

Bioaccessibility of PBDEs present in indoor dust: a novel dialysis membrane method with a Tenax TA® absorption sink

Article

Accepted Version

Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0

Kademoglou, K., Williams, A. C. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3654-7916 and Collins, C. D. (2018) Bioaccessibility of PBDEs present in indoor dust: a novel dialysis membrane method with a Tenax TA® absorption sink. Science of the Total Environment, 621. pp. 1-8. ISSN 0048-9697 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.11.097 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/74332/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.11.097

Publisher: Elsevier

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur



CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

- **1** Supporting information
- 2 Bioaccessibility of PBDEs present in indoor dust: A novel dialysis membrane method with a Tenax
- 3 TA[®] absorption sink
- 4 <u>Katerina Kademoglou</u>^a*, Adrian C. Williams^b, Chris D. Collins^a*
- 5 ^a Soil Research Centre, Department of Geography and Environmental Science, University of Reading,
- 6 Whiteknights campus, RG6 6DW, Reading, UK
- ⁷ ^b School of Pharmacy, University of Reading, Whiteknights campus, RG6 6AD, Reading, UK

- 9 * Corresponding authors: Katerina Kademoglou: <u>a.kademoglou@pgr.reading.ac.uk</u> or
- 10 <u>Katerina.kademoglou@gmail.com;</u> Chris Collins: <u>c.d.collins@reading.ac.uk</u>
- 11
- 12 Number of pages: 8
- 13 Number of figures: 3
- 14 Number of tables: 2
- 15

Materials and methods

18 Chemicals and reagents

19 Native standard solutions of BDE 28, 47, 77, 100,128, 153, 154, and 183 were purchased from 20 Cambridge Isotope laboratories Inc. (UK). Purity of all standards was >98% unless otherwise stated. Standard stock solutions were prepared in toluene for all compounds. Sodium sulphate (anhydrous, 21 granular/powder, 99% pure), high purity grade Silica gel pore size 60 Å, 70-230 mesh, 63-200 µm 22 (product code: #60741, Sigma-Aldrich), Florisil[®] 100-200 mesh (product code: #10104980, Acros 23 24 Organics), concentrated Sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) 96% analytical grade (Fisher Scientific, UK), Tenax[®] 25 TA Porous Polymer Adsorbent, 60-80 mesh (product code: #11982, Sigma-Aldrich), Standard grade regenerated cellulose (RC) Spectra/Por[™] 3 (18mm flat width, 1.1mL/cm dialysis membrane MWCO 26 27 3.5 kDa) (Spectrum Labs Inc., USA, product code: #11425859; FisherScientific, UK), micro centrifuge filters lined with 0.45µm pore size nylon filter 1.5mL volume capacity (product code #516-28 29 0236, VWR) and 19mm Small Silver Binder Clips (product code: #WW-376137, Staples Inc, UK.). 30 Analytical grade inorganic salts were provided from Fisher Scientific (Loughborough, UK). All 31 biological reagents used for media preparation and organic solvents used for extraction and clean-up steps were of HPLC grade and were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Gillingham, UK). Empty, pre-32 33 fritted polypropylene filtration tubes (6 mL) for silica SPE and Florisil cartridge preparation (2 g, 6 34 mL) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (UK). For 5% acidified silica gel preparation, concentrated 35 sulphuric acid (H₂SO₄, >96%) was used and was purchased from Fisher Scientific. Briefly, 1.9 mL of pure sulphuric acid was added drop-wise to 50 g of hexane-washed, oven-dried silica gel under 36 continuous and vigorous stirring. Glass test tubes were cleaned by soaking for at least 12 h in a 37 38 phosphate-free, alkali solution. After washing, the tubes were rinsed with deionised water, dried at 39 100 °C for at least 12 h and burned at 400°C to remove all traces of organic contamination

40 Target analytes and analytical characteristics

Abbreviation	Full name	Molecular formula	MW (Da)	Log K _{ow}	Water solubility (mg/L) 25 °C	Vapour pressure (mm Hg, 25 °C)
BDE-28	2,4,4'- Tribromodiphenyl ether	C12 H7 Br3 O1	406.895	5.88	0.02642	9.16E-06
BDE-47	2,2',4,4'- Tetrabromodiphenyl ether	C12 H6 Br4 O1	485.791	6.77	0.001461	1.58E-06

41 Table SI 1 – Target analytes and physicochemical properties calculated from EPISuite 4.1[™].

BDE-100	2,2',4,4',6- Pentabromodipheny l ether	C12 H5 Br5 O1	564.688	6.84	0.000394	3.10E-08
BDE-153	2,2',4,4',5,5'- Hexabromodiphenyl ether	C12 H4 Br6 O1	643.584	8.55	4.15E-06	1.86E-07
BDE-154	2,2',4,4',5,6'- Hexabromodiphenyl ether	C12 H4 Br6 O1	643.584	8.55	4.15E-06	1.41E-07
BDE-183	2,2',3,4,4',5',6- Heptabromodipheny l ether	C12 H3 Br7 O1	722.48	9.44	2.16E-07	2.45E-08

43 Table SI 2 Concentrations in dust samples and analytical confirmation of dust and SRM 2585

44 concentrations after spiking with PBDEs (200 μ L of PBDEs native standard mix 1 ng/ μ L). All

45 concentration values are in ng/g.

Targat	*Concentration in	Concentration in	Expected	§D a a a viant	
Target	UK dust before	UK dust after	concentration	*Recovery	
analyte	spiking	spiking	after spiking	%	
BDE-28	11.4	186.1	211.4	88.0	
BDE-47	9.0	190.8	209.0	91.3	
BDE-100	2.5	188.1	202.5	92.9	
BDE-153	5.2	225.6	205.2	109.9	
BDE-154	2.2	178.4	202.2	88.2	
BDE-183	29.3	179.4	229.3	78.2	
Target	*Concentration in	Concentration in	Expected	[§] Recovery	*Ref
analyta	SPM 2585	SRM 2585 after	concentration	04	voluo
anaryte	SKW 2363	spiking	after spiking	70	value
BDE-28	15.3	190.3	215.3	88.4	46.9
BDE-47	446.5	589.2	646.5	91.1	497
BDE-100	35.8	188.1	235.8	79.8	43.8
BDE-153	137.5	363.8	337.5	107.8	119
BDE-154	99	264.7	299.0	88.5	83.5
BDE-183	52.5	204.6	252.5	81.0	43

46 *Taken from (Kademoglou et al., 2017)

47 § Recovery %= (Concentration in dust after PBDE spiking / Expected concentration) x 100

48 Table SI 3 - Composition of 1 litre of media used in the fed CEPBET test. Fed state conditions

49 achieved by addition of dietary components in stomach and colon media. From (Tilston et al., 2011)

Stomach, 1h, pH=2.5	
Reagent	Amount added in 1 L
Sodium malate (maleic acid)	0.5 g
Tri-sodium citrate	0.5 g
Lactic acid 85% w/w	420 μL
Acetic acid (glacial)*	500 μL
Pepsin (porcine)	1.25 g
Small Intestine, 4h, pH=7	<u> </u>
Bile salts	1.78 g
Pancreatine (porcine)	0.5 g
Colon, 16h, pH=6.5	<u> </u>
Mucin type II (porcine stomach)	4.0 g
Sodium chloride	4.5 g
Potassium chloride	4.5 g
Sodium bicarbonate	1.5 g
Magnesium sulphate hexahydrate	1.25 g
L-Cysteine Hydrochloride	800 mg
Potassium phosphate	500 mg
di-potassium phosphate	500 mg
Bile salts	400 mg
Calcium Chloride	189.0 mg
Haemin (>80%, bovine)	500 mg

Iron (II) sulphate heptahydrate	5.0 mg
Dietary components	
Starch (potato)	5.0 g
Peptone (casein)	34 g
Tryptone (vegetable)	6.1 g
Yeast extract	4.5 g
Casein	3.0 g
Pectin (citrus)	2.0 g
Xylan (beechwood)	2.0 g
Arabinogalactan (larch)	2.0 g
Guar gum	1.0 g
Inulin (chicory)	1.0 g

51 Instrumental analysis

- 52 A Thermo Trace GC Ultra system equipped with a Thermo TG-SQC capillary column (15 m x
- 53 0.25mm x 0.25 μm) coupled to a Thermo ITQ 1100 mass spectrometer in electron ionisation mode
- 54 ((EI-MS) was connected through a heated transfer line (300°C). The injection temperature was set at
- 55 92 °C, hold 0.04 min, ramp 700 °C/min to 295 °C and 5μL of cleaned extracts in toluene were
- 56 injected for GC analysis. Injection was performed under a pressure of 0.19 bar until 1.25 min in
- 57 pulsed splitlless mode 50 mL/min after 1.25 min. The GC temperature program was 90 °C, hold 1.50
- 58 min, ramp 10°C/min to 300°C, hold 3 min, ramp 40 °C/min to 310 °C, hold 5 min. Helium was used
- so as a carrier gas with a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min.

60 RC membrane /Tenax TA[®] system



- 62 **Figure SI 1** Stepwise representation of RC membrane /Tenax TA system after incubation of each
- 63 CE-PBET compartments, namely (A) stomach, (B) small intestine and (C) colon. Please note the
- 64 unsaturated Tenax TA floating on top of the water based gut medium (A &B), while the saturated part
- 65 sediments after the end of colon incubation (C).

	Sample preparation and	I Tenax TA® recovery	
	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	x TA and GI fluids	
Step1: SI and colon fluids	Step 2: Tenax TA® recovery	Step 3: Tenax TA [®] and residual dust	Step 4: Before Florisil [©] fractionation
 Collect SI and Colon fluids (spin 15 min at 3500rpm) Spike 200ng ISTDs Add 30mL Hex/EtOAc 1:3 (x2) R'n'R shake for 1h Subject for LLE (x2) Collect extracts + add Na ₂ SO ₄ Spin 15 min at 3500rpm Collect organic phase Subject to Florisil® fractionation & SPE clean-up (F1 only)	 After SI incubation, filter SI fluids using glass wool After colon incubation, filter Tenax TA® from RC membrane using glass wool filtration Collect glass wool filters from SI and colon fluid filtration in one bottle Chop RC membrane in 4 smaller pieces Add the 19mm metallic clippers for extraction as well 	 Spike 200ng ISTDs Add 30mL Ace/Hex1:3 (x2) R'n'R shake for 1h Subject for ultrasonication (x2) Collect extracts + add Na₂SO₄ Spin 15 min at 3500rpm Collect organic phase Subject to Florisil® fractionation & SPE clean-up (F1 only) 	 Collect all extracts (approx 50- 60mL each) from SI, colon, Tenax TA[®] and residual dust Concentrate to 1mL using a BUCHI Syncore[®] evaporator Extracts ready (1mL in hexane) for Florisil[®] fractionation & SPE clean- up (F1 only)

67 Figure SI 2 – Schematic representation of sample preparation of CE-PBET fluids and residual dust, as well as Tenax TA recovery using glass wool filtration



69 Figure SI 3 Bar chart presenting Tenax TA mass recovery% in different amounts of Tenax TA tested

Table SI 4 – Extraction efficiency (%) for small intestine and colon compartment using LLE, Tenax $TA^{(B)}$ and residual dust with ultrasonication assisted extraction. All samples were assessed in triplicates (n=3).

	Small Int	estine (n=3)		Colon (n=3	Colon (n=3) Tenax TA [®] (n=3)				Residual dust (n=3)			
Target analyte	AVG%	STDEV	RSD%*	AVG%	STDEV	RSD%	AVG%	STDEV	RSD%	AVG%	STDEV	RSD%
BDE-28	74.8	6.0	8.0	76.8	9.2	12.0	66.7	0.1	9.0	71.9	6.5	9.0
BDE-47	87.7	2.9	3.3	82.9	1.9	2.3	77.1	0.1	8.5	68.0	5.7	8.5
BDE-100	69.2	9.4	13.6	77.7	10.5	13.5	54.2	0.1	6.0	52.0	3.1	6.0
BDE-153	58.6	0.03	4.4	77.7	0.1	16.6	89.0	0.1	10.0	92.9	6.0	6.5
BDE-154	96.7	0.0	2.6	79.3	13.2	0.2	103.7	0.1	10.0	86.0	5.8	6.7
BDE-183	92.2	0.1	13.8	66.2	0.1	17.9	90.3	0.00	0.1	65.5	0.0	0.1

*RSD%=(STDEV/AVG)*100

References for SI

- Kademoglou, K., Xu, F., Padilla-Sanchez, J.A., Haug, L.S., Covaci, A., Collins, C.D., 2017. Legacy and alternative flame retardants in Norwegian and UK indoor environment: Implications of human exposure via dust ingestion. Environ. Int. 102, 48–56. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2016.12.012
- Tilston, E.L., Gibson, G.R., Collins, C.D., 2011. Colon Extended Physiologically Based Extraction Test (CE-PBET) Increases Bioaccessibility of Soil-Bound PAH. Environ. Sci. Technol. 45, 5301–5308. doi:10.1021/es2004705