

Intercomparison of methods of coupling between convection and large-scale circulation. 2: comparison over nonuniform surface conditions

Article

Accepted Version

Creative Commons: Attribution 3.0 (CC-BY)

Open Access

Daleu, C. L. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2075-4902, Plant, R. S. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8808-0022, Woolnough, S. J. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0500-8514, Sessions, S., Herman, M. J., Sobel, A., Wang, S., Kim, D., Cheng, A., Bellon, G., Peyrille, P., Ferry, F., Siebesma, P. and van Ulft, L. (2016) Intercomparison of methods of coupling between convection and large-scale circulation. 2: comparison over non-uniform surface conditions. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 8 (1). pp. 387-405. ISSN 1942-2466 doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/2015MS000570 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/59328/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2015MS000570

Publisher: American Geophysical Union



including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

Intercomparison of methods of coupling between convection and large-scale circulation. 2: Comparison over non-uniform surface conditions

C. L. Daleu¹, R. S. Plant¹, S. J. Woolnough², S. Sessions³, M. J. Herman³,

A. Sobel⁴, S. Wang⁵, D. Kim⁶, A. Cheng⁷, G. Bellon⁸, P. Peyrille⁹, F. Ferry⁹,

P. Siebesma^{10,11}, and L. van Ulft¹⁰

⁴ Key points.

- Tropical convection
- Large-scale parameterized dynamics

Correspondence to: C. L. Daleu, c.daleu@reading.ac.uk

¹Department of Meteorology, University

Abstract. As part of an international intercomparison project, the weak temperature gradient (WTG) and damped gravity wave (DGW) methods 8 are used to parameterize large-scale dynamics in a set of cloud-resolving mod-9 els (CRMs) and single column models (SCMs). The WTG or DGW method 10 is implemented using a configuration that couples a model to a reference state 11 defined with profiles obtained from the same model in radiative-convective 12 equilibrium. We investigated the sensitivity of each model to changes in SST, 13 given a fixed reference state. We performed a systematic comparison of the 14 WTG and DGW methods in different models, and a systematic comparison 15 of the behavior of those models using the WTG method and the DGW method. 16 The sensitivity to the SST depends on both the large-scale parameteriza-17 tion method and the choice of the cloud model. In general, SCMs display a 18 wider range of behaviors than CRMs. All CRMs using either the WTG or 19 DGW method show an increase of precipitation with SST, while SCMs show 20 sensitivities which are not always monotonic. CRMs using either the WTG 21 or DGW method show a similar relationship between mean precipitation rate 22 and column-relative humidity, while SCMs exhibit a much wider range of be-23 haviors. DGW simulations produce large-scale velocity profiles which are smoother 24 and less top-heavy compared to those produced by the WTG simulations. 25 These large-scale parameterization methods provide a useful tool to iden-26 tify the impact of parameterization differences on model behavior in the pres-27 ence of two-way feedback between convection and the large-scale circulation. 28

of Reading, Reading, UK,

DRAFT

1. Introduction

A key issue in understanding the tropical climate and its variability is the understand-20 ing of the two-way interaction between tropical deep convection and large-scale tropical 30 circulations. Numerical models which simultaneously simulate convection and large-scale 31 circulations are computationally expensive due to the large range of spatial scales between 32 individual convective cells and large-scale tropical circulations. Some examples include 33 large-domain, high-resolution simulations as those conducted in projects such as Cascade 34 [e.g., Holloway et al., 2012] and the global cloud-resolving modeling using Nonhydrostatic 35 ICosahedral Atmosphere Model [e.g., Miura et al., 2005]. 36

Many single column model (SCM) and cloud-resolving model (CRM) studies have simu-37 lated the interactions of tropical deep convection with a prescribed large-scale flow, possi-38 bly based on idealization or experimental campaign [e.g., Tompkins, 2001; Xu et al., 2002; 39 Derbyshire et al., 2004; Petch et al., 2006]. In such studies, the time scale characterizing 40 changes in convection is assumed to be short compared to the time scale characterizing 41 changes in the large-scale flow. Simulations with predefined large-scale flow have provided 42 much useful insight. However, the precipitation rates produced are too much constrained 43 due to the predefined large-scale moisture advection [Mapes, 1997; Sobel and Bretherton, 44 2000] and thus, such simulations cannot be used to understand the factors that con-45 trol the occurrence and intensity of tropical deep convection [Sobel et al., 2004]. On the 46 other hand, in non-equilibrium conditions there is a close link between convection and 47

²National Centre for Atmospheric Science,

DRAFT

X - 4 DALEU ET AL.: CONVECTION AND PARAMETERIZED LARGE-SCALE DYNAMICS

the large-scale flow such that ignoring the feedback of convection on the large-scale flow is not appropriate [*Mapes*, 1997; *Holloway and Neelin*, 2010; *Masunaga*, 2012].

The two-way interaction between tropical deep convection and large-scale tropical flow has been studied at a reasonable computational cost in both SCMs and CRMs using various forms of parameterized large-scale dynamics. This study compares two methods of parameterized large-scale dynamics—the weak-temperature gradient (WTG) method and the damped gravity wave (DGW) method—in a set of CRMs and SCMs.

The WTG method derives the large-scale vertical velocity from buoyancy anomalies. It 55 has been applied to parameterize large-scale tropical circulations that either consume the 56 simulated heating and accordingly maintain zero horizontal temperature gradient [Sobel 57 and Bretherton, 2000] or remove the horizontal temperature gradient over a short but 58 nonzero time-scale [e.g., Raymond and Zeng, 2005; Sessions et al., 2010; Daleu et al., 59 2012; Sessions et al., 2015]. A recent innovation of the WTG method involves spectral 60 decomposition of heating in the vertical dimension [Herman and Raymond, 2014]. The 61 DGW method derives the large-scale vertical velocity directly from the approximated mo-62 mentum equations. It has been applied to study the two-way coupling between convection 63 and large-scale dynamics, with the latter being simplified to a linear gravity wave of a 64 single horizontal wavenumber [Kuanq, 2008, 2011; Wanq et al., 2013; Romps, 2012a, b; 65 Edman and Romps, 2015]. 66

In the simulations using the WTG or the DGW method the large-scale forcing diagnosed from the domain-mean temperature anomalies induces a moisture source. Therefore, traditional intercomparisons with prescribed large-scale forcing (e.g., TOGA COARE and

Department of Meteorology, University of

DRAFT

DYNAMO) and intercomparisons in which moisture source is a relaxation to a prescribed 70 profile [Derbyshire et al., 2004] are extended here to simulations in which convection within 71 the simulated domain feeds back on the large-scale forcing which in turns drives moisture 72 advection. The implementation of the WTG and DGW methods has always used a con-73 figuration that couples a simulated column to a reference state [e.g., Raymond and Zenq, 74 2005; Sobel et al., 2007; Sessions et al., 2010; Wang and Sobel, 2011; Kuang, 2008, 2011; 75 Wang and Sobel, 2012; Wang et al., 2013; Romps, 2012a, b] until recently when Daleu 76 et al. [2012] developed a new configuration that couples two simulated columns via a 77 WTG-derived large-scale circulation [Daleu et al., 2012, 2014]. Much insight has been 78 learned from these efforts. Unfortunately, many aspects of the large-scale parameteriza-79 tion methods remain uncertain since results using these two large-scale parameterization 80 methods show both similarities and discrepancies in model behavior. 81

In order to understand the different behaviors of these large-scale parameterization 82 methods, this international intercomparison project-the GASS-WTG project-was devel-83 oped by the Global Energy and Water Exchanges (GEWEX) Global Atmospheric Systems 84 Modelling Panel (GASS). The goals of this project are to develop community understand-85 ing of the WTG and DGW methods, to identify differences in behavior of SCMs compared 86 to CRMs to inform parameterization development, and to assess the usefulness of these 87 approaches as tools for parameterization development. In this study, we will evaluate 88 the CRMs and SCMs by comparing the strengths of the diagnosed large-scale forcing 89 and the precipitation rates which result from both the model physics and the parame-90 terized large-scale dynamical feedback. These two-way feedbacks between convection and 91

Reading, Reading, UK,

DRAFT

X - 6 DALEU ET AL.: CONVECTION AND PARAMETERIZED LARGE-SCALE DYNAMICS

the large-scale forcing will helps us to identify weaknesses in our SCM parameterization
schemes and their likely behaviors in general circulation models. However, such comparison will be helpful only if a greater consistency is obtained among CRMs than among
SCMs.

In Part 1 of this study [Daleu et al., 2015], the aim was to understand what causes 96 discrepancies in model behavior when surface conditions in the simulated column are 97 identical to those of the reference state. We implemented the WTG and DGW methods 98 in a set of CRMs and SCMs. For each model, the reference state was defined from 99 profiles obtained in the radiative-convective equilibrium (RCE) simulation of that model. 100 WTG and DGW simulations were performed with the same SST as in the reference state 101 and were initialized with profiles from the reference state. Some models produced an 102 equilibrium state which was almost identical to the corresponding RCE reference state. 103 In contrast, other models developed a large-scale circulation which resulted in either 104 substantially higher or lower precipitation rates in the simulated column compared to 105 the implied value for the RCE reference column. We also explored the sensitivity of 106 the final equilibrium state to the initial moisture conditions. We found that while some 107 models are not sensitive to the initial moisture conditions (independent of the method 108 used to parameterize the large-scale circulation), other models may support two distinct 109 precipitating equilibrium states using either the DGW or WTG method. We also found 110 that some models using the WTG method (but not using the DGW method) can support 111

³Department of Physics, New Mexico

DRAFT

either an equilibrium state with persistent, precipitating convection or an equilibrium state with zero precipitation.

Tech, Socorro, New Mexico, USA,

⁴Department of Environmental Sciences, Columbia University, New York, New York, USA,

⁵Department of Applied Physics and

Applied Mathematics, Columbia University,

New York, New York, USA,

⁶Department of Atmospheric Sciences,

University of Washington, Seattle,

Washington, USA,

⁷Climate Science Branch, NASA Langley

Research Centre, Hampton, Virginia, USA,

⁸The Department of Physics, University

of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand,

⁹Meteo France, Toulouse, France,

¹⁰Royal Netherlands Meteorological

Institute, De Bilt, Netherlands.

¹¹Delft University of Technology, Delft,

Netherlands

X - 8 DALEU ET AL.: CONVECTION AND PARAMETERIZED LARGE-SCALE DYNAMICS

Daleu et al. [2015] revealed some weaknesses of the WTG method. For instance, over 114 uniform SST, the existence of the nonprecipitating equilibrium state in some models 115 was sensitive to the choice of the parameters used in the WTG calculations (e.g., the 116 nominal boundary layer depth). In addition, DGW simulations over uniform SST and 117 with nearly uniform radiative forcing were more likely to reproduce the RCE reference 118 conditions and produced large-scale pressure velocities which were smoother compared 119 to those produced by the WTG simulations. Aside from the choice of the large-scale 120 parameterization method and the details of its implementation, various other factors in 121 the convective models were important for the evolution of convection and its interactions 122 with parameterized large-scale dynamics. For instance, we found that CRMs using either 123 the WTG or DGW method produced broadly similar results, while SCMs produced a 124 much wider range of behaviors. 125

Whilst Daleu et al. [2015] considered the case where the simulated column had the 126 same SST as the RCE reference state, this paper focuses on the sensitivity to the SST 127 in the simulated column, which has been a major focus of previous studies using these 128 approaches [e.g., Raymond and Zeng, 2005; Sobel et al., 2007; Wang and Sobel, 2011]. 129 Daleu et al. [2015] used the term "Uniform SST" to refer to conditions in which the 130 simulated column has the same SST as in the RCE reference state. In the present study, 131 we use the same set of CRMs and SCMs presented in *Daleu et al.* [2015] and we use 132 the term "Non-uniform SST" to refer to conditions in which the simulated column has a 133 value of SST which is different to that of the RCE reference state. For each model, we 134 fix the reference state and perform a series of WTG and DGW simulations with a range 135 of SSTs in the simulated column. We perform a systematic comparison of the WTG and 136

DRAFT

¹³⁷ DGW methods with a consistent implementation in the models, and also a systematic ¹³⁸ comparison of the behavior of the models given the same large-scale parameterization ¹³⁹ method.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 briefly describes the models that have contributed to this study. Section 3.1 outlines our implementation of the WTG and DGW methods (full details are available in *Daleu et al.* [2015]), while Section 3.2 describes the configurations of our numerical simulations. Section 4 compares the results of the WTG and DGW simulations over non-uniform SSTs. Finally, the conclusions and implications of our study are discussed in section 5.

2. Description of models

¹⁴⁶ Six groups participating in this intercomparison study performed simulations with the ¹⁴⁷ same set of CRMs and SCMs presented in *Daleu et al.* [2015]. The models are listed in ¹⁴⁸ Tables 1 and 2 for CRMs and SCMs, respectively.

2.1. Cloud Resolving Models

There are five CRMs, including two in three-dimensions [3-D] and three in twodimensions [2-D]. The 3-D CRMs are the Weather Research and Forecast model version 3.3 (WRF) [*Skamarock et al.*, 2008] and the mesoscale, nonhydrostatic atmospheric model (MesoNH) [*Lafore et al.*, 1997]. The 2-D CRMs are the Langley Research Center Cloud-Resolving Model (LaRC-CRM) [*Cheng and Xu*, 2006], the New Mexico Tech cloud model version 3 (NMTCMv3) introduced in *Raymond and Zeng* [2005], with modifications and enhancements described in *Herman and Raymond* [2014], and the Met Office Large Eddy

DRAFT

February 1, 2016, 12:53pm

DRAFT

X - 10 DALEU ET AL.: CONVECTION AND PARAMETERIZED LARGE-SCALE DYNAMICS

¹⁵⁶ Model at version 2.4 (LEMv2.4) [*Shutts and Gray*, 1994; *Petch and Gray*, 2001]. The ¹⁵⁷ reader is referred to *Daleu et al.* [2015] for a more complete description of these CRMs.

2.2. Single-Column Models

Two pairs of the SCMs come from different versions of the same model. One of the pairs, 158 LMDzA and LMDzB, are the SCM versions of the atmospheric components of IPSL-CM5A 159 and IPSL-CM5B [Dufresne et al., 2013]. The other pair, EC-Earthv1 and EC-Earthv3, 160 are SCMs based on the atmospheric general circulation model IFS, cycles 31r1 and 36r4 161 respectively of the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) 162 [Hazeleger et al., 2010]. ARPv6 is the SCM version of the atmospheric component of the 163 CNRM-CM, an updated version from that used in CMIP5 [Voldoire et al., 2013], GISS-164 SCM is the SCM version of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration Goddard 165 Institute for Space Studies, an updated version from that used in CMIP5 [Schmidt et al., 166 2014], and UMv7.8 is the SCM version of the UK Met Office Unified Model [Davies et al., 167 2005]. The reader is referred to *Daleu et al.* [2015] for a more complete description of 168 these SCMs. 169

2.3. Overall approach

The CRMs have horizontal domain sizes ranging between 128 and 256 km and horizontal resolution ranging between 0.5 and 4 km. The lateral boundary conditions are periodic for all prognostic variables in all CRMs. For CRMs in 2-D, the domain-mean wind speeds in the along-domain direction and in the across-domain direction are relaxed toward vertically uniform values of 0 and 5 m s⁻¹, respectively, both with a relaxation time-scale of 6 h. For fair comparison of 2-D CRM simulations with 3-D CRM simulations

DRAFT

and with SCM simulations, the horizontal domain-mean wind speed components in the 176 3-D CRMs and SCMs are also relaxed toward vertically uniform values of 0 and 5 m s⁻¹. 177 For all of these models, the lower boundary condition is a spatially uniform and time-178 independent SST, and the Coriolis force is zero. We force each model with the idealized 179 cooling profile defined in *Daleu et al.* [2015]. The tendency of temperature due to ra-180 diative cooling, $(\partial T/\partial t)_{RC}$, is homogeneous and non-interactive throughout most of the 181 troposphere, and it acts to maintain the temperature toward a fixed value of 200 K at 182 levels with $\bar{p} < 100$ hPa, with a relaxation time scale $\alpha_T^{-1} = 1$ day. That is, 183

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} \end{pmatrix}_{RC} = \begin{cases} -1.5 & \text{if} \quad \overline{p} \ge 200 \\ -1.5 \left(\frac{\overline{p} - 100}{100}\right) - \alpha_T \left(\frac{200 - \overline{p}}{100}\right) (\overline{T} - 200) & \text{if} \quad 100 < \overline{p} < 200. \\ -\alpha_T (\overline{T} - 200) & \text{if} \quad \overline{p} \le 100 \end{cases}$$
(1)

Parameterization of the large-scale dynamics and experiment setup Parameterization of the large-scale dynamics

In the present study, the large-scale circulation is parameterized using two methods: the WTG and DGW methods. As in *Daleu et al.* [2015], the implementation of the WTG or DGW method involves an interactive column that is coupled to a reference state.

¹⁸⁸ A full description of the implementation of the WTG method is given in *Daleu et al.* ¹⁸⁹ [2015]. The large-scale pressure velocity, $\overline{\omega}$ between 850 and 100 hPa acts to reduce ¹⁹⁰ the difference in the domain-mean virtual potential temperature between the simulated ¹⁹¹ column and the reference state, $\overline{\theta}_v - \overline{\theta}_v^{Ref}$, over a specified time-scale, τ . That is,

$$\overline{\omega}\frac{\partial\overline{\theta}_{v}^{Ref}}{\partial p} = \frac{\overline{\theta}_{v} - \overline{\theta}_{v}^{Ref}}{\tau}.$$
(2)

¹⁹³ Above 100 hPa $\overline{\omega}$ is set to zero. Below the nominal boundary layer top, 850 hPa, we ¹⁹⁴ calculate the values of $\overline{\omega}$ by linear interpolation in pressure from the value diagnosed at the

X - 12 DALEU ET AL.: CONVECTION AND PARAMETERIZED LARGE-SCALE DYNAMICS

first model level above 850 hPa to zero at the surface. Experiments to assess sensitivities of the final equilibrium state to the depth of the boundary layer are presented in *Daleu* et al. [2015].

¹⁹⁸ A full description of the implementation of the DGW method is given in *Daleu et al.* ¹⁹⁹ [2015]. The second-order derivative of $\overline{\omega}$ is related to the difference in the domain-mean ²⁰⁰ virtual temperature between the simulated column and the reference state, $\overline{T_v} - \overline{T}_v^{Ref}$, as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left(\epsilon \frac{\partial \overline{\omega}}{\partial p} \right) = \frac{k^2 R_d}{\overline{p}^{Ref}} (\overline{T}_v - \overline{T}_v^{Ref}), \tag{3}$$

where R_d is the gas constant of dry air. ϵ and k are the mechanical damping coefficient and the horizontal wavenumber, respectively.

As in *Daleu et al.* [2015], the large-scale circulation parameterized using either equation 2 or 3 introduces additional source and sink terms to the potential temperature and water vapor equations only. The prognostic equation for potential temperature includes the tendency due to vertical advection by the parameterized large-scale circulation. That is,

$$\left(\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial t}\right)_{LS} = -\overline{\omega}\frac{\partial\overline{\theta}}{\partial p}.$$
(4)

The prognostic equation for specific humidity of water vapor (q_v) also includes the largescale tendency due to vertical advection, as well as an additional contribution representing the horizontal advection of the reference state air into the simulated domain by the parameterized large-scale circulation. That is,

213

208

201

$$\left(\frac{\partial q_v}{\partial t}\right)_{LS} = -\overline{\omega}\frac{\partial \overline{q}_v}{\partial p} + max\left(\frac{\partial \overline{\omega}}{\partial p}, 0\right)(\overline{q}_v^{Ref} - \overline{q}_v).$$
(5)

3.2. Experiment Setup

For each model, a radiative-convective equilibrium (RCE) simulation (no large-scale parameterized dynamics) is first performed over an SST of 300 K. The mean thermody-D R A F T February 1, 2016, 12:53pm D R A F T ²¹⁶ namic profiles at equilibrium in that simulation are used to define the reference state of ²¹⁷ that model. We keep the reference state fixed and investigate the sensitivity of the final ²¹⁸ equilibrium state to the SST in the simulated column as in *Wang and Sobel* [2011].

For each of the models listed in Tables 1 and 2, we performed the WTG and DGW 219 simulations of a colder column (using SSTs of 298 and 299.5 K), a warmer column (using 220 SSTs of 300.5, 301, 301.5 and 302 K), and over a uniform SST (using an SST of 300 K; 221 results presented in *Daleu et al.* [2015]). The adjustment time-scale used in the WTG 222 calculations is $\tau = 3$ h. In the DGW calculations, we fix the value of ϵ to 1 day⁻¹ and 223 solve equation 3 with a single horizontal wavenumber $k = 10^{-6} \text{ m}^{-1}$. These are typical 224 values used in previous WTG and DGW studies [e.g., Herman and Raymond, 2014; Daleu 225 et al., 2012; Wang and Sobel, 2011; Wang et al., 2013], including Daleu et al. [2015]. They 226 have been chosen such that the WTG simulation and the corresponding DGW simulation 227 produce large-scale circulations that are comparable in strength for similar temperature 228 anomalies. The calculations of $\overline{\omega}$ given by equations 2 and 3 are performed either every 229 10 min (for models with integration time steps smaller or equal to 10 min) or at every 230 model time step (for models with integration time steps greater than 10 min). 231

The results presented in *Daleu et al.* [2015], and in other previous studies [e.g., *Sobel et al.*, 2007; *Sessions et al.*, 2010] show that some SCMs and CRMs using the WTG method can sustain either a dry equilibrium state or a precipitating equilibrium state, given sufficiently different initial moisture conditions (known as multiple equilibria). Therefore, it is possible that some of our WTG simulations that exhibit precipitating equilibrium states would instead result in dry equilibrium states if initialized with very dry moisture conditions. Multiple equilibria and their dependence on parameters in the WTG calculations

DRAFT

X - 14 DALEU ET AL.: CONVECTION AND PARAMETERIZED LARGE-SCALE DYNAMICS

have already been investigated in *Daleu et al.* [2015], and they are outside the scope of
the present paper.

The WTG and DGW calculations are initialized with profiles from the models' RCE 241 reference state at 300 K and are allowed to evolve until a new quasi-equilibrium state with 242 parameterized large-scale circulation is reached. The RCE reference profiles differ from 243 model to model, with large differences obtained among SCMs (see Figure 3 in Daleu et al. 244 [2015]). The value of surface sensible heat flux also differs between models (not shown) 245 but is much smaller than surface latent heat flux, such that the main balance in the RCE 246 state is between the precipitation rate and the column-integrated radiative cooling rate. 247 Due to the dependence of radiative cooling profile on temperature above 200 hPa (see 248 equation 1), the value of column-integrated radiative cooling rate differs from model to 249 model. The values of mean precipitation rate obtained in the RCE simulations with an 250 SST of 300 K are summarized in the last rows of Tables 1 and 2 for CRMs and SCMs, 251 respectively. 252

We conducted a set of WTG and DGW simulations over non-uniform SSTs using each 253 of the models listed in Tables 1 and 2. The simulations are integrated over different 254 periods of time ranging between 50 and 250 days, as the time-scale of adjustment to a 255 quasi-equilibrium state with the parameterized large-scale circulation differs from model to 256 model and also depends on which large-scale parameterization method is used. The quasi-257 equilibrium state is reached when a statistically steady state temperature and humidity 258 profiles are achieved when averaged over a long period of time. The mean states and 259 statistics at equilibrium of the simulations to be discussed have been obtained by averaging 260

DRAFT

over the last 20 days in 50-day simulations, 30 days in 100-day simulations, and 100 days
 in 250-day simulations.

4. Results

In this section, we present the profiles of large-scale pressure velocity and the mean precipitation rates at equilibrium for different values of SST in the simulated column. We also present the mean precipitation rates, circulation strength, and column-relative humidity in a set of scatter plots.

4.1. Parameterized large-scale circulation and mean precipitation rates

Figures 1 and 2 show the profiles of $\overline{\omega}$ obtained at equilibrium in the WTG and DGW simulations, respectively. Results are shown for all models listed in Tables 1 and 2 and for SSTs of 298, 299.5, 300 K (uniform SST; results presented in *Daleu et al.* [2015]), 300.5, 301, 301.5 and 302 K. For models in height coordinates, we expressed the largescale vertical velocities in Pa s⁻¹ by applying the factor " $-\rho g$," where ρ is density and gis the gravitational acceleration.

To provide a more quantitative evaluation of the WTG and DGW simulations, we 273 calculated the ratio of mean precipitation rate in the simulated column, P, to the value 274 of the corresponding RCE reference state, P_{Ref} . We also calculated the mass-weighted 275 vertical integral of the large-scale pressure velocities presented in Figures 1 and 2; $\Omega =$ 276 $\int \overline{\omega} dp/\Delta p$, where Δp is the depth of the troposphere. The numerical values of Ω and 277 P/P_{Ref} are listed in Tables 3 and 4 for CRMs and SCMs, respectively. Figure 3 shows 278 P/P_{Ref} as a function of the SST in the simulated column, and Figure 4 shows scatter 279 plots of Ω versus P/P_{Ref} for all SSTs. 280

DRAFT

²⁸¹ 4.1.1. Variations between models

For a given SST in the simulated column, the characteristic vertical structure of the 282 large-scale circulation at equilibrium differs from model to model, and it also depends 283 on the large-scale parameterization method used. Over an SST of 302 K (red curves in 284 Figures 1 and 2), for example, models using the WTG method exhibit a range of large-285 scale pressure velocity profiles which vary from unimodal ascent through the column with 286 very top-heavy profiles (e.g., WRF; Figure 1a), to more uniform unimodal profiles (e.g., 287 LaRC-CRM; Figure 1c), to bi-modal profiles (e.g., EC-Earthv1; Figure 1k), to profiles 288 with distinct minima near the freezing level (e.g., UMv7.8; Figure 1j), including some 289 with weak descent near the freezing level (e.g., GISS-SCM; Figure 1h). As seen in Daleu 290 et al. [2015], the DGW method produces large-scale pressure velocity profiles which are 291 smoother than those produced using the WTG method (compare Figures 1 and 2). 292

Over cold SSTs (298 and 299.5 K), some models produce large-scale pressure velocity 293 profiles which are insensitive to the SST. In such simulations, convection is inhibited com-294 pletely and the heating due to the diagnosed large-scale circulation balances the prescribed 295 radiative cooling. Some examples are the WTG simulations of LEMv2.4 with SSTs of 298 296 and 299.5 K which produce zero precipitation rates (see Table 3) and indistinguishable 297 large-scale pressure velocity profiles (see dark blue and light blue curves in Figure 1e). 298 Over warm SSTs, the large-scale pressure velocity profiles and precipitation rates are 299 sensitive to the SST in all the models using either the WTG or DGW method. The 300 sensitivity differs from model to model, and there is much diversity even among CRMs. 301 Using the DGW method, for example, the two 3D CRMs (WRF and MesoNH) with an 302 SST of 302 K produced large-scale pressure velocities and precipitation rates which differ 303

DRAFT

February 1, 2016, 12:53pm

DRAFT

³⁰⁴ by more than a factor of two (compare the red curves in Figures 2(a) and 2(b), and the ³⁰⁵ values of P/P_{Ref} in Table 3). However, all CRMs with an SST \geq 301 K have large-scale ³⁰⁶ pressure velocities increasing upward to around 400 hPa using the DGW method and to ³⁰⁷ around 250 hPa using the WTG method.

The large-scale pressure velocity profiles produced in most SCM simulations vary con-308 siderably from the very top-heavy profiles (e.g., GISS-SCM using the DGW method, see 309 Figure 2h) through weakly top-heavy profiles (e.g., LMDzB using the WTG method, see 310 Figure 1g) to the bottom-heavy profiles (e.g., EC-Earthv1 using the WTG method; see 311 Figure 1k), and some of the pressure velocity profiles show very detailed structures in the 312 vertical (e.g., UMv7.8 using the WTG method; see Figure 1j). Similar to the results of 313 Wang et al. [2013], the pressure velocity profiles produced using the DGW method are 314 much smoother and tend to be slightly less top-heavy compared to those produced using 315 the WTG method (compare Figures 1 and 2). 316

317 4.1.2. Variations with SST

The impact of the SST is readily seen. At SST = 298 K, all the models using either the WTG or DGW method produce uniform large-scale descent (see the dark blue curves in Figures 1 and 2). In some of these simulations, the large-scale circulation inhibits precipitating convection completely (e.g., NMTCMv3 using the DGW method; see Table 3), while in others an equilibrium state with light precipitation can be achieved (e.g., LMDzB using the WTG method; see Table 4).

At SST= 299.5 K, all CRMs using either the WTG or DGW method produced uniform large-scale descent. With the exception of GISS-SCM using the WTG method, which produces large-scale ascent in the upper troposphere (light blue curve in Figure 1h), the

DRAFT

X - 18 DALEU ET AL.: CONVECTION AND PARAMETERIZED LARGE-SCALE DYNAMICS

SCMs produce either a uniform large-scale descent throughout the column (e.g., ARPv6 327 using the WTG method; light blue curve in Figure 1i) or large-scale descent in the upper 328 troposphere and a very weak circulation in the lower troposphere (e.g., EC-Earthv3 using 329 the WTG method; light blue curve in Figure 11). The WTG and DGW simulations which 330 produce uniform large-scale descent result in very low precipitation compared to the value 331 of the RCE reference state, consistent with the negative moisture transport implied by 332 the resulting large-scale circulation (e.g., MesoNH using the WTG method; see Table 3), 333 with some simulations producing zero precipitation at equilibrium (e.g., WRF using the 334 WTG method; see Table 3). The WTG and DGW simulations which produce large-scale 335 descent in the upper troposphere and a very weak circulation in the lower troposphere are 336 dominated by shallow convection and thus, result in smaller reductions in precipitation 337 compared to the value of the RCE reference state (e.g., EC-Earthv3 using the WTG 338 method; see Table 4). However, in the WTG simulation of GISS-SCM with an SST of 339 299.5 K the mean precipitation rate at equilibrium is slightly increased (with respect to 340 the value of the RCE reference state) to balance the net small cooling produced by the 341 large-scale ascent in the upper troposphere. In contrast, the DGW simulation of GISS-342 SCM with an SST of 299.5 K produces a different sign of the circulation with a reduction 343 of precipitation (see Table 4). 344

The results of the WTG and DGW simulations over uniform SST are presented in *Daleu et al.* [2015]. There, we considered that a WTG or DGW simulation over a uniform SST replicated the corresponding RCE reference state to a good approximation if 0.9 < $P/P_{Ref} < 1.1$ and $-0.4 \times 10^{-2} < \Omega < 0.4 \times 10^{-2}$ Pa s⁻¹. The values of Ω and P/P_{Ref} for such simulations are both bold-faced in Tables 3 and 4. Some models replicate the

DRAFT

³⁵⁰ corresponding RCE reference state to a good approximation. In contrast, other models ³⁵¹ sustain a large-scale ascent (or descent) which results in substantially higher (or lower) ³⁵² precipitation rate in the simulated column compared to the value of the corresponding ³⁵³ RCE reference state.

Those models which produce a lower precipitation rate over a uniform SST of 300 will 354 not produce a mean precipitation rate which is equivalent to the value of the RCE reference 355 state unless the SST in the simulated column is increased, consistent with the results of 356 Raymond and Zeng [2005]. An example is UMv7.8 using the WTG method (see P/P_{Ref} 357 as a function of the SST; green curve in Figure 3b). Similarly, models which produce a 358 higher precipitation rate will not produce a mean precipitation rate which is equivalent to 359 the value of the RCE reference state unless the SST in the simulated column is decreased 360 (e.g., ARPv6 using the WTG method; solid black curve in Figure 3b). 361

An SST of 300.5 K results in substantially higher precipitation rate $(P/P_{Ref} > 1.1)$ 362 in all the WTG and DGW simulations, except EC-Earthv1. A large proportion of these 363 simulations produce uniform large-scale ascent (e.g., GISS-SCM using the DGW method, 364 dark green curve in Figure 2h). Other simulations produce large-scale circulations with 365 a layer of descent near the freezing layer, but which nonetheless result in net column-366 integrated cooling and moistening of the simulated column (e.g., ARPv6 using the WTG 367 method, dark green curve in Figure 1i and $P/P_{Ref} > 1.1$ in Table 4). In contrast, the 368 WTG and DGW simulations of EC-Earthv1 with an SST of 300.5 K produce large-scale 369 circulations with ascent in the upper troposphere and descent in the lower troposphere 370 (dark green curves in Figures 1k and 2k), despite producing ascent in the lower troposphere 371 over a uniform SST of 300 K (black curves in Figures 1k and 2k). In this model using 372

DRAFT

X - 20 DALEU ET AL.: CONVECTION AND PARAMETERIZED LARGE-SCALE DYNAMICS

the DGW method, the large-scale circulation cools and moistens the upper troposphere 373 at the same rates as it warms and dries the the lower troposphere. As a result, the 374 column-integrated heating and moistening rates produced by the large-scale circulation 375 are both negligible and thus, the simulated column achieves an equilibrium precipitation 376 rate which is very close to the corresponding RCE reference state (see value of P/P_{Ref} 377 in Table 4). In contrast, using the WTG method the upper tropospheric cooling and 378 moistening do not prevent a reduction in precipitation rate due to the lower tropospheric 379 warming and drying (see Table 4). A similar result is obtained in the WTG simulation 380 of EC-Earthv1 with an SST of 301 K (see the light green curve in Figure 1k and and the 381 value of P/P_{Ref} in Table 4). The WTG and DGW simulations of EC-Earthv1 with an 382 SST of 301 K produce different signs of the integrated circulation. 383

At SSTs > 301 K, the mean precipitation rate is increased compared to the value of the corresponding RCE reference state in all the models using either the WTG or DGW method. These simulations produce uniform large-scale ascent in the simulated column, with the exceptions of the WTG simulations of ARPv6 and GISS-SCM, in which a thin layer of descent between 750 and 650 hPa does not prevent an increase in mean precipitation rate.

For all CRMs using either the WTG or the DGW method the simulated column evolves toward a new quasi-equilibrium state with mean precipitation rate increasing non-linearly with SST, consistent with SCM results from *Sobel and Bretherton* [2000], and *Ramsay and Sobel* [2011]. In contrast, the SCMs show sensitivities of the mean precipitation rate to the SST which are not always monotonic (e.g., EC-Earthv1 using either the WTG or DGW method; solid red curves in Figures 3b and 3d).

DRAFT

³⁹⁶ Within an individual model, the sensitivity of precipitation rate to the SST depends ³⁹⁷ on which large-scale parameterization method is used. An example is WRF which shows ³⁹⁸ a stronger sensitivity under the DGW method than under the WTG method (compared ³⁹⁹ the dashed curves in Figures 3a and 3c). On the other hand, given one of the large-⁴⁰⁰ scale parameterization methods (either the WTG or DGW method), the sensitivity of ⁴⁰¹ precipitation rate to the SST differs from model to model.

An approximately linear relationship between Ω and the mean precipitation rate is 402 expected, since the mean vertical motion and mean vertical moisture advection are cor-403 related. In our study, despite the differences in the pressure velocity profiles, Ω and the 404 mean precipitation rate show a fairly linear relationship (see Figure 4) and only models 405 with unusual vertical pressure velocity profiles shows deviations from this linear relation-406 ship (e.g., GISS-SCM using the WTG method; see Figure 1h and circles in Figure 4b). 407 Most of the models meet the expectation that the large-scale circulation and precipitation 408 rate should increase with SST. Models which show a monotonic increase of precipitation 409 with SST also show a monotonic increase of precipitation with Ω (WRF using the WTG 410 method; dashed curve with solid circles in Figure 3a and solid circles in Figure 4a). In con-411 trast, models which show a non monotonic increase of precipitation with SST also show a 412 non monotonic increase of precipitation with Ω (e.g., GISS-SCM using the WTG method 413 at warm SSTs; dashed black curve with circles in Figure 3b and circles in Figure 4b). 414

4.2. Precipitation and Column relative humidity

In this section, we examine the relationship between precipitation and the column relative humidity (hereafter CRH) in our WTG and DGW simulations. CRH is calculated as the ratio of column-integrated water vapor to its saturation value. Figure 5 shows scatter

DRAFT

⁴¹⁸ plots of P versus CRH. It also shows the exponential fit for the observed monthly mean ⁴¹⁹ precipitation over the tropical oceans obtained by *Bretherton et al.* [2004](solid curve). ⁴²⁰ That is

$$P(mmd^{-1}) = exp[11.4(CRH - 0.522)].$$
(6)

To account for the variations in CRH of the RCE reference state, we also consider Figure 6, 422 which shows scatter plots of the ratios P/P_{Ref} versus CRH/CRH_{Ref} , where CRH_{Ref} is 423 the column-integrated relative humidity of the RCE reference state. The values of P and 424 CRH are those obtained at equilibrium in the WTG and DGW simulations of each of the 425 models listed in Tables 1 and 2 with the values of SST ranging between 298 and 302 K. 426 Generally, the mean precipitation rate increases as CRH increases, except in the DGW 427 simulations of LMDzA with SSTs ≤ 300.5 K in which CRH decreases while precipitation 428 rate increases (see left facing triangles in Figure 5d). The decrease of CRH with mean 429 precipitation rate is unusual, but we do not investigate this further in this study. 430

In a large proportion of the models, there is a threshold value of CRH below which 431 there is virtually no precipitation or strongly reduced precipitation rate (with respect to 432 the value of the RCE reference state) and above which precipitation rate rapidly increases 433 with CRH. Below this threshold, the WTG and DGW simulations show changes in 434 mean precipitation rate that are relatively small for large changes in CRH. Above this 435 threshold, a significant increase in precipitation rate is obtained, followed by a sharp 436 pickup of mean precipitation rate as CRH increases further. The value of this threshold 437 varies from one model to another and it also depends on the large-scale parameterization 438 method used. 439

These relationships between CRH and mean precipitation rate are qualitatively similar 440 to that seen in observations over the tropical ocean regions [Bretherton et al., 2004] (see 441 solid curves in Figure 5), and in other idealized models [e.g., Raymond and Zeng, 2005; 442 Wang and Sobel, 2011, but there are significant quantitative differences. For instance, 443 CRMs using either the WTG or DGW method produce similar relationship between P444 and CRH. However, all CRMs using either the WTG or DGW method have a higher 445 threshold than observations and their mean precipitation rates rise more abruptly with 446 CRH than in observations (see Figures 5a and 5c). In contrast, SCMs show a much 447 larger variety of relationships (see Figures 5b and 5d). Moreover, the transition from 448 near zero precipitation to rapid increase in precipitation with CRH is sharper in some 449 models compared to others (e.g., compare P versus CRH in the WTG simulations of 450 UMv7.8 and LMDzB; stars and right facing triangles in Figure 5b, respectively). When 451 P and CRH are scaled by their reference values (see Figure 6), the CRMs produce a 452 relatively tight relationship. The spread among SCMs is also clearly reduced, although 453 considerable scatter remains. In general, the threshold occurs at around CRH_{Ref} and 454 beyond that, P increases much more rapidly with CRH in CRMs than in SCMs. 455

4.3. Budget analysis

As in *Daleu et al.* [2015], we analyze the budgets in order to clarify the differences among RCE, WTG, and DGW simulations. For a simulation with parameterized largescale circulation, the heat and moisture budgets are written as

$$H + P + R + H_{LS} = 0 \quad and \quad E - P + M_{LS} = 0, \tag{7}$$

DRAFT

459

X - 24 DALEU ET AL.: CONVECTION AND PARAMETERIZED LARGE-SCALE DYNAMICS

respectively. E, H, P and R denote the domain and time-averaged values of surface evaporation, surface sensible heat flux, precipitation rate and vertically integrated radiative cooling rate respectively. The heating rate and moistening rate due to the diagnosed large-scale circulation $(H_{LS} = C_p \langle \partial \overline{T} / \partial t \rangle_{LS}$ and $M_{LS} = L_v \langle \partial \overline{q} / \partial t \rangle_{LS}$, respectively) are zero by definition for the RCE simulations. C_p is the heat capacity at constant pressure and L_v is the latent heat of vaporization.

From the moisture budget equation, the changes in mean precipitation rate with respect to the value of the RCE reference state, ΔP , must be due to changes in surface evaporation with respect to the value of the RCE reference state, ΔE , and/or the moistening rate due to the large-scale circulation M_{LS} . Figures 7 and 8 show scatter plots of ΔP versus M_{LS} and scatter plots of ΔP versus ΔE , respectively.

Both CRMs and SCMs show fairly linear relationships between ΔP and M_{LS} . However, 471 the slope is not one-to-one (dotted oblique line in Figure 7), which implies changes in 472 surface evaporation as shown in Figure 8. ΔE increases with ΔP in a large proportion 473 of the WTG and DGW simulations, and there are only a few simulations which show 474 an enhancement of convective activity associated with a reduction in surface evaporation 475 (e.g., WTG simulation of LaRC-CRM an SST of 300.5 K, dark green solid diamond in 476 Figure 8a) or which show a suppression in convective activity associated with an increase 477 in surface evaporation (e.g., the WTG simulation of EC-Earthv1 with SST of 300.5 K, 478 dark green diamond in Figure 8b). 479

The sensitivity of surface fluxes (sum of sensible heat and latent heat fluxes) to changes in near-surface perturbation winds due to changes in convective activity has been somewhat constrained in this study by imposing a mean horizontal wind speed in the surface

DRAFT

flux calculations. As a result, ΔE is generally much smaller than ΔP , such that changes in precipitation are largely balanced by the large-scale moistening rates. This is readily seen in Figures 7 and 8. For a large proportion of the simulations, the values of M_{LS} are about or more than two third the values of ΔP .

⁴⁸⁷ We now examine the relationship between ΔP and the normalized gross moist stability ⁴⁸⁸ (NGMS), Γ . Γ is defined as the dimensionless number which relates the net lateral outflow ⁴⁸⁹ of moist static energy from a convective region to a measure of the strength of convection ⁴⁹⁰ in that region [*Raymond et al.*, 2009]. That is

$$\Gamma = -\langle \overline{\omega} \partial \overline{h} / \partial p \rangle / L_v \langle \overline{\omega} \partial \overline{q_v} / \partial p \rangle, \tag{8}$$

⁴⁹² where h is the moist static energy. Following *Daleu et al.* [2015],

493
$$\Gamma = -(M_{LS} + H_{LS})/M_{LS},$$
 (9)

⁴⁹⁴ and a diagnostic equation for ΔP is

491

495

$$\Delta P = \frac{\Gamma + 1}{\Gamma} \Delta E + \frac{\Delta H + \Delta R}{\Gamma},\tag{10}$$

where ΔH and ΔR are respectively the changes in surface sensible heat flux and columnintegrated radiative cooling rates with respect to the values of the RCE reference state. The reader is referred to *Daleu et al.* [2015] for a derivation of equation 10.

⁴⁹⁹ As discussed above, ΔH is much smaller than ΔP . ΔR is also much smaller than ΔP as ⁵⁰⁰ a result of imposing a fixed radiative cooling profile throughout most of the troposphere. ⁵⁰¹ Also, most of these simulations show that the sum of ΔH and ΔR is much smaller than ⁵⁰² ΔE , such that the factor $(\Gamma + 1)/\Gamma$ largely describes the strength of the relationship ⁵⁰³ between ΔP and ΔE (see equation 10).

DRAFT February 1, 2016, 12:53pm DRAFT

X - 26 DALEU ET AL.: CONVECTION AND PARAMETERIZED LARGE-SCALE DYNAMICS

For the WTG and DGW simulations which reproduce the RCE reference state to a 504 good approximation, Γ is a poor diagnostic since $M_{LS} + H_{LS}$ and M_{LS} are both close to 505 zero, consistent with a weak large-scale circulation. Moreover, Γ measures the efficiency 506 of convection in removing moisture static energy from the column and thus, is not a 507 particularly useful diagnostic when convection is strongly suppressed. Therefore, the 508 values of Γ for the WTG and DGW simulations which result in significant large-scale 509 descent are not relevant, and we consider Figure 9, which shows Γ as a function of SST 510 for the WTG and DGW simulations which result in significant large-scale ascent only. 511 These are simulations which produce $P/P_{Ref} > 1.1$ with $\Omega > 0.4 \times 10^{-2}$ Pa s⁻¹. 512

Most CRM simulations which result in significant large-scale ascent have positive values 513 of Γ and the WTG and DGW simulations of LaRC-CRM with an SST of 300.5 K are 514 the only CRM simulations which have negative values of Γ (black diamonds in Figures 9a) 515 and 9c). Among SCMs, simulations with warm SSTs which produce significant large-scale 516 ascent have positive values of Γ . Negative Γ in some SCMs are obtained in the simulations 517 which result in either large-scale ascent over a cold SST (e.g., the WTG of GISS-SCM 518 with an SST of 299.5 K; Table 4 and black circles in Figure 9b) or large-scale ascent 519 over a uniform SST (e.g., the WTG and DGW simulations of EC-Earthv1 and the DGW 520 simulation of ARPv6 over a uniform SST of 300 K; Table 4 and red diamonds in Figures 9b 521 and 9d, and black down facing triangles in Figures 9d). In the simulations which result 522 in significant large-scale ascent and have negative values of Γ , M_{LS} values are positive. 523 Therefore, negative values of Γ are the result of a deficit of cooling over moistening rates. 524 That implies a reduction in evaporation despite an increase in precipitation rate in those 525 simulations (e.g., dark green diamond in Figures 8a and c). With the exception of the 526

DRAFT

⁵²⁷ negative values of Γ , Γ generally ranges between 0 and 1, with only few SCM simulations ⁵²⁸ having $\Gamma > 1$ (e.g., LMDzA using the DGW with an SST of 300.5 K; blue left facing ⁵²⁹ triangles in Figure 9d).

⁵³⁰ CRMs (except LaRC-CRM) and three SCMs (EC-Earthv3, UMv7.8 and LMDzB) using ⁵³¹ either the WTG or DGW method have Γ which is relatively insensitive to the SST. In ⁵³² those models, ΔE , and hence M_{LS} scale approximately linearly with ΔP . In the other ⁵³³ four SCMs and LaRC-CRM, Γ show large sensitivity including non-monotonic behaviour, ⁵³⁴ and there are substantial differences in the relationship between Γ and SST depending on ⁵³⁵ which large-scale parameterization is used (e.g., compare Γ versus SST for the WTG and ⁵³⁶ DGW simulations of ARPv6; down facing triangles in Figures 9b and 9d).

In this study, there is no straightforward relation between Γ and the top-heaviness of 537 $\overline{\omega}$ calculated as the mass-weighted vertical integral of the pressure velocity over the layer 538 at 500 - 100 hPa (see definition in Section 4.1). In addition, Γ does not explain the 539 difference between different models sensitivity to SST. Despite the fact that studies of 540 this nature allow convection to interact with the large-scale dynamics, there are many 541 differences between these feedbacks compared to full General Circulation Models (GCMs) 542 and the real tropical circulations. For instance, evaporation is not tied to the large-scale 543 circulation, the moisture convergence is directly tied to the dynamical convergence without 544 any contribution from the rotational part of the flow, and radiation is non interactive. 545 Therefore, the NGMS in these studies may have very different characteristics compared 546 to that of full GCMs and the real tropical circulations. 547

⁵⁴⁸ On the other hand, Wang and Sobel [2011] idealize horizontal moisture convergence and ⁵⁴⁹ radiation in the same way as in our study and found that Γ is a predictor of ΔP in the

DRAFT

X - 28 DALEU ET AL.: CONVECTION AND PARAMETERIZED LARGE-SCALE DYNAMICS

precipitating regime. In this study, only two models exhibit positive values of Γ which 550 are a monotonically decreasing function of SST or P as in Wang and Sobel [2011]. These 551 models are WRF and LEMv2.4 using either the WTG or DGW method (circles and down 552 facing triangles in Figures 9a and 9c). In contrast to the result of Wang and Sobel [2011], 553 some models exhibit positive values of Γ which are a monotonically increasing function of 554 SST or P (e.g., ARPv6 using the WTG method with warm SSTs, down facing triangles 555 in Figure 9b) while other models exhibit positive or negative values of Γ which are not 556 directly related to SST or P (e.g., LaRC-CRM using the DGW method with warm SSTs, 557 diamonds in Figure 9c). In the latter case, Γ and ΔE are both important to predict ΔP 558 (see equation 10). 559

5. Conclusions

In this international intercomparison project, we used the WTG and DGW methods to 560 study the two-way interaction between convection and large-scale circulations in various 561 CRMs and SCMs. Using the WTG method we derived the large-scale circulation that 562 reduces the virtual potential temperature anomalies over a given time-scale [Raymond 563 and Zeng, 2005; Sobel et al., 2007; Sessions et al., 2010; Daleu et al., 2012], and using 564 the DGW we simplified the large-scale circulation to a linear gravity wave of a single 565 horizontal wave number [Kuang, 2008, 2011; Romps, 2012a, b]. In both cases, the derived 566 large-scale circulation couples a model to a reference state defined with profiles generated 567 from previous RCE simulations of the same model. In *Daleu et al.* [2015], we analysed 568 WTG and DGW simulations over a uniform SST. In this paper, we kept the reference 569 state fixed and conducted WTG and DGW simulations with different values of SST in 570 the simulated column. 571

DRAFT

February 1, 2016, 12:53pm

DRAFT

The WTG and DGW simulations with a cold (or a warm) SST result in lower (or higher) precipitation rates (compared to the value of the RCE reference state) in all CRMs and in a large proportion of the SCMs. In a few SCMs, a WTG simulation over a warm SST and a corresponding DGW simulation produce different signs of the circulation. In those SCMs, different signs of the circulation occur because the WTG simulation produces large-scale ascent over a cold SST or large-scale descent over a warm SST.

In general, the behavior across models for a given large-scale parameterization method is different, and the behavior of an individual model also depends on which large-scale parametrization is used. However, DGW simulations do produce large-scale pressure velocity profiles which are smoother than those produced by WTG simulations, and consistent with the results of *Wang et al.* [2013], DGW simulations generally produce large-scale pressure velocity profiles which are less top-heavy compared to those produced by WTG simulations.

All CRMs and five out of the seven SCMs show a monotonic increase of mean precip-585 itation rate with SST using either the WTG or DGW method. A similar relationship 586 between precipitation rate and SST was produced in Sobel and Bretherton [2000] and 587 Ramsay and Sobel [2011]. The other two SCMs show sensitivity of the mean precipitation 588 rate with SST which is not always monotonic. CRMs show a fairly linear relationship 589 between mean precipitation rate and the amplitude of the diagnosed vertically-integrated 590 large-scale circulation, while a few SCMs show deviations from this linear relationship, 591 particularly for simulations with warm SST. 592

Precipitation is an increasing function of the column relative humidity, with the former
 increasing rapidly as the latter passes a threshold. A similar relationship is found in other

DRAFT

X - 30 DALEU ET AL.: CONVECTION AND PARAMETERIZED LARGE-SCALE DYNAMICS

numerical modeling studies [Wang and Sobel, 2011; Raymond and Zeng, 2005], and is 595 consistent with observations [Bretherton et al., 2004; Holloway and Neelin, 2009]. All 596 CRMs using either the WTG or DGW method show a similar relationship between mean 597 precipitation rate and column-relative humidity. They are all moister and the resulting 598 mean precipitation rate increases more abruptly with column relative humidity than in 599 observations. SCMs show a much wider range of relationships between precipitation rate 600 and column-relative humidity, although this spread is reduced when values are normalized 601 by their RCE values. 602

In our WTG and DGW simulations, the change in precipitation with respect to the 603 value of the RCE reference column is largely balanced by the moistening rate due to the 604 large-scale circulation. We calculated the NGMS for simulations with significant large-605 scale ascent at equilibrium. A large proportion of those simulations exhibited positive 606 values of NGMS, ranging between 0 and 1, and only a few simulations exhibit negative 607 values of NGMS or values of NGMS that approach 1.5. Those which exhibit negative 608 values of NGMS have a deficit of cooling over moistening rates, which implies a reduction 609 in evaporation despite an increase in precipitation rate. Most CRMs and three SCMs 610 using either the WTG or DGW method show small sensitivity of the NGMS with the 611 SST. In the other CRM and the other four SCMs the relationship between NGMS and 612 SST varies considerably and depends on the large-scale parameterization method used. 613 In this study Γ is not related to the shape of the large-scale pressure velocity profile 614 and does not explain the difference between different model's sensitivity to SST. That 615 is, in comparison to real tropical circulations, the NGMS in this configuration may not 616

DRAFT

⁶¹⁷ be a very important diagnostic due to the way in which evaporation, horizontal moisture ⁶¹⁸ convergence and radiation are idealized.

In this intercomparison project convection feeds back on the large-scale forcing, the 619 moisture source is induced by the derived large-scale motion, and the precipitation rate 620 produced is the result of both the model physics and parametrized large-scale dynamical 621 feedback. Therefore, this study can be viewed as an extension of traditional intercom-622 parisons with prescribed large-scale forcing (e.g., TOGA COARE and DYNAMO) and 623 intercomparisons in which moisture source is defined as a relaxation to a prescribed pro-624 file [Derbyshire et al., 2004]. The results from this intercomparison project are important 625 for understanding the two-way interaction between convection and large-scale tropical 626 dynamics and also for interpreting discrepancies between the results reported in the lit-627 erature. Our results suggested that the discrepancies between the published results can 628 be related to the choice of the large-scale parameterization method. For instance, we 629 found that an individual model can produce different equilibrium states depending on the 630 large-scale parameterization method used. 631

Moreover, we found that even with exactly the same implementation of the WTG 632 or DGW method, different SCM and even CRM models produce different sensitivities 633 of the equilibrium state to SST. CRMs that participated in this study differ in their 634 representation of subgrid scale processes that are important for the evolution of convection 635 and its interaction with large-scale circulation (e.g., cloud microphysics). The differences 636 in CRMs lead to some diversity of behavior in RCE simulations [Daleu et al., 2015], 637 and the diversity of behavior can be amplified when the physics is allowed to interact 638 with the large-scale dynamics. However, despite the diversity obtained among CRMs, our 639

DRAFT

X - 32 DALEU ET AL.: CONVECTION AND PARAMETERIZED LARGE-SCALE DYNAMICS

study demonstrates much larger inter-model variability among SCMs. That is, despite the significant differences in CRMs (e.g., resolution, domain size, microphysics and etc), the behaviour of these simulations using models with explicit convection are more constrained than those with parameterized convection.

This study has evaluated CRM and SCM sensitivities to parameterized large-scale 644 dynamical feedback with fixed radiation and a non interactive surface. Further study 645 may compare models and large-scale parameterization methods with interactive radiation 646 and/or an interactive surface. Since our study indicates that there is a greater consistency 647 in the behavior of CRMs under parameterized large-scale circulation while SCMs produce 648 a much larger variation of behaviors, comparison between CRM and SCM behavior under 649 parameterized large-scale circulation may be a useful tool when developing and testing 650 parameterization schemes. Therefore, further analysis may be to assess the impact of 651 changes in parameterization within a particular SCM. 652

Acknowledgments. C.L.D., R.S.P., and S.J.W. thank the UK Met Office for the 653 availability of the LEM at version 2.4 and SCM version 7.8 of the UK Met Office Unified 654 Model. C.L.D. was supported by NERC, grant NE/K004034/1. S.J.W. was supported 655 by the NCAS, a NERC collaborative center. The New Mexico Tech group thanks Carlos 656 Lopez Carrillo, Ana Juračić, and Stipo Sentić for model output analysis and for con-657 tinual discussions on the tropical atmosphere. We also thank Raúl Morales-Juberías for 658 use of the Pelican beowulf cluster. S.L.S. acknowledges support from U.S. National Sci-659 ence Foundation Grants AGS-1056254 and AGS-1342001, and NM EPSCoR. M.J.H. was 660 supported by U. S. National Science Foundation Grant 1342001. A.H.S. and S.W. were 661 partially supported by NSF grant AGS-1062206. The WRF simulations were carried out 662

DRAFT

on the Yeti Shared HPC Cluster at Columbia University. G.B. thanks Isabelle Beau, An-663 toinette Alias, David Saint-Martin, Jean-Yves Grandpeix, Marie-Pierre Lefebvre and the 664 CNRS/INSU-LEFE project DEPHY and the European Union FP7 project EMBRACE. 665 D.K. appreciates the NASA/GISS modeling group, especially Maxwell Kelley, Mao-Sung 666 Yao, and Anthony Del Genio, for their invaluable and unlimited supports. D.K. and 667 A.H.S. were supported by the NASA grant NNX13AM18G and the Korea Meteorologi-668 cal Administration Research and Development Program under Grant CATER 2013-3142. 669 LaRC CRM-IPHOC was partially supported by DOE Atmospheric System Research Pro-670 gram under Interagency agreements DE-SC0005450 and DE-SC0008779. The computa-671 tion resources were provided by SSAI Icluster and LaRC Kcluster. P.P., A.P.S. and L.U. 672 were supported by the European Commissions Seventh Framework Programme, under 673 Grant Agreement number 282672, EMBRACE project. Data used for this research are 674 available upon request from the corresponding author; please send requests via email to 675 c.daleu@reading.ac.uk. 676

References

- ⁶⁷⁷ Bretherton, C., P. Blossey, and M. Khairoutdinov (2005), An energy-balance analysis of ⁶⁷⁸ deep convective self-aggregation above uniform SST, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, *62*, 4273–4292.
- ⁶⁷⁹ Bretherton, C. S., M. E. Peters, and L. E. Back (2004), Relationships between water ⁶⁸⁰ vapor path and precipitation over the tropical ocean, *J. Clim.*, *17*, 1517–1528.
- ⁶⁸¹ Cheng, A., and K.-M. Xu (2006), Simulation of shallow cumuli and their transition to
 ⁶⁸² deep convective clouds by cloud-resolving models with different third-order turbulence
 ⁶⁸³ closures, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 132, 359–382.

DRAFT

X - 34 DALEU ET AL.: CONVECTION AND PARAMETERIZED LARGE-SCALE DYNAMICS

- Daleu, C., S. Woolnough, and R. Plant (2012), Cloud-resolving model simulations with
 one and two-way couplings via the weak-temperature gradient approximation, J. Atmos.
 Sci., 69, 3683–3699.
- Daleu, C., S. Woolnough, and R. Plant (2014), Transition from suppressed to active
 convection modulated by a weak-temperature gradient derived large-scale circulation,
 J. Atmos. Sci., 72, 834–853.
- ⁶⁹⁰ Daleu, C., et al. (2015), Intercomparison of methods of coupling between convection and
 ⁶⁹¹ large-scale circulation: I. comparison over uniform surface conditions, J. Adv. Model.
 ⁶⁹² Earth. Syst.
- Davies, T., M. Cullen, A. Malcolm, M. Mawson, A. Staniforth, A. White, and N. Wood
 (2005), A new dynamical core for the Met Office's global and regional modelling of the
 atmosphere, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 131, 1759–1782.
- Derbyshire, S., I. Beau, P. Bechtold, J. Grandpeix, J. Piriou, J. Redelsperger, and
 P. Soares (2004), Sensitivity of moist convection to environmental humidity, Q. J. R.
 Meteorol. Soc., 130, 3055–3079.
- ⁶⁹⁹ Dufresne, J.-L., et al. (2013), Climate change projections using the IPSL-CM5 earth ⁷⁰⁰ system model: From CMIP3 to CMIP5, *Clim. Dyn.*, 40, 2123–2165.
- ⁷⁰¹ Edman, J. P., and D. M. Romps (2015), Self-consistency tests of large-scale dynamics
- parameterizations for single-column modeling, J. Adv. Model. Earth. Syst., 7, 320–334.
- Hazeleger, W., et al. (2010), EC-Earth: A seamless earth-system prediction approach in
 action, Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 91, 1357–1363.
- Herman, M. J., and D. J. Raymond (2014), WTG cloud modeling with spectral decomposition of heating, J. Adv. Model. Earth. Syst., 6, 1121–1140.

DRAFT

- ⁷⁰⁷ Holloway, C., S. Woolnough, and G. Lister (2012), Precipitation distributions for explicit
- ⁷⁰⁸ versus parametrized convection in a large-domain high-resolution tropical case study,
- ⁷⁰⁹ *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, *138*, 1692–1708.
- Holloway, C. E., and J. D. Neelin (2009), Moisture vertical structure, column water vapor,
 and tropical deep convection, J. Atmos. Sci., 66, 1665–1683.
- Holloway, C. E., and J. D. Neelin (2010), Temporal relations of column water vapor and
 tropical precipitation, J. Atmos. Sci., 67, 1091–1105.
- Kuang, Z. (2008), Modeling the interaction between cumulus convection and linear gravity waves using a limited-domain cloud system-resolving model, J. Atmos. Sci., 65,
 576–591.
- Kuang, Z. (2011), The wavelength dependence of the gross moist stability and the scale
 selection in the instability of column-integrated moist static energy, J. Atmos. Sci., 68,
 61–74.
- Lafore, J. P., et al. (1997), The Meso-NH atmospheric simulation system. Part I: Adiabatic formulation and control simulations, in *Ann. Geophys*, vol. 16, pp. 90–109,
 Springer.
- Mapes, B. (1997), Equilibrium vs. activation control of large-scale variations of tropical
 deep convection, *The physics and parameterization of moist atmospheric convection*, pp.
 321–358.
- Masunaga, H. (2012), A satellite study of the atmospheric forcing and response to moist
 convection over tropical and subtropical oceans, J. Atmos. Sci., 69, 150–167.
- Miura, H., H. Tomita, T. Nasuno, S.-i. Iga, M. Satoh, and T. Matsuno (2005), A climate
 sensitivity test using a global cloud resolving model under an aqua planet condition,

DRAFT

X - 36 DALEU ET AL.: CONVECTION AND PARAMETERIZED LARGE-SCALE DYNAMICS

⁷³⁰ Geophys. Res. Lett., 32.

- Pauluis, O., and S. Garner (2006), Sensitivity of radiative-convective equilibrium simulations to horizontal resolution, J. Atmos. Sci., 63, 1910–1923.
- Petch, J., and M. Gray (2001), Sensitivity studies using a cloud-resolving model simulation of the tropical west Pacific, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 127, 2287–2306.
- Petch, J., A. Brown, and M. Gray (2006), The impact of horizontal resolution on the
 simulations of convective development over land, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 132, 2031–
 2044.
- Ramsay, H., and A. Sobel (2011), Effects of relative and absolute sea surface temperature
 on tropical cyclone potential intensity using a single-column model, J. Clim., 24, 183–
 193.
- Raymond, D., and X. Zeng (2005), Modelling tropical atmospheric convection in the
 context of the weak temperature gradient approximation, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 131,
 1301–1320.
- Raymond, D. J., S. L. Sessions, A. H. Sobel, and Z. Fuchs (2009), The mechanics of gross
 moist stability, J. Adv. Model. Earth. Syst., 1.
- Romps, D. (2012a), Weak pressure gradient approximation and its analytical solutions,
 J. Atmos. Sci., 69, 2835–2845.
- Romps, D. M. (2012b), Numerical tests of the weak pressure gradient approximation, J.
 Atmos. Sci., 69, 2846–2856.
- ⁷⁵⁰ Schmidt, G. A., et al. (2014), Configuration and assessment of the GISS modele2 contri-
- ⁷⁵¹ butions to the CMIP5 archive, J. Adv. Model. Earth. Syst., 6, 141–184.

DRAFT

- ⁷⁵² Sessions, S., S. Sugaya, D. Raymond, and A. Sobel (2010), Multiple equilibria in a cloud-
- resolving model using the weak temperature gradient approximation, J. Geophys. Res.,
 115, D12,110.
- ⁷⁵⁵ Sessions, S. L., M. J. Herman, and S. Sentić (2015), Convective response to changes in
- the thermodynamic environment in idealized weak temperature gradient simulations, J.
 Adv. Model. Earth. Syst.
- ⁷⁵⁸ Shutts, G., and M. Gray (1994), A numerical modelling study of the geostrophic adjust-⁷⁵⁹ ment process following deep convection, *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, *120*, 1145–1178.
- ⁷⁶⁰ Skamarock, W. C., J. B. Klemp, J. Dudhia, D. O. Gill, D. M. Barker, W. Wang, and
- J. G. Powers (2008), A description of the advanced research WRF version 3, *Tech. rep.*,
 DTIC Document.
- Sobel, A., and C. Bretherton (2000), Modeling tropical precipitation in a single column,
 J. Clim., 13, 4378–4392.
- Sobel, A., G. Bellon, and J. Bacmeister (2007), Multiple equilibria in a single-column
 model of the tropical atmosphere, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 34, L22,804.
- Sobel, A. H., S. E. Yuter, C. S. Bretherton, and G. N. Kiladis (2004), Large-scale Meteorology and Deep Convection during TRMM KWAJEX, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 132,
 422–444.
- Tompkins, A. (2000), The impact of dimensionality on long-term cloud-resolving model
 simulations, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 128, 1521–1535.
- T72 Tompkins, A. (2001), Organization of tropical convection in low vertical wind shears:
- ⁷⁷³ The role of water vapor, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, *58*, 529–545.

DRAFT

- X 38 DALEU ET AL.: CONVECTION AND PARAMETERIZED LARGE-SCALE DYNAMICS
- Vincent, D. (), The south Pacific convergence zone (spcz): A review, Mon. Weather Rev.,
 122.
- ⁷⁷⁶ Voldoire, A., et al. (2013), The CNRM-CM5. 1 global climate model: description and
 ⁷⁷⁷ basic evaluation, *Clim. Dyn.*, 40, 2091–2121.
- Wang, S., and A. Sobel (2011), Response of convection to relative sea-surface temperature: Cloud-resolving simulations in two and three dimensions, J. Geophys. Res., 116,
- ⁷⁸⁰ D11,119.
- Wang, S., and A. Sobel (2012), Impact of imposed drying on deep convection in a cloudresolving model, J. Geophys. Res., 117.
- ⁷⁸³ Wang, S., A. H. Sobel, and Z. Kuang (2013), Cloud-resolving simulation of TOGA-
- ⁷⁸⁴ COARE using parameterized large-scale dynamics, J. Geophys. Res., 118, 6290–6301.
- Xu, K.-M., R. T. Cederwall, L. J. Donner, W. W. Grabowski, F. Guichard, et al. (2002),
- An intercomparison of cloud-resolving models with the Atmospheric Radiation Mea-
- ⁷⁸⁷ surement summer 1997 intensive observation period data, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 128,
- ⁷⁸⁸ 593–624.

Model type	Cloud-Resolving Models (CRMs)							
Modelling group	Columbia University	CNRM-GAME NASA		New Mexico Tech	UK Met Office			
Model ID	WRF	MesoNH	LaRC-CRM	NMTCMv3	LEMv2.4			
Symbol	•	•			•			
Dimension	3D	3D	2D	2D	2D			
Hor. size (km)	190×190	150×150	256	200	128			
Hor. res (km)	2×2	3×3	4	1	0.5			
$P_{Ref} \ (\mathrm{mm} \ \mathrm{d}^{-1})$	4.71	4.63	4.60	4.35	4.82			

Table 1. List of cloud-resolving models (CRMs) that participated in this study. The symbols serve as a legend for results presented in Section 4. P_{Ref} is the mean precipitation rate obtained in the radiative-convective equilibrium simulation of each CRM with an SST of 300 K.

X - 40

Model type	Single-Column Models (SCMs)							
Modelling	LMD/IPSL		NASA	CNRM-GAME	UK Met	Koninklikj Nederlands		
group					Office	Meteorologi	sch Insituut	
Model ID	LMDzA	LMDzB	GISS-SCM	ARPEGEv6	UMv7.8	EC-Earthv1	EC-Earthv3	
				(ARPv6)				
Symbol	4	⊳	0	∇	*	\$		
$P_{Ref} \ (\mathrm{mm} \ \mathrm{d}^{-1})$	4.38	4.39	4.58	3.71	4.76	4.53	4.15	

Table 2. List of single-column models (SCMs) that participated in this study. The symbols serve as a legend for results presented in Section 4. P_{Ref} is the mean precipitation rate obtained in the radiative-convective equilibrium simulation of each SCM with an SST of 300 K.



Figure 1. Large-scale pressure velocities obtained at equilibrium in the WTG simulations with an SST of 298 K (dark blue), 299.5 K (light blue), 300 K (black), 300.5 K (dark green), 301 K (light green), 301.5 K (orange), 302 K (red). Results are shown for the (a, b, c, d and e) CRMs and (f, g, h, i, j, k and l) SCMs. For each model, the reference profiles are their own RCE profiles at 300 K.

DRAFT

February 1, 2016, 12:53pm

DRAFT



Figure 2. As in Figure 1, but for the equilibrium in the DGW simulations.

DRAFT

February 1, 2016, 12:53pm

DRAFT

Model-CRMs	WTG or	P/P_{Ref}	SST=	SST=	SST=	SST=	SST=	SST=	SST=
	DGW	or Ω	298 K	$299.5~{ m K}$	300 K	$300.5 \mathrm{K}$	301 K	$301.5 \mathrm{K}$	302 K
		P/P_{Ref}	0.000	0.000	1.020	1.610	2.370	3.330	4.240
WRF	WTG	Ω	-4.210	-4.420	0.180	2.990	6.670	11.230	15.520
		P/P_{Ref}	0.000	0.420	1.008	1.950	3.350	5.100	6.970
	DGW	Ω	-4.089	-2.575	0.110	4.180	9.485	15.547	22.235
		P/P_{Ref}	0.002	0.529	0.896	1.303	1.714	2.220	2.857
MesoNH	WTG	Ω	-4.079	-1.577	-0.290	1.391	3.176	5.221	7.839
		P/P_{Ref}	0.009	0.015	0.970	1.425	2.073	2.594	3.190
	DGW	Ω	-3.739	-3.461	0.060	1.803	4.145	6.0356	8.113
		P/P_{Ref}	0.006	0.276	1.200	1.233	1.908	2.824	3.322
LaRC-CRM	WTG	Ω	-3.549	-2.621	0.970	0.887	2.994	6.111	7.856
		P/P_{Ref}	0.000	0.084	1.102	1.233	1.757	2.394	3.282
	DGW	Ω	-3.563	-3.293	0.610	0.794	2.555	4.671	7.558
		P/P_{Ref}	0.000	0.001	1.028	1.830	2.679	3.352	3.912
NMTCMv3	WTG	Ω	-4.517	-4.621	0.100	3.303	6.570	9.221	11.317
		P/P_{Ref}	0.000	0.445	0.896	1.954	3.090	4.044	4.887
	DGW	Ω	-4.291	-2.266	-0.388	3.696	7.665	11.073	13.917
		P/P_{Ref}	0.000	0.000	1.240	1.886	2.997	4.159	6.124
LEMv2.4	WTG	Ω	-4.588	-4.668	1.110	5.471	9.745	15.162	24.048
		P/P_{Ref}	0.000	0.413	1.117	1.923	2.888	3.953	5.111
	DGW	Ω	-4.460	-2.658	0.464	4.129	8.103	12.436	17.031

Table 3. Table showing the numerical values of Ω (×10⁻² Pa s⁻¹) and P/P_{Ref} for WTG and DGW simulations with different values of SST in the simulated column. Results in bold correspond to $|\Omega| < 0.4 \times 10^{-2}$ Pa s⁻¹ (or $\overline{\omega} \approx 0$) or $0.9 < P/P_{Ref} < 1.1$. If both Ω and P/P_{Ref} are bold, the simulation with large-scale parameterization reproduces the RCE state to a good approximation.

Model-SCMs	WTG or	P/P_{Ref}	SST=	SST=	SST=	SST=	SST=	SST=	SST=
	DGW	or Ω	$298 \mathrm{K}$	$299.5~\mathrm{K}$	300 K	$300.5 \mathrm{K}$	301 K	$301.5~\mathrm{K}$	302 K
		P/P_{Ref}	0.176	0.790	0.997	1.313	1.520	1.829	2.192
LMDzA	WTG	Ω	-4.071	-1.037	-0.015	1.385	2.240	3.387	4.806
		P/P_{Ref}	0.15	0.804	0.982	1.187	1.530	1.874	2.201
	DGW	Ω	-4.145	-0.972	-0.065	0.931	2.169	3.437	4.652
		P/P_{Ref}	0.362	0.929	1.290	1.694	2.273	2.729	3.127
LMDzB	WTG	Ω	-2.670	-0.30	1.180	2.992	5.475	7.470	9.193
		P/P_{Ref}	0.248	0.638	1.269	1.922	2.537	2.940	3.437
	DGW	Ω	-3.325	-1.462	1.030	3.676	6.153	7.726	9.689
		P/P_{Ref}	0.044	1.200	0.180	3.325	4.161	2.833	5.605
GISS-SCM	WTG	Ω	-6.888	1.100	-5.700	7.371	24.25	14.760	25.022
		P/P_{Ref}	0.021	0.330	0.820	2.201	3.498	4.319	8.296
	DGW	Ω	-6.095	-4.395	-2.180	7.566	12.837	17.475	34.335
		P/P_{Ref}	0.003	0.000	1.530	1.920	2.067	2.132	2.368
ARPv6	WTG	Ω	-5.486	-3.852	2.230	5.055	6.132	7.210	8.658
		P/P_{Ref}	0.000	0.832	1.260	1.442	1.464	2.098	2.223
	DGW	Ω	-5.853	-1.122	0.972	2.046	2.340	5.0256	5.673
		P/P_{Ref}	0.022	0.036	0.470	2.228	4.002	6.129	6.743
UMv7.8	WTG	Ω	-4.528	-4.600	-2.130	4.053	10.751	18.537	20.610
		P/P_{Ref}	0.003	0.0343	0.700	2.257	3.350	4.534	5.623
	DGW	Ω	-4.465	-4.437	-1.240	3.875	7.734	12.104	15.878
		P/P_{Ref}	0.011	0.792	1.420	0.529	0.558	3.682	4.101
EC-Earthv1	WTG	Ω	-4.060	-1.262	0.990	-0.741	-0.192	9.275	10.855
		P/P_{Ref}	0.002	0.662	1.920	1.024	2.271	2.807	3.170
	DGW	Ω	-4.117	-1.737	2.990	0.583	4.713	6.736	8.187
		P/P_{Ref}	0.003	0.577	0.940	1.720	2.202	2.648	3.430
EC-Earthv3	WTG	Ω	-4.209	-1.860	-0.135	2.927	4.611	6.008	8.523
		P/P_{Ref}	0.0122	0.813	1.014	2.191	3.448	4.344	5.087
	DGW	Ω	-4.280	-0.986	0.146	3.873	8.138	11.061	13.460

 Table
 A.
 Same as Table 3, but lists SCM results.



Figure 3. P/P_{Ref} versus SST. The values of P are those obtained at equilibrium in the (top) WTG and (bottom) DGW simulations. Results are shown for (left) CRMs and (right) SCMs. Symbol definitions are as in Tables 1 and 2.



Figure 4. Scatter plots of Ω versus P/P_{Ref} . Results are those obtained at equilibrium in the (top) WTG and (bottom) DGW simulations with an SST of 298 K (dark blue), 299.5 K (light blue), 300 K (black), 300.5 K (dark green), 301 K (light green), 301.5 K (orange), and 302 K (red). Results are shown for (left) CRMs and (right) SCMs. Symbol definitions are as in Tables 1 and 2.



Figure 5. Scatter plots of P versus CRH (column relative humidity; the column-integrated water vapor divided by its saturation value). The results are those obtained at equilibrium in the (top) WTG and (bottom) DGW simulations with an SST of 298 K (dark blue), 299.5 K (light blue), 300 K (black), 300.5 K (dark green), 301 K (light green), 301.5 K (orange), and 302 K (red). Results are shown for (left) CRMs and (right) SCMs. Symbol definitions are as in Tables 1 and 2. The solid curve is the exponential fit for the observed monthly mean precipitation over the tropical oceans obtained by Bretherton et al. [2004].

DRAFT



Figure 6. Scatter plots of P/P_{Ref} versus CRH/CRH_{Ref} , where CRH_{Ref} is the column relative humidity of the corresponding RCE reference state. The results are those obtained at equilibrium in the (top) WTG and (bottom) DGW simulations with an SST of 298 K (dark blue), 299.5 K (light blue), 300 K (black), 300.5 K (dark green), 301 K (light green), 301.5 K (orange), and 302 K (red). Results are shown for (left) CRMs and (right) SCMs. Symbol definitions are as in Tables 1 and 2.



Figure 7. Scatter plots of ΔP versus M_{LS} . The results are those obtained at equilibrium in the (top) WTG and (bottom) DGW simulations with an SST of 298 K (dark blue), 299.5 K (light blue), 300 K (black), 300.5 K (dark green), 301 K (light green), 301.5 K (orange), and 302 K (red). Results are shown for (left) CRMs and (right) SCMs. The dotted oblique line corresponds to $\Delta P = M_{LS}$. Symbol definitions are as in Tables 1 and 2.

DRAFT



Figure 8. Scatter plots of ΔP versus ΔE . Results are those obtained at equilibrium in the (top) WTG and (bottom) DGW simulations over an SST of 298 K (dark blue), 299.5 K (light blue), 300 K (black), 300.5 K (dark green), 301 K (light green), 301.5 K (orange), and 302 K (red). Results are shown for (left) CRMs and (right) SCMs. Symbol definitions are as in Tables 1 and 2.



Figure 9. Γ versus SST. The values of Γ are those obtained at equilibrium in the (top) WTG and (bottom) DGW simulations which produce significant large-scale ascent only $(P/P_{Ref} > 1.1 \text{ with } \Omega > 0.4 \times 10^{-2} \text{ Pa s}^{-1})$. Results are shown for (left) CRMs and (right) SCMs. Symbol definitions are as in Tables 1 and 2.