

On the origin of carbon dioxide released from rewetted soils

Article

Accepted Version

Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0

Fraser, F.C., Corstanje, R., Deeks, L.K., Harris, J.A., Pawlett, M., Todman, L. C. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1232-294X>, Whitmore, A.P. and Ritz, K. (2016) On the origin of carbon dioxide released from rewetted soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 101. pp. 1-5. ISSN 00380717 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.06.032> Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/76768/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

Published version at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.06.032>

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.06.032>

Publisher: Elsevier

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

1 On the origin of carbon dioxide released from rewetted soils.

2 F. C. Fraser^{1†}, R. Corstanje¹, L.K. Deeks¹, J. A. Harris¹, M. Pawlett¹, L. C. Todman², A.P. Whitmore², K.
3 Ritz³

4 1. School of Energy, Environment, and Agrifood, Cranfield University, Bedford, UK

5 2. Rothamsted Research, Harpenden, UK

6 3. School of Biosciences, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

7 † Corresponding author

8

9

10

11 Highlights

12 1. In soils rewetted after drying, the resultant flux of CO₂ was found to be extremely rapid with the
13 peak efflux occurring in less than 6 minutes

14 2. Such CO₂ fluxes were prevented by autoclaving, suggesting an intrinsically biochemical or
15 organismal origin to the source

16 3. Strong evidence for an extracellular oxidative pathway contributing to such CO₂ fluxes was
17 found

18

19 Keywords:

20 *Birch effect; dry:wet cycles; CO₂ flux; extracellular oxidative metabolism; soil*
21 *sterilisation*

22

23 Abstract

24 When dry soils are rewetted a pulse of CO₂ is invariably released, and whilst this
25 phenomenon has been studied for decades, the precise origins of this CO₂ remain obscure.
26 We postulate that it could be of chemical (i.e. via abiotic pathways), biochemical (via free
27 enzymes) or biological (via intact cells) origin. To elucidate the relative contributions of the
28 pathways, dry soils were either sterilised (double autoclaving) or treated with solutions of
29 inhibitors (15% trichloroacetic acid or 1% silver nitrate) targeting the different modes. The
30 rapidity of CO₂ release from the soils after the drying:rewetting (DRW) cycle was
31 remarkable, with maximal rates of evolution within 6 minutes, and 41% of the total efflux
32 over 96 h released within the first 24 h. The complete cessation of CO₂ flux following
33 sterilisation showed there was no abiotic (dissolution of carbonates) contribution to the CO₂
34 release on rewetting, and clear evidence for an organismal or biochemical basis to the flush.
35 Rehydration in the presence of inhibitors indicated that there were approximately equal
36 contributions from biochemical (outside membranes) and organismal (inside membranes)
37 sources within the first 24 h after rewetting. This suggests that some of the flux was derived
38 from microbial respiration, whilst the remainder was a consequence of enzyme activity,
39 possibly through remnant respiratory pathways in the debris of dead cells.

40

41 Rewetting of a dry soil invariably causes a large flux of carbon dioxide (CO₂) to be rapidly
42 released, which is sometimes referred to as the Birch effect (Birch, 1958; 1960). This
43 phenomenon has been observed both in laboratory incubations (Kieft et al., 1987; Unger et
44 al., 2010; Shi and Marschner, 2014) and in field circumstances using closed chambers (Yan
45 et al., 2014) or eddy covariance towers (Xu et al., 2004). These fluxes have been observed
46 across a wide range of ecotypes (Jarvis et al., 2007; Thomas and Hoon, 2010; Sugihara et
47 al., 2015), but are particularly significant in dryland and Mediterranean ecosystems where
48 they can make up a significant proportion of soil C-emissions (Lee et al., 2004; Hunt et al.,

49 2004; Brito et al., 2013). These drying:rewetting (DRW) induced CO₂ efflux events can even
50 significantly reduce the annual net C gain in Mediterranean forests (Jarvis et al., 2007).

51 Several theories have been proposed to explain this phenomenon including: (i) the exposure
52 of physically-protected organic matter to microbial metabolism via aggregate dispersion on
53 rewetting (Denef et al., 2001; Wu and Brookes, 2005; Xiang et al., 2008); (ii) microbial
54 necromass increasing the supply of readily assimilable substrate to the surviving microbial
55 populations (Kieft et al., 1987; Van Gestel et al., 1992; Blazewicz et al., 2013); (iii)
56 increases in the supply of labile organic matter due to the rapid release, on rewetting, of
57 intra-cellular solutes previously concentrated within microbial cells to maintain osmotic
58 balance in response to dehydration (Halverson et al., 2000; Warren, 2014); and (iv) a supply
59 of labile organic C is built up during the dry period prior to rewetting and subsequently
60 quickly metabolised on rewetting. There is a known uncoupling of rates of CO₂ efflux and
61 detectable microbial growth rates after a DRW cycle (Iovieno and Bååth, 2008; Meisner et
62 al., 2015) and microbial populations in such circumstances show little change in their net
63 size (Fierer and Schimel, 2002). However, recent work by Blazewicz et al., (2013) show that
64 despite their unchanging size these populations turnover rapidly in response to a DRW
65 cycle. They also suggest that more cellular derived organic-C is available in soil samples
66 than is turned over in the initial phases after rewetting. This organic-C will contain cellular
67 material including constituents of enzymatic pathways – remnant respiratory pathways – with
68 the potential to carry out reactions leading to CO₂ efflux. Thus it is possible that CO₂ release
69 from re-wetted soils is not exclusively derived from respiration pathways occurring in intact
70 microbes. There are also reports of over-estimation of soil respiration rates due to
71 contributions of CO₂ from dissolution of soil carbonates; however, reports are inconsistent
72 and range from 1-2 % up to 74% of CO₂ efflux from soil being attributed to carbonate
73 dissolution (Biasi et al., 2008; Ramnarine et al., 2012; Schindlbacher et al., 2015). It is as
74 yet unclear how the DRW process may affect carbonate dissolution from soils although
75 Tamir et al., (2011) found that in highly calcareous soils the rate of inorganic CO₂ production

76 was lower in drier samples. However, it is also known that increases in soil OM content can
77 alter the balance of pH, as a result of increased nitrification rates, leading to increase
78 dissolution of carbonates (Tamir et al., 2013). As such an increase in available OM as a
79 result of any of the 4 processes described above (aggregate dispersion, increased
80 necromass, release of intracellular-solutes, or accumulation of labile organic matter) could
81 potentially lead to this phenomenon on rewetting, and an abiotic route to CO₂ production
82 must also be considered.

83 On this basis we posit that there are three potential sources of CO₂, all of which could
84 contribute to the efflux on rewetting: (i) *abiotic* via carbonate dissolution (Shanhun et al.,
85 2012); (ii) *biochemical*, involving the release of CO₂ from organic matter outside cell
86 membranes and mediated by free or residually-bound enzymes (Maire et al., 2013)
87 (Blankinship et al., 2014); (iii) *organismal*, i.e. microbial respiration via the Krebs cycle
88 carried out within intact organelles or cells (Fig. 1). One potential way to determine the
89 relative contribution of these sources is to probe the phenomenon in soils treated in various
90 ways to block certain of the pathways involved, such as via complete sterilization (i.e. any
91 form of biochemical or organismal pathway), or to spike the rehydration water with various
92 forms of metabolic inhibitors (i.e. to distinguish biochemical from organismal). We
93 hypothesised that i) the majority of CO₂ released is derived from an organismal source, and
94 hence that CO₂ efflux upon rehydration would be curtailed where organismal pathways were
95 blocked and ii) there would be no significant contribution to the total CO₂ efflux of CO₂ from
96 an abiotic source.

97 Soils were collected from the top 15 cm of 4 long-term grassland sites in May 2015 (soil
98 parameters shown in Table 1); all soils were sieved to pass a 2 mm mesh, adjusted to 45%
99 water holding capacity (WHC) and pre-incubated at 25°C for 7 days. Aliquots of the soils (1
100 g; 3 replicates of each soil) were then exposed to 4 DRW cycles over 28 days, where each
101 cycle consisted of 3 days drying followed by rewetting to 45% WHC using sterile, deionised
102 water. Drying was standardised by locating the soils in a sealed container in the presence of

103 silica gel. Aliquots of 1.0 g of soil were adopted in order to ensure that penetration of water
104 throughout the soil volume would be rapid. The time-course of CO₂ evolution at 6-minute
105 intervals following rewetting was determined independently for each replicate using an
106 automated multi-channel conductimetric respirometer (RABIT, Don Whitley, Shipley, UK;
107 (Butler et al., 2012), for 5 days. To account for any background variation in CO₂ efflux
108 blanks were run alongside soil samples; this involved measuring the signal from empty,
109 sealed cells.

110 Another set of three replicates was subjected to a further range of treatments, viz. (i) 'Live
111 controls' - involving no sterilisation, DRW as described above; (ii) 'Moist controls' – also
112 unsterilized but with 0.2 mL sterile, deionised water added prior to exposure to DRW – this is
113 a procedural control to account for the fact that liquid was added to the sample prior to
114 drying as described above; (iii) 'Autoclaved', where samples were autoclaved twice at 121°C
115 at 3.1 bar for 20 minutes with a 24 hour pause between (Systec 3150 EL, Linden, Germany);
116 (iv) 'TCA', with 0.2 mL of 15% trichloroacetic acid (TCA) addition; (v) 'AgNO₃', with 0.2 mL of
117 1% silver nitrate addition. All amendments and autoclaving were carried out prior to the
118 DRW process described above. The rationale for these treatments (Fig.1) is that
119 autoclaving would prevent all organismal or biochemical activity by denaturing all proteins –
120 in this circumstance any CO₂ produced would be via abiotic pathways. TCA (15%) would
121 precipitate proteins, including extracellular enzymes (Ladd and Butler, 1972) and as such
122 remove any biochemical source of CO₂. The mechanism of protein precipitation by TCA is
123 unclear but is likely to be due to protein unfolding (Rajalingam et al., 2009) and as such may
124 also affect microbial membranes. AgNO₃ is a known antiseptic and so kills microbes; the
125 precise mode of action is surprisingly poorly understood but the Ag⁺ ions are known to cause
126 physical damage to cells and DNA – separation of cytoplasmic membranes from cell walls
127 and condensing of DNA in both *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* (Feng et al.,
128 2000). Silver and other heavy metals are also known to bind to thiol groups in proteins
129 resulting in their inactivation (Liau et al., 1997). They also interfere with intra-cellular

130 processes and membranes/cell walls therefore AgNO₃ may also affect some extracellular
131 enzymes (e.g. thiol-proteases). This treatment is designed to primarily inhibit the organismal
132 pathway but is likely to have a lesser effect on biochemical mechanisms – i.e. extracellular
133 enzymes (Fig. 1). Whilst the extent to which these inhibitors operate exclusively on these
134 pathways is unknown (and may be impossible to precisely establish), the rationale is that
135 they will be at least partly informative. However, autoclaving twice unequivocally sterilises
136 soil.

137

138 The rapidity of CO₂ release from the soils after the DRW cycle was remarkable, in that we
139 detected maximal rates of evolution after 6 minutes, and never captured the actual peak as
140 such, only a downward trend from a presumed peak (Fig. 2). Within the first hour following
141 wet-up an average of 5% of the total CO₂ efflux over 96 h was observed and of this
142 approximately 24% occurred within the first 12 minutes (Fig. 2a - d). Of the total CO₂ efflux
143 measured over 96 h after rewetting, an average of 41% was measured in the first 24 h (Fig.
144 2e - h); this consistency of effect with – where the same proportion of CO₂ was measured in
145 the first 24 h after each of a series of rewetting events - was also observed by Birch (1958).

146 A large difference in CO₂ release on rewetting between the wet control and the standard
147 response to DRW was manifest (Fig. 3a). This is likely because the 3-day drying period
148 resulted in different amounts of moisture loss between treatments; those exposed to the
149 prescribed DRW cycle lost 34% of their mass on average over the 3 days of drying,
150 however, the moist controls lost only 16% of their mass on average. This shows that soil
151 dried to a greater extent will give a larger flush of CO₂ on rewetting than a sample of the
152 same soil dried less severely (Kieft et al., 1987; Fierer and Schimel, 2002; Unger et al.,
153 2010; Meisner et al., 2015). Those samples treated with 15% TCA and 1% AgNO₃ dried to a
154 greater extent over 3 days than the moist controls (21 and 28% mass loss respectively) and
155 those that were autoclaved lost 45% of their mass on average. Despite these large
156 differences in moisture loss between the moist controls and the inhibitor treated samples

157 (both TCA and AgNO₃) the effect of moisture loss on total CO₂ efflux was found to be non-
158 significant using an analysis of covariance (ANCOVA; $p = 0.71$), nor was there a significant
159 interaction between inhibitor treatment and moisture loss ($p = 0.25$). As such, the main effect
160 of inhibitor treatment can be interpreted directly.

161 Hereafter, responses of inhibitor-treated samples to DRW are compared to that of the moist
162 controls (Fig. 3b). Autoclaving effectively 'switched off' CO₂ production after a rewetting
163 event (total CO₂ efflux over 24 h was significantly different between water controls and
164 autoclaved samples and autoclaved totals were not significantly different from blanks ($p =$
165 0.01 , $p = 0.99$ respectively, Fig. 3). A preliminary experiment using soil with higher CaCO₃
166 contents (0.93 % compared to 0.48 % on average for soils listed in Table 1) showed the
167 same lack of activity after autoclaving and a DRW event (data not presented). These results
168 show that there was effectively no chemical contribution to the CO₂ flush observed after
169 rewetting in these soils. This is in contrast to observations made in some calcareous, arid
170 soils where CO₂ derived from inorganic-C has been observed to account for 30-75% of the
171 total soil CO₂ efflux (Tamir et al., 2011; Shanhun et al., 2012). As previously stated, these
172 observations have also been made in temperate soils but results are scarce and inconsistent
173 with ranges of 1-2% (Schindlbacher et al., 2015), to 50% (Biasi et al., 2008) all the way up to
174 74% (Ramnarine et al., 2012) of the total CO₂ flux attributable to inorganic C sources.
175 Notably, none of these studies examined the response to a DRW event although Biasi et al.
176 (2008) noted an effect of water addition in the laboratory. The effect of autoclaving observed
177 in our study is therefore strong evidence for an organismal and/or biochemical origin for the
178 evolved CO₂

179 Treating soils with either 15% TCA or 1% AgNO₃ substantially reduced but did not eliminate
180 CO₂ production, compared to the moist control, following a DRW event (Fig. 3b). Inhibition
181 of CO₂ evolution by AgNO₃ was greater than by TCA for the latter half of the measurement
182 period (Fig. 3), although the accumulated total release was not statistically significant in the
183 case of these two inhibitors ($p = 0.98$). This suggests that a greater portion of the CO₂

184 measured after a DRW event is derived from the organismal pathway. This effect appeared
185 to increase over time with the amount of CO₂ produced hourly by AgNO₃ treated soils
186 decreasing more rapidly over the first 24 hours than it did for TCA treated soils this is
187 exemplified by the increasing gap between the confidence bands for AgNO₃ and TCA
188 treated soils after approximately 13 hours of incubation in Fig. 3. It is commonly assumed
189 that the majority of CO₂ measured after a DRW event is derived from the organismal
190 pathway, and the effect of AgNO₃ would certainly suggest this. There was also a substantial
191 reduction in CO₂, compared to the moist control, due to the addition of TCA, which suggests
192 that an additional contribution to the CO₂ flux after the DRW event was via the biochemical
193 route. This is consistent with the findings of Maire et al. (2013) who report a 16-48%
194 contribution of an extracellular oxidative metabolism pathway, termed 'EXOMET', to soil CO₂
195 flux. Blankinship et al., (2014) found only a 26-47% reduction in CO₂ emission from
196 intermediates in the TCA cycle after sterilisation suggesting that these enzymes are still
197 active when cells are dead but not completely dispersed, again noting that neither of these
198 two studies were in response to DRW events. It is known that many enzymes are stable in
199 the soil environment on a long term basis (Burns et al., 2013). Such stability is generally
200 achieved by adsorption onto soil colloids or incorporation with humic complexes (Nannipieri
201 et al., 1996). The effects of adsorption or humic complexing can include inhibition and steric
202 hindrance which can cause a reduction in potential activity of this sizeable enzyme pool by
203 up to 90% (Quiquampoix et al., 2002). If even a small proportion of these enzymes were to
204 be brought into solution after rewetting this could have a large effect on the levels of activity
205 in soils (Stursova and Sinsabaugh, 2008). Significant increases in rates of enzyme activity
206 have been recorded in soils exposed to DRW both during laboratory preparation (Kandeler
207 and Gerber, 1988) and as a result of environmental conditions (Hinojosa et al., 2004)
208 suggesting that portions of the adsorbed enzyme pool are solubilised by the process of
209 rewetting after drying increasing the potential for a biochemically driven response in DRW
210 soils.

211 Our results demonstrate the apparent immediacy of the Birch effect, and go some way to
212 explaining the pathways by which the CO₂ is evolved, *viz.* primarily organismal but with a
213 potentially large contribution from the biochemical pathways. We note that for our
214 experiments, these are roughly equivalent in magnitude. Thus we reject the hypothesis that
215 the origin of the CO₂ released following rehydration is predominantly organismal. We have
216 shown that in these temperate soils, unlike in more calcareous, arid systems, there is no
217 contribution of carbonate dissolution even when the intrinsic concentration of CaCO₃ is high.
218 This means that this effectively instantaneous release of CO₂ is governed by the soil biota.
219 We have shown evidence that not only are intact microbial cells apparently capable of
220 reinstating their high rates of respiration within minutes following rehydration after 3 days of
221 drying, but also that there is a potentially extensive contribution of CO₂ from remnant
222 enzymatic pathways outside of cell membranes.

223 Acknowledgements

224 The authors would like to thank the two anonymous reviewers for their insightful comments.
225 This work was supported by the UK Biotechnology and Biological Research Council
226 research grants BB/J000396/1, BB/J000396/2 and BB/J000671/1 (partly supported by UK
227 Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs).

228 References

- 229
230 Biasi, C., Lind, S.E., Pekkarinen, N.M., Huttunen, J.T., Shurpali, N.J., Hyvönen, N.P., Repo,
231 M.E., Martikainen, P.J., 2008. Direct experimental evidence for the contribution of
232 lime to CO₂ release from managed peat soil. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 40,
233 2660–2669.
234 Birch, H.F., 1960. Nitrification in soils after different periods of dryness. *Plant and Soil* 12,
235 81–96. Birch, H.F., 1958. Pattern of Humus Decomposition in East African Soils.
236 *Nature* 181, 788–788.
237 Blankinship, J.C., Becerra, C.A., Schaeffer, S.M., Schimel, J.P., 2014. Separating cellular
238 metabolism from exoenzyme activity in soil organic matter decomposition. *Soil*
239 *Biology and Biochemistry* 71, 68–75.
240 Blazewicz, S.J., Schwartz, E., Firestone, M.K., 2013a. Growth and death of bacteria and
241 fungi underlie rainfall-induced carbon dioxide pulses from seasonally dried soil.
242 *Ecology* 95, 1162–1172.
243 Blazewicz, S.J., Schwartz, E., Firestone, M.K., 2013b. Growth and death of bacteria and
244 fungi underlie rainfall-induced carbon dioxide pulses from seasonally dried soil.
245 *Ecology* 95, 1162–1172.
246 Brito, P., Trujillo, J.L., Morales, D., Jiménez, M.S., Wieser, G., 2013. Response of Soil CO₂
247 Efflux to Simulated Precipitation Pulses in a Canary Island Pine Forest at Treeline.
248 *Arid Land Research and Management* 27, 178–187. Burns, R.G., DeForest, J.L.,

249 Marxsen, J., Sinsabaugh, R.L., Stromberger, M.E., Wallenstein, M.D., Weintraub,
250 M.N., Zoppini, A., 2013. Soil enzymes in a changing environment: Current knowledge
251 and future directions. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 58, 216–234.

252 Butler, E., Whelan, M.J., Ritz, K., Sakrabani, R., van Egmond, R., 2012. The effect of
253 triclosan on microbial community structure in three soils. *Chemosphere* 89, 1–9.

254 Deneff, K., Six, J., Paustian, K., Merckx, R., 2001. Importance of macroaggregate
255 dynamics in controlling soil carbon stabilization: short-term effects of physical
256 disturbance induced by dry–wet cycles. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 33, 2145–
257 2153.

258 Feng, Q.L., Wu, J., Chen, G.Q., Cui, F.Z., Kim, T.N., Kim, J.O., 2000. A mechanistic study of
259 the antibacterial effect of silver ions on *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus*.
260 *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research* 52, 662–668.

261 Fierer, N., Schimel, J.P., 2002. Effects of drying–rewetting frequency on soil carbon and
262 nitrogen transformations. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 34, 777–787.

263 Halverson, L.J., Jones, T.M., Firestone, M.K., 2000. Release of Intracellular Solutes by Four Soil
264 Bacteria Exposed to Dilution Stress. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 64,
265 1630.

266 Hinojosa, M.B., Carreira, J.A., García-Ruiz, R., Dick, R.P., 2004. Soil moisture pre-treatment
267 effects on enzyme activities as indicators of heavy metal-contaminated and
268 reclaimed soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry, Enzymes in the Environment: Activity,
269 Ecology and Applications* 36, 1559–1568.

270 Hunt, J.E., Kelliher, F.M., McSeveny, T.M.,
271 Ross, D.J., Whitehead, D., 2004. Long-term carbon exchange in a sparse,
272 seasonally dry tussock grassland. *Global Change Biology* 10, 1785–1800.

273 Iovieno, P., Bååth, E., 2008. Effect of drying and rewetting on bacterial growth rates in soil.
274 *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 65, 400–407.

275 Jarvis, P., Rey, A., Petsikos, C., Wingate, L., Rayment, M., Pereira, J., Banza, J., David, J.,
276 Miglietta, F., Borghetti, M., Manca, G., Valentini, R., 2007. Drying and wetting of
277 Mediterranean soils stimulates decomposition and carbon dioxide emission: the
278 “Birch effect.” *Tree Physiology* 27, 929–940.

279 Kandeler, E., Gerber, H., 1988. Short-term assay of soil urease activity using colorimetric
280 determination of ammonium. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* 6, 68–72.

281 Kieft, T.L., soroker, E., firestone, M.K., 1987. Microbial biomass response to a rapid increase
282 in water potential when dry soil is wetted. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 19, 119–
283 126.

284 Ladd, J.N., Butler, J.H.A., 1972. Short-term assays of soil proteolytic enzyme activities using
285 proteins and dipeptide derivatives as substrates. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 4,
286 19–30.

287 Lee, X., Wu, H.-J., Sigler, J., Oishi, C., Siccama, T., 2004. Rapid and transient response of
288 soil respiration to rain. *Global Change Biology* 10, 1017–1026.

289 Liao, S.Y., Read, D.C., Pugh, W.J., Furr, J.R., Russell, A.D., 1997. Interaction of silver
290 nitrate with readily identifiable groups: relationship to the antibacterial action of silver
291 ions. *Letters in Applied Microbiology* 25, 279–283.

292 Maire, V., Alvarez, G., Colombet, J., Comby, A., Despinasse, R., Dubreucq, E., Joly, M.,
293 Lehours, A.-C., Perrier, V., Shahzad, T., Fontaine, S., 2013. An unknown oxidative
294 metabolism substantially contributes to soil CO₂ emissions. *Biogeosciences* 10,
295 1155–1167.

296 Meisner, A., Rousk, J., Bååth, E., 2015. Prolonged drought changes the bacterial growth
297 response to rewetting. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 88, 314–322.

298 Nannipieri, P., Sequi, P., Fusi, P., 1996. Chapter 7 - Humus and Enzyme Activity, in:
299 Piccolo, A. (Ed.), *Humic Substances in Terrestrial Ecosystems*. Elsevier Science
300 B.V., Amsterdam, pp. 293–328.

301 Quiquampoix, H., Servagent-Noinville, S., Baron, M., 2002. *Enzymes in the environment*.
302 Marcel Dekker 285–306.

302 Rajalingam, D., Loftis, C., Xu, J.J., Kumar, T.K.S., 2009. Trichloroacetic acid-induced protein
303 precipitation involves the reversible association of a stable partially structured
304 intermediate. *Protein Science : A Publication of the Protein Society* 18, 980–993.

305 Ramnarine, R., Wagner-Riddle, C., Dunfield, K.E., Voroney, R.P., 2012. Contributions of
306 carbonates to soil CO₂ emissions. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science* 92, 599–607.

307 Schindlbacher, A., Borken, W., Djukic, I., Brandstätter, C., Spötl, C., Wanek, W., 2015.
308 Contribution of carbonate weathering to the CO₂ efflux from temperate forest soils.
309 *Biogeochemistry* 124, 273–290.

310 Shanhun, F.L., Almond, P.C., Clough, T.J., Smith, C.M.S., 2012. Abiotic processes dominate
311 CO₂ fluxes in Antarctic soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 53, 99–111.

312 Shi, A., Marschner, P., 2014. Drying and rewetting frequency influences cumulative
313 respiration and its distribution over time in two soils with contrasting management.
314 *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 72, 172–179.

315 Stursova, M., Sinsabaugh, R.L., 2008. Stabilization of oxidative enzymes in desert soil may
316 limit organic matter accumulation. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 40, 550–553.

317 Sugihara, S., Funakawa, S., Kadono, A., Takata, Y., Sawada, K., Fujii, K., Kosaki, T., 2015.
318 In situ short-term dynamics of CO₂ flux and microbial biomass after simulated rainfall
319 in dry croplands in four tropical and continental ecosystems. *Soil Science and Plant
320 Nutrition* 61, 392–403.

321 Tamir, G., Shenker, M., Heller, H., Bloom, P.R., Fine, P., Bar-Tal, A., 2013. Organic N
322 mineralization and transformations in soils treated with animal waste in relation to
323 carbonate dissolution and precipitation. *Geoderma* 209–210, 50–56.

324 Tamir, G., Shenker, M., Heller, H., Bloom, P.R., Fine, P., Bar-Tal, A., 2011. Can Soil
325 Carbonate Dissolution Lead to Overestimation of Soil Respiration? *Soil Science
326 Society of America Journal* 75, 1414.

327 Thomas, A.D., Hoon, S.R., 2010. Carbon dioxide fluxes from biologically-crusts Kalahari
328 Sands after simulated wetting. *Journal of Arid Environments* 74, 131–139.

329 Unger, S., Máguas, C., Pereira, J.S., David, T.S., Werner, C., 2010. The influence of
330 precipitation pulses on soil respiration – Assessing the “Birch effect” by stable carbon
331 isotopes. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 42, 1800–1810.

332 Van Gestel, M., Ladd, J.N., Amato, M., 1992. Microbial biomass responses to seasonal
333 change and imposed drying regimes at increasing depths of undisturbed topsoil
334 profiles. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 24, 103–111.

335 Warren, C.R., 2014. Response of osmolytes in soil to drying and rewetting. *Soil Biology and
336 Biochemistry* 70, 22–32.

337 Wu, J., Brookes, P.C., 2005. The proportional mineralisation of microbial biomass and
338 organic matter caused by air-drying and rewetting of a grassland soil. *Soil Biology
339 and Biochemistry* 37, 507–515.

340 Xiang, S.-R., Doyle, A., Holden, P.A., Schimel, J.P., 2008. Drying and rewetting effects on C
341 and N mineralization and microbial activity in surface and subsurface California
342 grassland soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry, Special Section: Enzymes in the
343 Environment III* 40, 2281–2289.

344 Xu, L., Baldocchi, D.D., Tang, J., 2004. How soil moisture, rain pulses, and growth alter the
345 response of ecosystem respiration to temperature. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*
346 18, GB4002.

347 Yan, L., Chen, S., Xia, J., Luo, Y., 2014. Precipitation Regime Shift Enhanced the Rain
348 Pulse Effect on Soil Respiration in a Semi-Arid Steppe. *PLoS ONE* 9, e104217.

349
350
351
352

353

354

355 Figure captions

356 Fig. 1: Three potential sources of CO₂ to account for the flush on rewetting of dry soils and
357 the treatments used to identify the respective contributions of these. Light grey bars in lower
358 panel indicates which potential sources of CO₂ are uninhibited by each treatment, mid-grey
359 shows which sources are potentially inhibited, and dark grey shows those that are 'switched
360 off' by the different treatments.

361

362 Fig. 2: CO₂ release profiles from unsterilized grassland soil exposed to 4 repeated DRW
363 events (Cycles 1 – 4); (a-d) CO₂ release measured at 6 minute intervals in the first hour after
364 rewetting, (e-h) hourly CO₂ release over the first 24 h after rewetting, (I – l) hourly CO₂
365 release over the entire 94 hour wet period. Means (n = 3) indicated by black line surrounded
366 by confidence bands of ± 1 standard error.

367

368

369

370 Fig. 3: CO₂ efflux rates following rewetting of a dry soil with various solutions; (a) live soil
371 (green) exposed to a DRW cycle compared to all other treatments including a moist control
372 (blue), area outlined in red is shown in greater detail in (b); (b) amplification of y-axis from
373 (a), i.e. CO₂ efflux following a DRW cycle from the moist control (blue), blanks (no soil -
374 brown), autoclaved (orange), 15% TCA (purple) and 1% silver nitrate (grey) treated soils.
375 Lines show mean rates of CO₂ efflux (n=12 (3 reps each of 4 soils)) surrounded by
376 confidence bands of ± 1 standard error.

377

378

379