

Myostatin is expressed in bovine ovarian follicles and modulates granulosal and thecal steroidogenesis

Article

Accepted Version

Cheewasopit, W., Laird, M., Glister, C. and Knight, P. G. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0300-1554 (2018) Myostatin is expressed in bovine ovarian follicles and modulates granulosal and thecal steroidogenesis. Reproduction, 156 (4). pp. 375-386. ISSN 1741-7899 doi: https://doi.org/10.1530/REP-18-0114 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/79544/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1530/REP-18-0114

Publisher: Society for Reproduction and Fertility

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the End User Agreement.

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur



CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading Reading's research outputs online

1 Myostatin is expressed in bovine ovarian follicles and

modulates granulosal and thecal steroidogenesis 2 Warakorn Cheewasopit^{1,2}, Mhairi Laird¹, Claire Glister¹ and Phil G Knight¹ 3 ¹School of Biological Sciences, Hopkins Building, University of Reading, Whiteknights, 4 5 Reading RG6 6UB, UK ²WC is now at Department of Biology, Ramkhamhaeng University, Bangkapi, Bangkok, 6 7 Thailand 8 9 Correspondence: Phil G Knight, School of Biological Sciences, Hopkins Building, 10 University of Reading, Whiteknights, Reading RG6 6UB, UK Email: p.g.knight@reading.ac.uk 11 12 13 14 Short title: myostatin and ovarian steroidogenesis

Keywords: GDF8, estrogen, androgen, ovary, cow

Abstract

Myostatin plays a negative role in skeletal muscle growth regulation but its potential
role in the ovary has received little attention. Here, we first examined relative
expression of myostatin (MSTN), myostatin receptors (ACVR1B, ACVR2B and
TGFBR1) and binding protein, follistatin (FST), in granulosa (GC) and theca (TC) cells
of developing bovine follicles. Secondly, using primary GC and TC cultures, we
investigated whether myostatin affects steroidogenesis and cell number. Thirdly, effects
of gonadotropins and other intrafollicular factors on MSTN expression in GC and TC
were examined. MSTN, ACVR1B, TGFBR1, ACVR2B and FST mRNA was detected
in both GC and TC at all follicle stages. Immunohistochemistry confirmed follicular
expression of myostatin protein. Interestingly, MSTN mRNA expression was lowest in
GC of large estrogen-active follicles while GC FST expression was maximal at this
stage. In GC, myostatin increased basal CYP19A1 expression and estradiol secretion
whilst decreasing basal and FSH-induced HSD3B1 expression and progesterone
secretion and increasing cell number. Myostatin also reduced IGF-induced progesterone
secretion. FSH and dihydrotestosterone had no effect on granulosal MSTN expression
whilst insulin-like growth factor and tumour necrosis factor-alpha suppressed MSTN
level. In TC, myostatin suppressed basal and LH-stimulated androgen secretion in a
follistatin-reversible manner and increased cell number, without affecting progesterone
secretion. LH reduced thecal MSTN expression whilst BMP6 had no effect.
Collectively, results indicate that, in addition to being potentially responsive to muscle-
derived myostatin from the circulation, myostatin may have an intra-ovarian
autocrine/paracrine role to modulate thecal and granulosal steroidogenesis and cell
proliferation/survival.

41

Introduction

42	and intra-ovarian regulatory signals. Whilst pituitary gonadotrophins (FSH, LH) are the			
43	key endocrine signals driving follicle development, a complex array of locally-produced			
44	growth factors also contribute to the modulation of follicular somatic cell proliferation			
45	and differentiation, 'initial' and 'cyclic' follicle recruitment, steroidogenesis, dominant			
46	follicle selection and ovulation (Campbell et al. 2003, Webb et al. 2003). Prominent			
47	amongst these are various members of the transforming growth factor- β (TGF- β)			
48	superfamily including growth and differentiation factor-9 (GDF9), anti-mullerian			
49	hormone (AMH), inhibins, activins and several bone morphogenetic proteins (BMP)			
50	including BMP2, BMP4, BMP6 and BMP7 (Shimasaki et al. 2004, Knight & Glister			
51	2006). In the present study we examined the potential involvement of another TGF- β			
52	superfamily member, myostatin (also known as GDF8) in regulating ovarian follicle			
53	function.			
54	Myostatin is well-recognised for its negative autocrine/paracrine role in skeletal muscle			
55	development (Otto & Patel 2010, Schiaffino et al. 2013). Myostatin-null mice show a			
56	pronounced increase in muscle mass due to muscle fibre hyperplasia and hypertrophy			
57	(McPherron et al. 1997). Naturally occurring inactivating mutations in the myostatin			
58	gene are also evident in several species including bovine (Kambadur et al. 1997), ovine			
59	(Clop et al. 2006), canine (Mosher et al. 2007) and human (Schuelke et al. 2004) and			
60	these also display a phenotype of substantially increased muscle mass. Conversely,			
61	upregulation of myostatin is associated with pathological conditions characterised by			
62	muscle wasting, notably sarcopenia and cachexia arising from late-stage cancer, chronic			
63	kidney failure and congestive heart failure (Elkina et al. 2011, Elliott et al. 2012).			

Ovarian follicle development is dependent on the actions and interactions of systemic

64	Apart from skeletal muscle, myostatin has also been implicated in the regulation of
65	cardiomyocyte and adipocyte function (review: (Elliott et al. 2012)), Moreover,
66	investigations into the expression and potential functional role(s) of myostatin in
67	reproductive organs including the human ovary have recently been reported (Chang et
68	al. 2015, Fang et al. 2015, Chang et al. 2016a, Chang et al. 2016b).
69	Myostatin signals through the activin receptor type 2B (ACTR2B), forming a signalling
70	complex with ACVR1B (ALK4) and/or TGFBR1(ALK5) that activates an intracellular
71	Smad 2/3-dependent signal transduction pathway. Myostatin receptor activation can
72	also signal in a Smad-independent manner via activation of MAPK and inhibition of
73	Akt pathways (Rebbapragada et al. 2003). Binding of myostatin to its signalling
74	receptors is modulated by follistatin (Amthor et al. 2004). Follistatin was initially
75	identified as a secreted activin-binding protein but has since been shown to bind several
76	other TGF-β ligands including BMP-2,-4,-6 and -7 (Fainsod et al. 1997, Iemura et al.
77	1998, Glister et al. 2004). Follistatin-null mice show decreased muscle mass (Matzuk et
78	al. 1995) likely arising from diminished antagonism of myostatin signalling. Conversely
79	transgenic overexpression of follistatin promotes a hypermuscular phenotype
80	resembling that of myostatin-null mice (Lee & McPherron 2001).
81	Global microarray studies of the bovine ovary revealed that myostatin mRNA is
82	expressed in follicular granulosa (Skinner et al. 2008, Glister et al. 2014, Hatzirodos et
83	al. 2014b) and theca cells (Glister et al. 2013, Hatzirodos et al. 2014a) although studies
84	to confirm expression and explore the potential functional role(s) of myostatin in the
85	bovine ovary have not been reported. Myostatin mRNA expression has also been
86	documented in human reproductive tissues including ovary (Chang et al. 2015),
87	myometrium (Islam et al. 2014) and trophoblast (Peiris et al. 2014) and recent evidence

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

from studies on luteinized granulosa cells supports various functional roles. For instance, treatment of human granulosa-lutein cells with myostatin down-regulated expression of steroidogenic acute regulatory protein (STAR) and reduced progesterone secretion, whilst increasing cytochrome P450 aromatase (CYP19A1) expression, FSHR expression and estradiol secretion (Chang et al. 2015, Fang et al. 2015, Chang et al. 2016a). An anti-proliferative effect of myostatin on human granulosa-lutein cells was also reported (Chang et al. 2016b). To our knowledge, there have been no reports on effects of myostatin on non-luteinized granulosa cells, nor on theca cells from any species. Given the paucity of information on the ovarian expression and possible intraovarian role(s) of myostatin, particularly in relation to actions on non-luteinized follicular cells, the aims of the present study were to: (1) examine mRNA expression profiles for myostatin, its signalling receptors and binding protein (follistatin; FST) in granulosa (GC) and theca (TC) cells across different stages of bovine antral follicle development; (2) use non-luteinized bovine GC and TC culture models to investigate whether myostatin affects steroid production; (3) determine whether the effect of myostatin can be attenuated by follistatin; (4) investigate whether thecal and granulosal expression of myostatin mRNA is modulated by gonadotropins and several intrafollicular factors implicated in the regulation of follicular steroidogenesis.

Materials and Methods

109	Relative expression of myostatin, follistatin and myostatin receptor mRNAs in
110	developing bovine antral follicles.
111	Relative mRNA expression for myostatin (MSTN), myostatin receptors (ACVR2B,
112	ACVR1B and TGFBR1) and follistatin (FST) in theca and granulosa layers from
113	bovine antral follicles was determined using RT-qPCR. Ovaries from randomly cycling
114	cattle were obtained from an abattoir (Anglo Beef Processors, Guildford, UK) and
115	selected for follicle dissection as described previously (Glister et al 2001; 2004; 2010).
116	Briefly, antral follicles of diameter 3-18mm were dissected out and sorted by size into
117	small (3-6mm; $n = 30$), medium (7-10mm; $n = 43$) and large (11-18mm; $n = 37$)
118	categories. For each follicle GC and TC layers were retrieved for RNA extraction and
119	follicular fluid recovered for steroid hormone analysis. Follicles in the large (11-18mm)
120	category were subdivided retrospectively into large estrogen-active (LEA; E:P ratio >1)
121	and large estrogen-inactive (LEI; E:P ratio <1) categories according to their
122	intrafollicular ratio of estrogen to progesterone (E:P ratio). Isolated GC and TC were
123	homogenised in 0.5ml of Tri reagent (Sigma UK Ltd, Poole) and stored at -80°C for
124	subsequent RNA purification. The number of GC and TC RNA extracts recruited to the
125	study ($n = 82$ GC samples; $n = 87$ TC samples; see fig. 1 for n-values for individual
126	follicle categories) was lower than the number of extracts processed because samples
127	indicating >5% GC/TC cross contamination were rejected during an initial quality
128	control screen. This involved a RT-qPCR-based comparison of relative transcript
129	abundance of four GC/TC-specific 'marker' transcripts (FSHR and CYP19A1 for GC,
130	CYP17A1 and INSL3 for TC) each normalized to β -actin transcript abundance (data not
131	shown).

132	Primary granulosa and theca cell culture models
133	Ovaries from randomly cycling cattle were collected from a local abattoir. As described
134	previously (Glister et al. 2001, Glister et al. 2005) GC and TC were isolated from 4-
135	6mm diameter follicles, plated out in either 96-well (75,000 cells/well; for steroid
136	secretion experiments) or 24-well (250,000 cells/well; for RNA extraction experiments)
137	plates and cultured for 7 days. To preserve a non-luteinized cellular phenotype
138	(Gutierrez et al. 1997, Campbell et al. 1998, Glister et al. 2001, Glister et al. 2005,
139	Sahmi et al. 2006) chemically-defined serum-free media was used throughout the
140	culture period. This consisted of McCoy's 5A modified medium supplemented with 1%
141	(v/v) antibiotic-antimycotic solution, 10 ng/ml bovine insulin, 2 mM L-glutamine, 10
142	mM Hepes, 5 μ g/ml apotransferrin, 5 ng/ml sodium selenite, 0.1% BSA. In the case of
143	GC cultures, media was also supplemented with 10 ⁻⁷ M androstenedione as aromatase
144	substrate (all media and supplements were purchased from Sigma). Media were
145	replenished and treatments added on days 2 and 4 (see below). Cultures were terminated
146	on day 7 when conditioned media were retained for hormone assays and viable cell
147	number was determined by neutral red uptake assay as described elsewhere (Glister et
148	al. 2001)
149	Effects of myostatin on granulosal and thecal steroid secretion and viable cell
150	number
151	Recombinant human myostatin (R&D Systems; 94% amino acid sequence homology
152	with bovine myostatin) was added to wells to give final concentrations of 0.08, 0.4, 2,
153	10, 50 and 100ng/ml in the presence and absence of gonadotropin (FSH or LH). Highly
154	purified ovine FSH (oFSH 19SIAPP) and LH (oLH-S-16) were provided by the NHPP
155	(Torrance, CA, USA). In GC cultures, FSH was used at a final concentration of 0.3

ng/ml, shown previously to elicit optimal estradiol secretion (Glister et al. 2001, Glister et al. 2004). GC were also treated with myostatin (100ng/ml) in the presence and absence of LR3 IGF-1 analogue (Sigma; 10 and 50 ng/ml) since IGF-1 is also a potent stimulator of estradiol secretion (Gutierrez et al. 1997, Glister et al. 2001). In the case of TC cultures, LH was used at a final concentration of 150 pg/ml, shown previously to elicit maximal androstenedione secretion (Glister et al. 2005). Control wells received an equivalent volume of culture medium as vehicle. Can follistatin neutralize the effect of myostatin on thecal androstenedione secretion? To examine whether follistatin can neutralize the suppressive effects of myostatin on thecal androgen secretion, TC were treated with myostatin (100ng/ml) in the presence/absence of recombinant human follistatin-288 (R&D systems; 96% amino acid sequence homology with bovine follistatin) at 0.25 and 1.25µg/ml. These concentrations were shown previously to reverse the effects of 50 ng/ml activin and BMP6 on bovine GC (Glister et al. 2004). Effect of myostatin on granulosal expression of steroidogenic pathway components To evaluate the effects of myostatin on expression of key transcripts involved in steroidogenesis (CYP11A1, HSD3B1, CYP19A1, FSHR) GC were cultured in 24-well plates (250,000 cells/well) and exposed to fixed concentrations of myostatin (100

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

extraction and RT-qPCR analysis.

ng/ml) in the presence and absence of an optimal concentration of FSH (300 pg/ml). At

the end of culture, media were removed and cell lysates were prepared for total RNA

201

179 180 Do gonadotropins and other factors modulate MSTN expression by cultured GC and 181 TC? 182 GC (n> 4 independent batches of cells) plated out in 24-well plates were cultured in the 183 presence/absence of FSH (300 pg/ml) and several other intrafollicular factors shown 184 previously to modulate steroidogenesis at the concentrations used here, including LR3 185 IGF-1 analogue at 10 ng/ml (Glister et al. 2001), TNFα at 10 ng/ml (Glister et al. 2014) 186 and DHT at 100nM (Wu et al. 2011, Hasegawa et al. 2017). RNA was harvested at the 187 end of culture for evaluation of relative gene expression by RT-qPCR. TC (n=9 188 independent batches of cells) plated out in 96-well plates were treated with LH (150 189 pg/ml) in the presence/absence of BMP6 (10 ng/ml) shown previously to suppress 190 thecal androgen production (Glister et al. 2005, Glister et al. 2013). 191 192 RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis and real-time PCR 193 Total RNA was isolated using Tri-reagent as described previously (Glister et al. 2010). 194 cDNA was synthesized from 1µg of RNA using the AB High Capacity cDNA synthesis 195 kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific; used according to manufacturers protocol) in a 20µl 196 reaction primed with random hexamers. PCR primers (see table 1) were designed using 197 Primer-BLAST' (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast) with BLAST 198 specificity checking against all known bovine (Bos taurus) transcripts to exclude 199 potential amplification of off-target sequences. Primer pairs were also validated using

agarose gel electrophoresis to demonstrate amplification of a single product of the

predicted size. Melt curve analyses was included in each PCR assay to confirm the

amplification of a single product in each sample. cDNA template log-dilution curves were used to demonstrate satisfactory PCR efficiency and linearity. PCR assays were carried out in a volume of 14ul containing 5ul cDNA template, 1ul each forward and reverse primers (final concentration 0.36μM) and 7μl QuantiTect SYBR Green QPCR 2x Master Mix (Qiagen, Crawley, W. Sussex, UK). Samples were processed on a StepOne Plus thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems) with cycling conditions: 15min at 95°C (one cycle only) followed by 15s at 95°C and 1min at 60°C for 40 cycles. The ΔΔCt method (Livak & Schmittgen 2001) was used to compare the relative abundance of each mRNA transcript. Ct values for each transcript in a given sample were first normalized to the corresponding β-actin Ct value (i.e. ΔCt value). In the case of theca and granulosa tissue samples ΔCt values for each transcript in a given sample were then normalized to the mean ΔCt value for that transcript in all tissue samples. Resultant $\Delta\Delta$ Ct values were converted to fold-differences using the formula: fold-difference = 2 (- $\Delta\Delta Ct$). In the case of cell culture experiments ΔCt values were normalized to the corresponding ΔCt value for vehicle-treated control cells. $\Delta \Delta Ct$ values were then converted to fold-differences using the formula: fold-difference = $2^{(-\Delta\Delta Ct)}$.

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

Steroid hormone assays

Steroid concentrations were determined by competitive ELISA as described previously (Glister *et al.* 2010, Glister *et al.* 2013, Glister *et al.* 2014). The progesterone assay had a detection limit of 20pg/ml and intra- and inter-assay CVs were 8% and 10% respectively. The androstenedione ELISA had a detection limit of 30 pg/ml and intra- and inter-assay CVs were 7% and 10% respectively. The estradiol ELISA had a detection limit of 15 pg/ml and intra- and inter-assay CVs were 6% and 9% respectively.

Immunohistochemistry

Bovine ovaries were dissected into segments and fixed in formalin for 48 hours, before being dehydrated through an alcohol series, embedded in wax and sectioned (5µm) onto Superfrost charged slides (VWR, Lutterworth, UK). Sections were dewaxed and rehydrated prior to boiling in citrate buffer (10mM citric acid, pH6.0), blocking of endogenous peroxidase (3% H₂0₂ in methanol) and blocking of nonspecific binding with 20% normal goat serum (NGS, Vector Laboratories Ltd, Peterborough, UK). After this, sections were incubated overnight at 4°C in rabbit antibody against GDF8 (1:200; sec-28910, Santa Cruz) diluted in 2% NGS. Control sections were incubated with normal rabbit serum (1:200) diluted in 2% NGS. Primary antibody binding was detected using biotinylated goat anti-rabbit diluted 1:250 in 2% NGS and Vector Elite ABC reagents (Vector), prepared as per manufacturers instructions. Visualization of bound antibodies was achieved using 3,3'-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB; Vector), prior to slides being counterstained with haematoxylin, dehydrated through an alcohol series and mounted with coverslips using DPX mounting medium. Sections were imaged using a Zeiss Axioscop 2 microscope and AxioCam digital camera.

Statistical analysis

Steroid concentrations were log-transformed prior to statistical analysis to reduce heterogeneity of variance. RT-qPCR data were analysed as $\Delta\Delta$ Ct values (i.e. \log_2 values) before conversion to fold-difference values for graphical presentation of relative transcript abundance. ACTB was used as the normalization control and showed uniform

249 expression level across experimental groups being compared. Results were evaluated 250 using one- and/or two-way ANOVA and, where indicated, post-hoc pairwise 251 comparisons were made using Fisher's protected least significant difference (PLSD) test. 252 Results of cell culture experiments are based on a minimum of three replicate 253 experiments using independent batches of cells (see figure legends for numbers of 254 replicates) 255 Results 256 257 258 Relative expression of myostatin, follistatin and myostatin receptors in theca and 259 granulosa layers 260 Myostatin 261 MSTN mRNA expression was found in both TC and GC of all antral follicles examined 262 and overall expression level was higher in TC than GC (Figure 1A). Interestingly, while 263 MSTN expression level in TC was uniform across antral follicle development, 264 expression in GC fell ~15-fold to a nadir in large estrogen active (LEA) follicles. 265 However, a higher expression level was maintained in GC of large estrogen inactive 266 (LEI) follicle. (Fig. 1A). Immunohistochemistry confirmed myostatin protein 267 expression in both TC and GC of antral follicles (Fig. 2). In addition myostatin 268 immunoreactivity was evident in preantral follicles and in vascular smooth muscle cells. 269 Both oocytes and granulosa cells of primordial, primary and secondary follicles 270 exhibited positive immunostaining for myostatin (Fig 2)

271	Follistatin
272	FST mRNA expression was found in both TC and GC at all stages of follicle
273	development examined with much higher expression levels in GC than TC (Fig. 1B).
274	Interestingly, the expression of FST in GC sharply increased in LEA follicles but
275	remained low in LEI follicles; this was opposite to what was observed for MSTN.
276	Myostatin receptors (ACVR2B, ACVR1B and TGFBR1)
277	ACVR1B, TGFBR1 and ACVR2B mRNA expression was found in both TC and GC at
278	all stages of follicle development examined. The expression of ACVR2B and ACVR1B
279	was generally higher in GC than TC while TGFBR1 expression levels were broadly
280	similar in the two cell types. No notable changes in cell-specific patterns of expression
281	of these receptors between each stages of follicle development were evident (Fig.
282	1C,D,E respectively).
283	
284	Effect of myostatin on basal and FSH-induced steroid secretion by GC
285	Myostatin promoted a marked increase in basal estradiol secretion by cultured GC (~12
286	fold; P<0.0001; Fig. 3A) but did not modulate the >30-fold increase in estradiol
287	secretion elicited by FSH. Myostatin suppressed both basal (P<0.01) and FSH-induced
288	(P<0.001) progesterone secretion (Fig. 3B). In addition, myostatin promoted a modest
289	though significant increase in cell number under basal conditions (~20% increase;
290	P<0.001), but not under FSH-stimulated conditions (Fig. 3C).
291	
292	Effects of myostatin on GC expression of steroidogenesis-related transcripts

293	The stimulatory action of myostatin on basal estradiol secretion was accompanied by a
294	~10-fold increase in CYP19A1 expression level (P<0.05; Fig. 3D). Concomitantly, a
295	reduction in CYP11A1 and HSD3B1 expression level was observed (P<0. 05; Fig. 3EF)
296	that mirrored the myostatin-induced decrease in progesterone secretion. Myostatin did
297	not affect FSHR expression (data not shown).
298	
299	Effect of myostatin on basal and IGF1-induced secretion of estradiol and
300	progesterone by GC
301	Fig.4 confirms the stimulatory effect of myostatin treatment (100 ng/ml) on basal
302	estradiol secretion by GC. However, myostatin did not modulate the stimulatory effect
303	of the LR3-IGF1 analogue on estradiol secretion or viable cell number. Myostatin
304	reduced both basal and IGF-induced progesterone secretion (P<0.05) but did not modify
305	the IGF-induced increase in viable cell number.
306	
307	Effects of FSH, LR3 IGF-1, TNF α and DHT on expression of MSTN mRNA by
308	cultured GC
309	Fig. 5 shows that treatment of cultured GC with FSH elicited a ~50-fold upregulation of
310	CYP19A1 expression (p<0.05) and estradiol secretion but did not affect MSTN
311	expression. Treatment with IGF-1 analogue also promoted a marked increase in
312	CYP19A1 expression (~10-fold; P<0.05) and estradiol secretion that was accompanied
313	by a 60% reduction in MSTN expression (P<0.05). Treatment with TNF α had no effect
314	on basal CYP19A1 expression but abolished FSH-induced upregulation of CYP19A1

315	expression and estradiol secretion. TNF α suppressed MSTN expression by ${\sim}80\%$
316	(P<0.05) under both basal and FSH-stimulated conditions. Treatment with DHT did not
317	affect expression of either MSTN or CYP19A1.
318	Effects of myostatin on thecal steroid secretion and viable cell number
319	Myostatin suppressed androstenedione secretion in a dose-dependent manner (P<0.001)
320	with an IC $_{50}$ of $\sim \! 10$ ng/ml under LH-stimulated conditions (Fig. 6A). No effect of
321	myostatin on progesterone secretion was observed (Fig. 6B). Viable cell number was
322	increased (~25%; P<0.0001) by myostatin under both basal and LH-stimulated
323	conditions (Fig. 6C). LH increased both androstenedione and progesterone secretion but
324	did not affect viable cell number.
325	Can follistatin neutralize the effect of myostatin on androstenedione secretion?
326	Treatment of cells with myostatin alone decreased and rostenedione secretion by $\sim\!\!80\%$
327	(P<0.000; Fig. 7). Co-treatment with follistatin partially reversed this inhibitory action
328	(P<0.001). Treatment with follistatin alone tended to increase androstenedione secretion
329	but the effect was not statistically significant.
330	
331	Effects of LH and BMP6 on MSTN mRNA expression by cultured TC
332	Fig. 8 shows that treatment of cultured TC with LH elicited a 4-fold increase in
333	CYP17A1 expression and androstenedione secretion that was accompanied by a 40%
334	suppression of MSTN expression (p<0.05). Treatment with BMP6 profoundly
335	suppressed basal and LH-induced CYP17A1 expression and androstenedione secretion.
336	Whilst BMP6 alone did not affect MSTN expression, it reversed the suppressive effect
337	of LH on MSTN expression.

Discussion

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

339

In this study, we first provide novel information on the spatio-temporal pattern of mRNA expression of myostatin, its signalling receptors and the binding protein (FST), at different stages of bovine antral follicles development. Expression of mRNA for MSTN and its receptors was found in both GC and TC at all antral follicle stages examined, consistent with and extending previous evidence from global microarray studies (Skinner et al. 2008, Glister et al. 2013, Glister et al. 2014, Hatzirodos et al. 2014a, Hatzirodos et al. 2014b). Immunohistochemistry confirmed corresponding expression of myostatin protein in follicular granulosa and theca interna layers of antral follicles. Moreover, myostatin immunoreactivity was observed at earlier follicle stages than those we analysed for mRNA expression, with positive staining in both oocytes and GC of primordial, primary and secondary follicles and both GC and TC of late preantral and early antral follicles. The inverse mRNA expression pattern of MSTN and FST we observed in GC of large estrogen-active follicles is of interest since follistatin is known to bind to and inhibit myostatin signaling (Lee & McPherron 2001, Amthor et al. 2004), a finding confirmed in this study by its ability to attenuate the effect of myostatin on the cal androgen production. These results suggest, therefore, that GC-derived myostatin and follistatin interact to regulate ovarian follicle physiology. In particular, these observations suggest that autocrine/paracrine signalling by GC-derived myostatin is attenuated in large healthy follicles (i.e. low myostatin/high follistatin), such as those reaching the preovulatory stage of development. By contrast, at earlier antral follicle stages (i.e. high myostatin/low follistatin), myostatin signalling via a Smad 2/3

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

dependent pathway may contribute to the suppression of thecal androgen production whilst upregulating granulosal estradiol production and down-regulating progesterone production. Thus, myostatin appears to act to prevent/delay premature follicle maturation and luteinisation in a similar manner to that suggested previously for activins and BMPs (Findlay et al. 2002, Knight & Glister 2006), both of which can attenuate thecal androgen production, enhance granulosal estrogen output whilst suppressing granulosal progesterone output. The present results from experiments on non-luteinized ovarian cell models clearly support the above with myostatin suppressing androgen secretion by theca cells. In the case of granulosa cells, myostatin enhanced basal CYP19A1 expression and estradiol secretion whilst suppressing CYP11A1 and HSD3B1 expression and secretion of progesterone. In addition, treatment of human granulosa-lutein cells with myostatin was recently reported to enhance FSH-induced upregulation of aromatase/estradiol production, while inhibiting LH-induced upregulation of StAR/progesterone production (Chang et al. 2016a). Moreover, the present study found that myostatin increased viable cell number in both TC and GC cultures suggesting a positive effect on cell proliferation and/or survival. This finding contrasts with a report that myostatin reduces proliferation of human granulosa-lutein cells, evidently by upregulating connective tissue growth factor expression (Chang et al. 2016b). The reason for this discrepancy is not known but may reflect the effect of luteinisation, or a species difference. An intrafollicular IGF system is firmly implicated in the autocrine/paracrine regulation of follicle development, steroidogenesis and dominant follicle selection (Campbell et al. 1995, Glister et al. 2001, Silva & Price 2002, Webb et al. 2003). Like FSH, IGF-1 can upregulate granulosal estradiol secretion; moreover, IGF-1 can augment follicular

responsiveness to FSH, providing a potential mechanism for selecting the dominant follicle from the cyclically-recruited growing cohort (Campbell et al. 1995, Webb et al. 2003). It was therefore pertinent to investigate whether myostatin affected the GC response to IGF-1 treatment. Although the results showed no effect on IGF-induced estradiol production or cell number, myostatin increased basal estradiol production and cell number whilst reducing basal and IGF-induced progesterone production. As such, these observations further support the notion that myostatin has a role to delay premature follicle maturation and luteinisation. Whilst circulating or intrafollicular concentrations of myostatin in cattle have not been reported to our knowledge, serum concentrations of 10-20 ng/ml in cynomolgus monkey and human, ~24 ng/ml in rat and ~80 ng/ml in mouse have been documented (Furihata et al. 2016, Hedayati et al. 2016, Palandra et al. 2016). A myostatin concentration of ~3 ng/ml has been reported for human follicular fluid (Chen et al. 2012). Since myostatin suppressed thecal androgen production and granulosal progesterone production in vitro with an IC50 value of ~10 ng/ml, it seems plausible that levels reaching the well-vascularized theca interna from peripheral blood could be sufficient to exert a regulatory action, regardless of the additional 'local' contribution (perhaps considerable?) of TC and/or GC-derived myostatin. On the other hand, given the greater diffusional barrier needed to reach the avascular granulosal layer, combined with the somewhat higher myostatin concentration (~50 ng/ml) needed to upregulate GC estradiol production, it is possible that GC are primarily responsive to locally produced myostatin acting in an autocrine/paracrine manner. The establishment of a bovine myostatin assay to allow comparison of endogenous concentrations in peripheral

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

409 blood and ovarian follicular fluid of cattle in different physiological states and in 410 follicles at different stages of development, would be useful in this regard. 411 As a first step towards investigating which endocrine and local paracrine and/or 412 autocrine signals regulate myostatin expression in bovine ovarian follicles, we found 413 that an LH-induced increase in thecal CYP17A1 expression and androstenedione 414 secretion was accompanied by reduced MSTN expression level, consistent with a 415 negative autocrine/paracrine action of myostatin on thecal androgen production, and 416 with in the findings of our myostatin dose-response study. Indeed, it is possible that the 417 stimulatory action of LH on thecal androgen production could be due, in part, to LH-418 induced suppression of myostatin expression. The finding of a reduced MSTN mRNA 419 abundance in TC producing more androgen could reflect increased androgen receptor-420 mediated signalling since raised androgen levels are also associated with decreased 421 MSTN expression in rat skeletal muscle tissue (Mendler et al. 2007). However, another 422 intraovarian growth factor, BMP6, shown here and elsewhere (Glister et al. 2005, 423 Glister et al. 2013) to greatly reduce thecal CYP17A1 expression and androstenedione 424 secretion, did not affect thecal MSTN expression, casting doubt on androgen having a 425 direct effect. Furthermore, treatment of cultured GC with the potent non-aromatisable androgen DHT had no effect on MSTN expression, suggesting an absence of androgen 426 427 receptor-dependent regulation of granulosal MSTN expression. Consistent with 428 previous findings (Gutierrez et al. 1997, Glister et al. 2001) treatment of GC with FSH 429 and IGF analogue both promoted substantial increases in estradiol secretion but only 430 IGF analogue modulated MSTN expression, eliciting a ~60% reduction. This suggests 431 a possible interaction between IGF and myostatin signalling at the intrafollicular level 432 that warrants further investigation. In skeletal muscle IGF-1 is a prominent positive

regulator of muscle cell proliferation and differentiation whilst myostatin opposes this action (Valdes et al. 2013). Despite this, IGF signalling upregulates myostatin expression in skeletal muscle tissue models, suggesting an inhibitory auto-regulatory loop (Yang et al. 2007, Kurokawa et al. 2009, Valdes et al. 2013). The pro-inflammatory cytokine, $TNF\alpha$, is also expressed at the intraovarian level and is implicated in the regulation of follicle and luteal growth/regression and steroidogenesis (Sheldon et al. 2014, Samir et al. 2017). Consistent with earlier findings (Glister et al. 2014) we showed that TNFα abolished FSH-induced upregulation of CYP19A1 and estradiol secretion by GC. This was accompanied by a marked reduction in MSTN expression reinforcing the view that myostatin has a positive role in granulosal estrogen production. In skeletal muscle models, activation of the TNFα pathway suppresses myogenesis but upregulates myostatin expression (Ono & Sakamoto 2017). Moreover, IGF can reverse the TNF-α induced suppression of myogenesis (Zhao *et al.* 2015) indicating interactions between positive (IGF1) and negative (myostatin, TNF- α) regulators of myogenesis. Further studies are needed to decipher the regulatory signals that contribute to the regulation of myostatin expression by ovarian follicular cells and to place these in a physiological context. With respect to myostatin-null mice, there are few, if any, references to their ovarian phenotype and the potential impact of the mutation on gonadal function and fertility is unknown to us. However, an in vivo study involving active immunization of female mice against myostatin, showed that the number of developing ovarian follicles in their female progeny was ~50% lower than that of control mice, with a similar diminution in litter size (Liang et al. 2007). Double-muscled cattle with myostatin mutations, reportedly show delayed puberty, reduced female fertility and a higher incidence of

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

dystocia and perinatal calf mortality/morbidity is associated with the large size of calves (McPherron & Lee 1997). However, we are not aware of any studies examining whether perturbations in ovarian follicle dynamics or steroidogenesis occur in double-muscled cattle. Whilst information is currently lacking on the above, it is possible that the physiological actions of myostatin in the ovary are functionally redundant owing to compensatory effects of other TGF-β ligands (e.g activins) that can signal via the same, or overlapping, receptors to elicit similar regulatory actions on theca and granulosa cells. In summary, this study provides novel information on the expression of myostatin, its signalling receptors and the binding protein, follistatin, in theca and granulosa cells of developing bovine antral follicles. Myostatin expression in GC declined to a very low level in large estrogen-active follicles in which expression of follistatin was maximal, suggesting attenuation of GC-derived myostatin signalling at this stage. Since myostatin suppressed thecal androgen production in a dose-dependent manner, an effect partially rescued by follistatin, it is hypothesised that attenuation of myostatin signalling in large antral follicles could facilitate thecal androgen production required as a substrate for granulosal aromatase enzyme and estrogen synthesis. Paradoxically, however, myostatin was found to promote CYP19A1 expression and estradiol production by granulosa cells under 'basal' conditions whilst suppressing CYP11A1 and HSD3B1 expression and progesterone production (see Fig. 9). Taken together, this suggests a role for myostatin in delaying follicle progression towards pre-ovulatory maturation and luteinisation, in a manner similar to that suggested for granulosa-derived activin (Findlay et al. 2002, Knight & Glister 2006). Further in-depth studies in other species, including whole animal models, are required to confirm and extend these in vitro observations based on bovine ovarian cell culture models. It is also speculated that

481	muscle-derived myostatin conveyed to the ovary via the systemic circulation may
482	contribute to the regulation of follicle function. In a similar manner, testicular
483	steroidogenesis and gametogenesis may be influenced by circulating and/or locally-
484	produced myostatin although we are not aware of any studies, to date, examining this
485	possibility.
486	
487	Declaration of interests
488	The authors declare that there is no perceived conflict of interest that would prejudice
489	the impartiality of this scientific work
490	Funding
491	Supported by BBSRC (grant number BB/M001369 to PGK). WC was supported by a
492	postgraduate scholarship from the Thai Ministry of Science and Technology
493	Acknowledgements
494	We thank D Butlin and AD Simmonds for skilled technical assistance.
495	
496	References
497	
498	Amthor H, Nicholas G, McKinnell I, Kemp CF, Sharma M, Kambadur R & Patel
499 500	K 2004 Follistatin complexes Myostatin and antagonises Myostatin-
500 501	mediated inhibition of myogenesis. <i>Dev Biol</i> 270 19-30.
501	Campbell BK, Scaramuzzi RJ & Webb R 1995 Control of antral follicle
502 503	development and selection in sheep and cattle. <i>J Reprod Fertil Suppl</i> 49 335-350.
JUJ	JJV.

- **Campbell BK, Baird DT & Webb R** 1998 Effects of dose of LH on androgen 505 production and luteinization of ovine theca cells cultured in a serum-free 506 system. *J Reprod Fertil* **112** 69-77.
 - Campbell BK, Souza C, Gong J, Webb R, Kendall N, Marsters P, Robinson G, Mitchell A, Telfer EE & Baird DT 2003 Domestic ruminants as models for the elucidation of the mechanisms controlling ovarian follicle development in humans. *Reprod Suppl* 61 429-443.
 - **Chang HM, Fang L, Cheng JC, Klausen C, Sun YP & Leung PC** 2015 Growth differentiation factor 8 down-regulates pentraxin 3 in human granulosa cells. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* **404** 82-90.
 - **Chang HM, Fang L, Cheng JC, Taylor EL, Sun YP & Leung PC** 2016a Effects of growth differentiation factor 8 on steroidogenesis in human granulosalutein cells. *Fertil Steril* **105** 520-528.
 - **Chang HM, Pan HH, Cheng JC, Zhu YM & Leung PCK** 2016b Growth differentiation factor 8 suppresses cell proliferation by up-regulating CTGF expression in human granulosa cells. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* **422** 9-17.
 - **Chen MJ, Han DS, Yang JH, Yang YS, Ho HN & Yang WS** 2012 Myostatin and its association with abdominal obesity, androgen and follistatin levels in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Hum Reprod* **27** 2476-2483.
 - Clop A, Marcq F, Takeda H, Pirottin D, Tordoir X, Bibe B, Bouix J, Caiment F, Elsen JM, Eychenne F et al. 2006 A mutation creating a potential illegitimate microRNA target site in the myostatin gene affects muscularity in sheep. *Nat Genet* **38** 813-818.
 - **Elkina Y, von Haehling S, Anker SD & Springer J** 2011 The role of myostatin in muscle wasting: an overview. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* **2** 143-151.
 - **Elliott B, Renshaw D, Getting S & Mackenzie R** 2012 The central role of myostatin in skeletal muscle and whole body homeostasis. *Acta Physiol* (Oxf) **205** 324-340.
 - **Fainsod A, Deissler K, Yelin R, Marom K, Epstein M, Pillemer G, Steinbeisser H** & Blum M 1997 The dorsalizing and neural inducing gene follistatin is an antagonist of BMP-4. *Mech Dev* **63** 39-50.
 - Fang L, Chang HM, Cheng JC, Yu Y, Leung PC & Sun YP 2015 Growth Differentiation Factor-8 Decreases StAR Expression Through ALK5-Mediated Smad3 and ERK1/2 Signaling Pathways in Luteinized Human Granulosa Cells. *Endocrinology* **156** 4684-4694.
 - Findlay JK, Drummond AE, Dyson ML, Baillie AJ, Robertson DM & Ethier JF 2002 Recruitment and development of the follicle; the roles of the transforming growth factor-beta superfamily. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* **191** 35-43.
 - Furihata T, Kinugawa S, Fukushima A, Takada S, Homma T, Masaki Y, Abe T, Yokota T, Oba K, Okita K et al. 2016 Serum myostatin levels are independently associated with skeletal muscle wasting in patients with heart failure. *Int J Cardiol* **220** 483-487.
- Glister C, Tannetta DS, Groome NP & Knight PG 2001 Interactions between
 follicle-stimulating hormone and growth factors in modulating secretion of
 steroids and inhibin-related peptides by nonluteinized bovine granulosa
 cells. *Biol Reprod* 65 1020-1028.

Glister C, Kemp CF & Knight PG 2004 Bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) ligands and receptors in bovine ovarian follicle cells: actions of BMP-4, -6 and -7 on granulosa cells and differential modulation of Smad-1 phosphorylation by follistatin. *Reproduction* **127** 239-254.

- **Glister C, Richards SL & Knight PG** 2005 Bone morphogenetic proteins (BMP) -4, -6, and -7 potently suppress basal and luteinizing hormone-induced androgen production by bovine theca interna cells in primary culture: could ovarian hyperandrogenic dysfunction be caused by a defect in thecal BMP signaling? *Endocrinology* **146** 1883-1892.
- **Glister C, Satchell L & Knight PG** 2010 Changes in expression of bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs), their receptors and inhibin co-receptor betaglycan during bovine antral follicle development: inhibin can antagonize the suppressive effect of BMPs on thecal androgen production. *Reproduction* **140** 699-712.
- Glister C, Satchell L, Bathgate RA, Wade JD, Dai Y, Ivell R, Anand-Ivell R, Rodgers RJ & Knight PG 2013 Functional link between bone morphogenetic proteins and insulin-like peptide 3 signaling in modulating ovarian androgen production. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110** E1426-1435.
- **Glister C, Hatzirodos N, Hummitzsch K, Knight PG & Rodgers RJ** 2014 The global effect of follicle-stimulating hormone and tumour necrosis factor alpha on gene expression in cultured bovine ovarian granulosa cells. *BMC Genomics* **15** 72.
- **Gutierrez CG, Campbell BK & Webb R** 1997 Development of a long-term bovine granulosa cell culture system: induction and maintenance of estradiol production, response to follicle-stimulating hormone, and morphological characteristics. *Biol Reprod* **56** 608-616.
- **Hasegawa T, Kamada Y, Hosoya T, Fujita S, Nishiyama Y, Iwata N, Hiramatsu Y & Otsuka F** 2017 A regulatory role of androgen in ovarian steroidogenesis by rat granulosa cells. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* **172** 160-165.
- Hatzirodos N, Hummitzsch K, Irving-Rodgers HF & Rodgers RJ 2014a Transcriptome profiling of the theca interna in transition from small to large antral ovarian follicles. *PLoS One* **9** e97489.
- Hatzirodos N, Irving-Rodgers HF, Hummitzsch K, Harland ML, Morris SE & Rodgers RJ 2014b Transcriptome profiling of granulosa cells of bovine ovarian follicles during growth from small to large antral sizes. *BMC Genomics* 15 24.
- **Hedayati M, Nozhat Z & Hannani M** 2016 Can the Serum Level of Myostatin be Considered as an Informative Factor for Cachexia Prevention in Patients with Medullary Thyroid Cancer? *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* **17** 119-123.
- **Iemura S, Yamamoto TS, Takagi C, Uchiyama H, Natsume T, Shimasaki S, Sugino H & Ueno N** 1998 Direct binding of follistatin to a complex of bonemorphogenetic protein and its receptor inhibits ventral and epidermal cell
 fates in early Xenopus embryo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **95** 9337-9342.
- Islam MS, Catherino WH, Protic O, Janjusevic M, Gray PC, Giannubilo SR, Ciavattini A, Lamanna P, Tranquilli AL, Petraglia F et al. 2014 Role of activin-A and myostatin and their signaling pathway in human myometrial and leiomyoma cell function. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 99 E775-785.

- Kambadur R, Sharma M, Smith TP & Bass JJ 1997 Mutations in myostatin
 (GDF8) in double-muscled Belgian Blue and Piedmontese cattle. *Genome Res*7 910-916.
 - **Knight PG & Glister C** 2006 TGF-beta superfamily members and ovarian follicle development. *Reproduction* **132** 191-206.
 - **Kurokawa M, Sato F, Aramaki S, Soh T, Yamauchi N & Hattori MA** 2009 Monitor of the myostatin autocrine action during differentiation of embryonic chicken myoblasts into myotubes: effect of IGF-I. *Mol Cell Biochem* **331** 193-199.
 - **Lee SJ & McPherron AC** 2001 Regulation of myostatin activity and muscle growth. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **98** 9306-9311.
 - **Liang YC, Yeh JY & Ou BR** 2007 Effect of maternal myostatin antibody on offspring growth performance and body composition in mice. *J Exp Biol* **210** 477-483.
 - **Livak KJ & Schmittgen TD** 2001 Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. *Methods* **25** 402-408.
 - Matzuk MM, Lu N, Vogel H, Sellheyer K, Roop DR & Bradley A 1995 Multiple defects and perinatal death in mice deficient in follistatin. *Nature* **374** 360-363.
 - **McPherron AC, Lawler AM & Lee SJ** 1997 Regulation of skeletal muscle mass in mice by a new TGF-beta superfamily member. *Nature* **387** 83-90.
 - **McPherron AC & Lee SJ** 1997 Double muscling in cattle due to mutations in the myostatin gene. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **94** 12457-12461.
 - **Mendler L, Baka Z, Kovacs-Simon A & Dux L** 2007 Androgens negatively regulate myostatin expression in an androgen-dependent skeletal muscle. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **361** 237-242.
 - Mosher DS, Quignon P, Bustamante CD, Sutter NB, Mellersh CS, Parker HG & Ostrander EA 2007 A mutation in the myostatin gene increases muscle mass and enhances racing performance in heterozygote dogs. *PLoS Genet* 3 e79.
 - **Ono Y & Sakamoto K** 2017 Lipopolysaccharide inhibits myogenic differentiation of C2C12 myoblasts through the Toll-like receptor 4-nuclear factor-kappaB signaling pathway and myoblast-derived tumor necrosis factor-alpha. *PLoS One* **12** e0182040.
 - **Otto A & Patel K** 2010 Signalling and the control of skeletal muscle size. *Exp Cell Res* **316** 3059-3066.
 - **Palandra J, Quazi A, Fitz L, Rong H, Morris C & Neubert H** 2016 Quantitative measurements of GDF-8 using immunoaffinity LC-MS/MS. *Proteomics Clin Appl* **10** 597-604.
 - Peiris HN, Salomon C, Payton D, Ashman K, Vaswani K, Chan A, Rice GE & Mitchell MD 2014 Myostatin is localized in extravillous trophoblast and upregulates migration. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **99** E2288-2297.
- Rebbapragada A, Benchabane H, Wrana JL, Celeste AJ & Attisano L 2003
 Myostatin signals through a transforming growth factor beta-like signaling pathway to block adipogenesis. *Mol Cell Biol* 23 7230-7242.

- Sahmi M, Nicola ES & Price CA 2006 Hormonal regulation of cytochrome P450
 aromatase mRNA stability in non-luteinizing bovine granulosa cells in vitro.
 J Endocrinol 190 107-115.
 - **Samir M, Glister C, Mattar D, Laird M & Knight PG** 2017 Follicular expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines tumour necrosis factor-alpha (TNFalpha), interleukin 6 (IL6) and their receptors in cattle: TNFalpha, IL6 and macrophages suppress thecal androgen production in vitro. *Reproduction* **154** 35-49.
 - **Schiaffino S, Dyar KA, Ciciliot S, Blaauw B & Sandri M** 2013 Mechanisms regulating skeletal muscle growth and atrophy. *FEBS J* **280** 4294-4314.
 - Schuelke M, Wagner KR, Stolz LE, Hubner C, Riebel T, Komen W, Braun T, Tobin JF & Lee SJ 2004 Myostatin mutation associated with gross muscle hypertrophy in a child. *N Engl J Med* **350** 2682-2688.
 - Sheldon IM, Cronin JG, Healey GD, Gabler C, Heuwieser W, Streyl D, Bromfield JJ, Miyamoto A, Fergani C & Dobson H 2014 Innate immunity and inflammation of the bovine female reproductive tract in health and disease. *Reproduction* **148** R41-51.
 - **Shimasaki S, Moore RK, Otsuka F & Erickson GF** 2004 The bone morphogenetic protein system in mammalian reproduction. *Endocr Rev* **25** 72-101.
 - **Silva JM & Price CA** 2002 Insulin and IGF-I are necessary for FSH-induced cytochrome P450 aromatase but not cytochrome P450 side-chain cleavage gene expression in oestrogenic bovine granulosa cells in vitro. *J Endocrinol* **174** 499-507.
 - Skinner MK, Schmidt M, Savenkova MI, Sadler-Riggleman I & Nilsson EE 2008 Regulation of granulosa and theca cell transcriptomes during ovarian antral follicle development. *Mol Reprod Dev* **75** 1457-1472.
 - Valdes JA, Flores S, Fuentes EN, Osorio-Fuentealba C, Jaimovich E & Molina A 2013 IGF-1 induces IP3 -dependent calcium signal involved in the regulation of myostatin gene expression mediated by NFAT during myoblast differentiation. *J Cell Physiol* 228 1452-1463.
 - Webb R, Nicholas B, Gong JG, Campbell BK, Gutierrez CG, Garverick HA & Armstrong DG 2003 Mechanisms regulating follicular development and selection of the dominant follicle. *Reprod Suppl* **61** 71-90.
 - **Wu YG, Bennett J, Talla D & Stocco C** 2011 Testosterone, not 5alphadihydrotestosterone, stimulates LRH-1 leading to FSH-independent expression of Cyp19 and P450scc in granulosa cells. *Mol Endocrinol* **25** 656-668.
 - **Yang W, Zhang Y, Li Y, Wu Z & Zhu D** 2007 Myostatin induces cyclin D1 degradation to cause cell cycle arrest through a phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/AKT/GSK-3 beta pathway and is antagonized by insulin-like growth factor 1. *J Biol Chem* **282** 3799-3808.
 - Zhao Q, Yang ST, Wang JJ, Zhou J, Xing SS, Shen CC, Wang XX, Yue YX, Song J, Chen M et al. 2015 TNF alpha inhibits myogenic differentiation of C2C12 cells through NF-kappaB activation and impairment of IGF-1 signaling pathway. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **458** 790-795.

690 691 Table 1 List of primers used for real-time PCR 692 693 694 695 **Figure Legends** 696 Fig. 1. Relative abundance of mRNA transcripts for (A) MSTN, (B) FST, (C) 697 ACVR1B, (D) TGFBR1 and (E) ACVR2B in theca and granulosa layers of small (3-698 6mm), medium (7-10mm) and large (11-18mm) bovine antral follicles. Large follicles 699 are subdivided into estrogen active (E:P ratio >1) and estrogen-inactive (E:P ratio <1) 700 categories referred to as LEA and LEI follicles, respectively. Intrafollicular E:P ratios 701 for each follicle category are shown in panel F. Numbers in parenthesis in panel A are 702 n-values for each group. Values are mean ± SEM and summarized two-way ANOVA 703 results are shown. Within each cell type means without a common letter are 704 significantly different (P<0.05). 705 706 Fig. 2 Immunohistochemical staining of bovine ovary sections showing myostatin 707 immunoreactivity (brown) in oocyte and granulosa cells of primordial (pF) and primary 708 (PrF) follicles (A), secondary (SF) follicles (B,C) and in the cal (T) and granulosal (G) 709 layers of antral follicles (AF) (D,E). Myostatin immunoreactivity was also evident in 710 vascular smooth muscle cells (by) (E). No staining was observed in control sections 711 treated with normal rabbit serum instead of primary antibody (F). 712 713 Fig. 3 Effect of myostatin on basal and FSH-induced secretion of (A) estradiol and (B) progesterone by bovine granulosa cells, and on (C) viable cell number; Panels (D-F) 714 715 show the effect of myostatin \pm FSH on expression of CYP19A1, CYP11A1 and 716 HSD3B1 mRNA, respectively. Values are means \pm sem (n = 5 independent cultures).

717	Results of 2-way ANOVA are summarized; *P<0.01, **P<0.01 ***P<0.001 compared
718	to respective control with zero myostatin (panels A, B, C). In panels D-F means without
719	a common letter are significantly different (P<0.05).
720	
721	
722	Fig. 4 Effect of myostatin on basal and LR3 IGF-1-induced secretion of (A) estradiol
723	and (B) progesterone by bovine granulosa cells and on (C) viable cell number. Values
724	are means \pm SEM (n = 3 independent cultures). Means without a common letter are
725	significantly different (P<0.05).
726	
727	Fig. 5 Effect of different treatments known to modulate GC steroidogenesis on
728	granulosal expression of (A) MSTN and (B) CYP19A1 and on (C) secretion of estradiol.
729	Values are means \pm SEM (n = 4 independent cultures); Means without a common letter
730	are significantly different (p<0.05).
731	
732	Fig. 6 The effects of myostatin on basal and LH-induced secretion of (A)
733	androstenedione and (B) progesterone by bovine theca cells. Panel (C) shows effects on
734	viable cell number. Values are mean ± SEM (n=12 independent cultures); Two-way
735	ANOVA p-values are shown
736	
737	Fig. 7 Ability of follistatin to antagonize myostatin-induced suppression of thecal
738	androstenedione secretion. Values are means \pm SEM (n=6 independent cultures)
739	
740	Fig. 8 Effect of LH and BMP6 on thecal expression of (A) MSTN and (B)
741	CYP17A1and on (C) secretion of androstenedione. Values are means \pm SEM (n = 8
742	independent cultures); means without a common letter are significantly different
743	(p<0.05).
744	

- Fig. 9 Schematic diagram illustrating potential involvement of systemic and/or locally
- produced myostatin in the modulation of thecal and granulosal steroidogenesis.

Target	Accession number	Forward primer 5' to 3'	Reverse primer 5' to 3'	Amplicon size (bp)
LHCGR	NM_174381.1	ATTGCCTCAGTCGATGCCCAGACC	AAAAAGCCAGCCGCGCTGC	92
STAR	NM_174189	TTTTTTCCTGGGTCCTGACAGCGTC	ACAACCTGATCCTTGGGTTCTGCACC	103
CYP11A1	NM_176644	CAGTGTCCCTCTGCTCAACGTCC	TTATTGAAAATTGTGTCCCATGCGG	99
HSD3B1	NM_174343.2	GCCACCTAGTGACTCTTTCCAACAGCG	TGGTTTTCTGCTTGGCTTCCTCCC	111
FSHR	NM_174061.1	GCCAGCCTCACCTACCCCAGC	AATTGGATGAAGGTCAGAGGTTTGCC	75
CYP17A1	NM_174304	GACAAAGGCACAGACGTTGTGGTCA	TGATCTGCAAGACGAGACTGGCATG	301
CYP19A1	NM_174365	TCTGTCCCCACTGAATCCTCCTGG	GGGTTTCATGGTGCTGTGTGC	102
MSTN	NM_001001525.2	GTTCGATGTCCAGAGAGATGCCAGC	ACTTGCGTTAGAAGATCAGACTCCGTGG	114
ACTB	NM_173979.3	ATCACCATCGGCAATGAGCGGTTC	CGGATGTCGACGTCACACTTCATGA	128

Table 1: List of primers used for quantitative RT-PCR

Fig 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3




Fig. 4




Fig 5




Fig. 6




Fig. 7





Fig. 8






Fig. 9

