological aspects of mycorrhizal symbiosis: with special emphasis on the
634 functional diversity of interactions involving the extraradical mycelium. *J Exp Bot* **59**, 1115-
635 26.
- 636 Franken P, 2012. The plant strengthening root endophyte *Piriformospora indica*: potential
637 application and the biology behind. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* **96**, 1455-64.
638 <http://link.springer.com/>.
- 639 Ghabooli M, Khatabi B, Ahmadi FS, Sepehri M, Mirzaei M, Amirkhani A, Jorin-Novo JV,
640 Salekdeh GH, 2013. Proteomics study reveals the molecular mechanisms underlying water
641 stress tolerance induced by *Piriformospora indica* in barley. *J Proteomics* **94**, 289-301.
- 642 Ghahfarokhy MR, Goltapeh EM, Purjam E, Pakdaman, B.S., Modarres Sanavy SaM, Varma
643 A, 2011. Potential of mycorrhiza-like fungi and *Trichoderma* species in biocontrol of Take-all
644 Disease of wheat under greenhouse condition *Journal of Agricultural Technology* **7(1)**, 185-
645 95. <http://www.ijat-aatsea.com/>.
- 646 Gosal SK, Karlupia A, Gosal SS, Chhibba IM, Varma A, 2010. Biotization with *Piriformospora*
647 *indica* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* improves survival rate, nutrient acquisition, field
648 performance and saponin content of micropropagated *Chlorophytum* sp. . *Indian Journal of*
649 *Biotechnology* **9**, 289–97.
- 650 Gravouil C, 2012. *Identification of the barley phyllosphere and the characterisation of*
651 *manipulation means of the bacteriome against leaf scald and powdery mildew. PhD thesis:*
652 University of Nottingham.
- 653 Harrach BD, Baltruschat H, Barna B, Fodor J, Kogel K-H, 2013. The Mutualistic Fungus
654 *Piriformospora indica* Protects Barley Roots from a Loss of Antioxidant Capacity Caused by
655 the Necrotrophic Pathogen *Fusarium culmorum*. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* **26**,
656 599-605.
- 657 Horsley RD, Pederson JD, Schwarz PB, Mckay K, Hochhalter MR, McMullen MP, 2006.
658 Integrated use of tebuconazole and fusarium head blight-resistant barley genotypes. *Agronomy*
659 *Journal* **98**, 194-7.
- 660 Leplat J, Friberg H, Abid M, Steinberg C, 2013. Survival of *Fusarium graminearum*, the causal
661 agent of Fusarium head blight. A review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development* **33**, 97-111.
- 662 Madgwick JW, West JS, White RP, Semenov MA, Townsend JA, Turner JA, Fitt BDL, 2011.
663 Impacts of climate change on wheat anthesis and fusarium ear blight in the UK. *European*
664 *Journal of Plant Pathology* **130**, 117-31.
- 665 McMullen MP, 1994. Foliar fungicide control of Septoria leaf blight and Fusarium head scab
666 of wheat. *Fungicide and Nematicide Tests* **49**, 226.

- 667 Mesterházy Á, 2003. Control of Fusarium head blight of wheat by fungicides. In: K. J. Leonard
 668 and W. R. Bushnell E, ed. *Fusarium Head Blight of Wheat and Barley*. The American
 669 Phytopathological Society, St. Paul, MN, pp 363-380.
- 670 Miransari M, 2010. Contribution of arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis to plant growth under
 671 different types of soil stress. *Plant Biol (Stuttg)* **12**, 563-9.
- 672 Moretti A, Panzarini G, Somma S, Campagna C, Ravaglia S, Logrieco AF, Solfrizzo M, 2014.
 673 Systemic Growth of *F. graminearum* in Wheat Plants and Related Accumulation of
 674 Deoxynivalenol. *Toxins* **6**, 1308-24.
- 675 Mudge AM, Dill-Macky R, Dong YH, Gardiner DM, White RG, Manners JM, 2006. A role
 676 for the mycotoxin deoxynivalenol in stem colonisation during crown rot disease of wheat
 677 caused by *Fusarium graminearum* and *Fusarium pseudograminearum*. *Physiological and*
 678 *Molecular Plant Pathology* **69**, 73-85.
- 679 Murphy B, R., Doohan F, M., Hodkinson T, R., 2014. Yield increase induced by the fungal
 680 root endophyte *Piriformospora indica* in barley grown at low temperature is nutrient limited.
 681 *Symbiosis* **62**, 29-39.
- 682 Nouri E, Breuillin-Sessoms F, Feller U, Reinhardt D, 2015. Phosphorus and Nitrogen Regulate
 683 Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Symbiosis in *Petunia hybrida*. *Plos One* **10**, doi:
 684 10.1371/journal.pone.0127472.
- 685 Paul PA, Lipps PE, Hershman DE, McMullen MP, Draper MA, Madden LV, 2008. Efficacy of
 686 triazole-based fungicides for Fusarium head blight and deoxynivalenol control in wheat: A
 687 multivariate meta-analysis. *Phytopathology* **98**, 999-1011.
- 688 Paulitz TC, Smiley RW, Cook RJ, 2002. Insights into the prevalence and management of soil-
 689 borne cereal pathogens under direct seeding in the Pacific Northwest, U.S.A. *Canadian Journal*
 690 *of Plant Pathology* **24**, 416-28.
- 691 Rabiey M, Ullah I, Shaw MW, 2015. The endophytic fungus *Piriformospora indica* protects
 692 wheat from fusarium crown rot disease in simulated UK autumn conditions. *Plant Pathology*
 693 **64**, 1029–40. DOI: 10.1111/ppa.12335.
- 694 Schalamuk S, Cabello MN, Chidichimo H, Golik S, 2011. Effects of Inoculation with *Glomus*
 695 *mosseae* in Conventionally Tilled and Nontilled Soils with Different Levels of Nitrogen
 696 Fertilization on Wheat Growth, Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Colonization, and Nitrogen Nutrition.
 697 *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* **42**, 586-98.
- 698 Shahabivand S, Maivan HZ, Goltapeh EM, Sharifi M, Aliloo AA, 2012. The effects of root
 699 endophyte and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on growth and cadmium accumulation in wheat
 700 under cadmium toxicity. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry* **60**, 53-8.
- 701 Sherameti I, Shahollari B, Venus Y, Altschmied L, Varma A, Oelmüller R, 2005. The
 702 endophytic fungus *Piriformospora indica* stimulates the expression of nitrate reductase and the
 703 starch-degrading enzyme glucan-water dikinase in tobacco and Arabidopsis roots through a
 704 homeodomain transcription factor that binds to a conserved motif in their promoters. *Journal*
 705 *of Biological Chemistry* **280**, 26241-7.

- 706 Shrivastava S, Varma A, 2014. From *Piriformospora indica* to rootonic: a review. *African*
707 *Journal of Microbiology Research* **8**, 2984-92.
- 708 Verma S, Varma A, Rexer KH, Hassel A, Kost G, Sarbhoy A, Bisen P, Butehorn B, Franken
709 P, 1998. *Piriformospora indica*, gen. et sp. nov., a new root-colonizing fungus. *Mycologia* **90**,
710 896-903.
- 711 Waller F, Achatz B, Baltruschat H, Fodor J, Becker K, Fischer M, Heier T, Huckelhoven R,
712 Neumann C, Von Wettstein D, Franken P, Kogel KH, 2005. The endophytic fungus
713 *Piriformospora indica* reprograms barley to salt-stress tolerance, disease resistance, and higher
714 yield. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **102**,
715 13386-91.
- 716 West JS, Holdgate S, Townsend JA, Edwards SG, Jennings P, Fitt BDL, 2012. Impacts of
717 changing climate and agronomic factors on fusarium ear blight of wheat in the UK. *Fungal*
718 *Ecology* **5**, 53-61.
- 719 Wu QS, Li GH, Zou YN, 2011. Roles of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on growth and nutrient
720 acquisition of peach (*Prunus persica* L. Batsch) seedlings. *Journal of Animal and Plant Science*
721 **21**, 746-50.
- 722 Xu XM, Monger W, Ritieni A, Nicholson P, 2007. Effect of temperature and duration of
723 wetness during initial infection periods on disease development, fungal biomass and mycotoxin
724 concentrations on wheat inoculated with single, or combinations of, *Fusarium* species. *Plant*
725 *Pathology* **56**, 943-56.
- 726 Xu XM, Parry DW, Nicholson P, Thomsett MA, Simpson D, Edwards SG, Cooke BM, Doohan
727 FM, Monaghan S, Moretti A, Tocco G, Mule G, Hornok L, Beki E, Tatnell J, Ritieni A, 2008.
728 Within-field variability of *Fusarium* head blight pathogens and their associated mycotoxins.
729 *European Journal of Plant Pathology* **120**, 21-34.
- 730 Yadav V, Kumar M, Deep DK, Kumar H, Sharma R, Tripathi T, Tuteja N, Saxena AK, Johri
731 AK, 2010. A Phosphate Transporter from the Root Endophytic Fungus *Piriformospora indica*
732 Plays a Role in Phosphate Transport to the Host Plant. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **285**,
733 26532-44.
- 734 Yaghoubian Y, Mohammadi E. G, Pirdashti H, Esfandiari E, Feiziasl V, Dolatabadi HK,
735 Varma A, Hassim MH, 2014. Effect of *Glomus mosseae* and *Piriformospora indica* on Growth
736 and Antioxidant Defense Responses of Wheat Plants Under Drought Stress. *Agricultural*
737 *Research* **3**, 1-7.
- 738 Yoshida M, Nakajima T, Tomimura K, Suzuki F, Arai M, Miyasaka A, 2012. Effect of the
739 Timing of Fungicide Application on *Fusarium* Head Blight and Mycotoxin Contamination in
740 Wheat. *Plant Disease* **96**, 845-51.
- 741 Zadoks JC, Chang TT, Konzak CF, 1974. A decimal code for the growth stages of cereals.
742 *Weed Research* **14**, 415-21.
- 743

744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766

767 **Supporting information**

768 **Table 1.** ANOVA P-value for variable measured in pots of winter wheat (cv. Battalion) and
769 treated in a full factorial design with the factors shown. The experiment carried out in the
770 2013/14 growing season.

P value

	FHB severity	FHB incidence	Total above ground weight	Root weight	Total grain weight	TGW	Harvest index	No of ears
Main effect								
P.indica	<.001	<.001	0.06	<.001	0.2	0.02	0.6	0.2
F.mosseae	0.001	0.006	0.01	<.001	0.3	0.05	0.9	0.02
Fertiliser	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	0.6	0.3	<.001
F.graminearum	<.001	<.001	0.2	0.9	0.09	0.06	0.2	0.8
F.culmorum	0.09	0.1	0.09	0.05	0.2	0.8	0.6	0.9
2nd order interaction								
P.indica.F.mosseae	0.008	0.03	0.06	<.001	0.7	0.2	0.6	0.3
P.indica.Fertiliser	0.7	0.2	0.9	0.3	0.8	0.5	0.9	0.7
F.mosseae.Fertiliser	0.6	0.9	0.004	0.4	0.03	0.8	0.2	0.7
P.indica.F.g	0.004	0.005	0.4	0.03	0.9	0.04	0.8	0.1
F.mosseae.F.g	0.1	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.6	0.7	0.4	0.7
Fertiliser.F.g	0.7	0.5	0.9	0.8	0.6	0.5	0.7	0.3
P.indica.F.c	0.2	0.1	0.6	0.01	0.9	0.8	0.5	0.7
F.mosseae.F.c	0.03	0.01	<.001	0.01	0.07	0.6	0.7	0.5
Fertiliser.F.c	0.7	0.9	0.8	<.001	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.3
F.graminearum.F.c	0.4	0.5	0.9	0.6	0.9	0.02	0.8	0.9
3rd order interaction								
P.indica.F.mosseae.Fertiliser	0.6	0.7	0.9	0.05	0.5	0.008	0.4	0.02
P.indica.F.mosseae.F.g	0.08	0.05	0.008	0.7	0.09	0.7	0.5	0.9
P.indica.Fertiliser.F.g	0.9	0.5	0.9	0.04	0.2	0.8	0.1	0.6
F.mosseae.Fertiliser.F.g	0.6	0.9	0.001	0.1	0.4	0.09	0.5	0.7
P.indica.F.mosseae.F.c	0.4	0.7	0.07	0.008	0.05	0.4	0.2	0.3
P.indica.Fertiliser.F.c	0.6	0.7	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.8
F.mosseae.Fertiliser.F.c	0.6	0.4	0.06	0.4	0.3	0.7	0.9	0.4
P.indica.F.g.F.c	0.8	0.2	0.6	0.3	0.7	0.9	0.9	0.3
F.mosseae.F.g.F.c	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.9	0.1	0.04	0.2	0.7
Fertiliser.F.g.F.c	0.07	0.04	0.1	0.5	0.9	0.8	0.4	0.5
4th order interaction								
P.indica.F.mosseae.Fertiliser.F.g	0.5	0.7	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.7	0.6	0.9
P.indica.F.mosseae.Fertiliser.F.c	0.2	0.03	0.9	0.008	0.4	0.7	0.6	0.8
P.indica.F.mosseae.F.g.F.c	0.05	0.01	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.4	0.7	0.09
P.indica.Fertiliser.F.g.F.c	0.06	0.04	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.1	0.8	0.9
F.mosseae.Fertiliser.F.g.F.c	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.3	0.9	0.6
5th order interaction								
P.indica.F.mosseae.Fertiliser.F.g.F.c	0.7	0.9	0.4	0.8	0.6	0.8	0.8	0.5

771

772 **Table 2.** ANOVA P-value for variable measured in pots of spring wheat (cv. Paragon) and
773 treated in a full factorial design with the factors shown. The experiment carried out in the 2014
774 growing season.

Main effect	P value							
	FHB severity	FHB incidence	Total above ground weight	Root weight	Total grain weight	1000 grain weight	Harvest index	No of ears
P.indica	0.07	0.2	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.08	0.07	0.003
F.mosseae	0.8	0.6	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.5	0.5	0.1
F.graminearum	<.001	<.001	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.4	0.7	0.03
Fungicide	0.005	0.02	0.6	0.7	0.05	0.7	0.03	0.12
2nd order interaction								
P.indica.F.mosseae	0.4	0.5	0.8	0.03	0.7	0.1	0.3	0.4
P.indica.F.g	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.08	0.1	0.07	0.9
F.mosseae.F.g	0.7	0.6	0.09	0.05	0.2	0.1	0.7	0.06
P.indica. Fungicide	0.08	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.8	0.1	0.4	0.6
F.mosseae. Fungicide	0.4	0.3	0.8	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.8
Fusarium. Fungicide	0.04	0.1	0.5	0.4	0.9	0.8	0.4	0.9
3rd order interaction								
P.indica.F.mosseae.F.g	0.8	0.9	0.7	0.01	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7
P.indica.F.mosseae. Fungicide	0.9	0.9	0.03	0.9	0.003	0.01	0.009	0.003
P.indica.F.g. Fungicide	0.1	0.3	0.7	0.8	0.4	0.9	0.3	0.7
F.mosseae.F.g.Fungicide	0.5	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.4	0.8	0.1	0.4
4th order interaction								
P.indica.F.mosseae.F.g. Fungicide	0.7	0.6	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.9	0.5

775

776

777

778

779

780

781

782

783

784 **Table 3.** ANOVA P-value for variable measured in pots of six cultivars of spring wheat (cv.
785 Paragon, Mulika, Zircon, Granary, KWS Willow and KWS Kilburn) and treated in a full
786 factorial design with the factors shown. The experiment carried out in the 2015 growing season.

Main effect	P value							
	FHB severity	FHB incidence	Total above ground weight (g)	Root weight (g)	Total grain weight per pot (g)	1000 grain weight (g)	Harvest index	No of ears
P.indica	<.001	<.001	0.002	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	0.002
F.graminearum	<.001	<.001	0.06	0.6	<.001	0.2	0.034	0.6
Wheat cultivars	<.001	<.001	0.02	0.09	0.001	0.1	0.1	<.001
2nd order interaction								
P.indica.F.g	<.001	0.02	0.04	0.8	0.2	0.03	0.6	0.6
P.indica.wheat cultivars	0.7	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.8
FHB.wheat cultivars	0.9	0.9	0.5	0.1	0.7	0.8	0.9	0.7
3rd order interaction								
P.indica.F.g.wheat cultivars	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.2	0.6

787

788 **Table 4.** for Fig 4a. ANOVA table of mycotoxin DON of winter wheat (cv. Battalion).

main effect	P value
	mycotoxin DON
P. indica	<.001
F. culmorum	<.001
Fertiliser	0.005
F. mosseae	0.5
2nd order interaction	
P.indica.F. culmorum	<.001
P_indica.Fertiliser	0.001
Fertiliser.F.culmorum	0.09
P.indica.F.mosseae	0.003
F.mosseae.F. culmorum	0.3
F.mosseae.Fertiliser	0.4
3rd order interaction	
P.indica.Fertiliser.F.c	0.05
P.indica.F.mosseae.F.c	0.6
P.indica.F.mosseae.Fertiliser	0.4
F.mosseae.Fertiliser.F.c	0.2
4th order interaction	
P.indica.F.mosseae.Fertiliser.F.c	0.1

789

790

791 **Table 5.** for Fig 4b. ANOVA table of mycotoxin DON of spring wheat (cv. Paragon).

	P value
	Mycotoxin DON
main effect	
P.indica	0.01
F.mosseae	0.5
Fungicide	0.001
2nd way interaction	
P.indica.F.mosseae	0.009
P.indica.Fungicide	0.03
F.mosseae.Fungicide	0.9
3rd way interaction	
P.indica.F.mosseae.Fungicide	0.06

792

793 **Table 6.** for Fig 4c. ANOVA table of mycotoxin DON of six cultivars of spring wheat (cv.
794 Paragon, Mulika, Zircon, Granary, KWS Willow and KWS Kilburn).

	P value
	Mycotoxin DON
Main effect	
P.indica	<.001
Wheat cultivars	<.001
2nd order interaction	
P.indica.Wheat cultivars	0.002

795

796

797

798

799

800

801

802

803

804

805 **Table 7.** ANOVA P-value for variable leaf tissue nutrients measured in pots of winter wheat
 806 (cv. Battalion) and treated in a full factorial design with the factors shown. The experiment
 807 carried out in the 2014/15 growing season.

	Total N	Total P	Total K	Ttal Ca	Total Mg	Total S	Total Mn	Total Cu	Total Zn	Total Fe	Total B
Main effect											
P.indica	0.6	0.9	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.9	0.04	0.01
F.mosseae	0.7	0.6	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.01	0.2
Fertiliser	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	0.002	<.001
2nd order interaction											
P.indica.F.mosseae	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.1	0.8	0.9	0.06	1
P.indica.Fertiliser	0.6	0.7	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.3	0.03	0.5	0.6	0.07	0.02
F.mosseae.Fertiliser	0.8	0.2	0.5	0.9	0.7	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.05	0.7
3rd order interaction											
P.indica.F.mosseae.Fertiliser	0.04	0.9	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.8	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.3

808

809 **Table 8.** The effect of *P. indica* under low and high fertilisation levels on final yield of winter
 810 wheat (cv. Battalion) was confirmed on a small scale experiment in 2014/15 growing season.

Fertiliser	P.indica	Total above ground weight (g)	Root weight (g)	Total grain weight per pot (g)	1000 grain weight (g)	Harvest index	No of ears
1 g/L	-	184	8.9	69	48	0.4	30
	+	232	19.4	92	60	0.4	33
4 g/L	-	273	18.9	88	54	0.3	47
	+	296	22.05	122	61	0.4	52
s.e.d		15.2	2.3	8.7	3.5	0.05	2.3

811

812 **Table 9.** ANOVA P-value for variable measured in pots of winter wheat (cv. Battalion) and
 813 treated in a full factorial design with the factors shown.

Main effect	P value					
	Total above ground weight (g)	Root weight (g)	Total grain weight per pot (g)	1000 grain weight (g)	Harvest index	No of ears
P.inidca	0.007	0.001	<.001	0.003	0.2	0.05
Fertiliser	<.001	0.002	0.002	0.2	0.6	<.001
2nd order interaction						
P.inidca.Fertiliser	0.3	0.04	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.7

814

815