

# Demonstration of a remotely piloted atmospheric measurement and charge release platform for geoengineering

Article

Accepted Version

Harrison, G. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0693-347X, Nicoll, K. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5580-6325, Tilley, D. J., Marlton, G., Chindea, S., Dingley, G. P., Iravani, P., Cleaver, D. J., duBois, J. L. and Brus, D. (2021) Demonstration of a remotely piloted atmospheric measurement and charge release platform for geoengineering. Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 38 (1). pp. 63-75. ISSN 1520-0426 doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JTECH-D-20-0092.1 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/93830/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JTECH-D-20-0092.1

Publisher: American Meteorological Society

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>End User Agreement</u>.



## www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

## CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

1	Demonstration of a remotely piloted atmospheric measurement and
2	charge release platform for geoengineering
3	R. Giles Harrison <sup>1*</sup> , Keri A. Nicoll <sup>1,2</sup> , Douglas J. Tilley <sup>2</sup> , Graeme J. Marlton <sup>1</sup> ,
4	Stefan Chindea <sup>2</sup> , Gavin P. Dingley <sup>2</sup> , Pejman Iravani <sup>3</sup> , David J. Cleaver <sup>3</sup> ,
5	Jonathan L. du Bois <sup>3</sup> , David Brus <sup>4</sup>
6	
7	accepted by Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology 4 <sup>th</sup> November 2020
8	
9	[1] Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, Reading, RG6 6BB, UK
10	[2] Department of Electronic and Electrical Engineering, University of Bath, Bath,
11	BA2 7AY, UK
12	[3] Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Bath, Bath, BA2 7AY, UK
13	[4] Finnish Meteorological Institute, PO Box 503, FI-00101, Helsinki, Finland
14	
15	*corresponding author: r.g.harrison@reading.ac.uk

#### 17 Abstract

Electric charge is always present in the lower atmosphere. If droplets or aerosols 18 19 become charged, their behaviour changes, influencing collision, evaporation and 20 deposition. Artificial charge release is an unexplored potential geoengineering 21 technique for modifying fogs, clouds and rainfall. Central to evaluating these 22 processes experimentally in the atmosphere is establishing an effective method for charge delivery. A small charge-delivering Remotely Piloted Aircraft has been 23 specially developed for this, which is electrically propelled. It carries controllable 24 25 bipolar charge emitters (nominal emission current ±5 µA) beneath each wing, with optical cloud and meteorological sensors integrated into the airframe. Meteorological 26 and droplet measurements are demonstrated to 2 km altitude by comparison with a 27 28 radiosonde, including within cloud, and successful charge emission aloft verified by using programmed flight paths above an upwards-facing surface electric field mill. 29 This technological approach is readily scalable to provide non-polluting fleets of 30 31 charge-releasing aircraft, identifying and targeting droplet regions with their own sensors. Beyond geoengineering, agricultural and biological aerosol applications, 32 33 safe ionic propulsion of future electric aircraft also requires detailed investigation of charge effects on natural atmospheric droplet systems. 34

Keywords: aerosol charging; corona emission; meteorology; cloud; Unmanned
Aerial Vehicle (UAV); Unmanned Aircraft System (UAS);

#### 38 **1.** Introduction

Electricity in the atmosphere has long been supposed to influence clouds of water 39 40 droplets. For example, Luke Howard (1772-1864), whose cloud nomenclature system is still widely used, stated that in nimbus (rain) clouds, water drops "...are by 41 a change in their electrical state made to coalesce, and descend in drops of Rain." 42 43 (Howard, 1837). This assertion probably arose from the then fashionable interest in electrostatics rather than observations, but Lord Rayleigh (Rayleigh, 1879) 44 subsequently reported direct experiments in which "Instead of rebounding after 45 46 collision, as the unelectrified drops of water generally or always do, the electrified drops coalesce...". More recent experimental and theoretical work (e.g. summarised 47 48 in Pruppacher and Klett, 1998) has confirmed that charge does indeed influence droplet collisions and coalescence, and empirical findings indicate that regional 49 ionisation release is associated with precipitation changes (Harrison et al. 2020). 50 51 Highly charged droplets are also known to disintegrate under intense electric forces 52 (Rayleigh, 1882; Duft et al, 2003). Here we demonstrate a new enabling technology 53 to modify droplet electrostatics as a potential geoengineering technique, through 54 releasing charge from a remotely controlled platform capable of entering clouds or aerosol regions. We describe a Remotely Piloted Aircraft (also known as an 55 56 Unmanned Aircraft System, UAS) from which ions of either polarity can be released in a regularised manner, also providing an on-board measuring capability with which 57 the local droplet, thermodynamic and electrical conditions can be monitored. 58

A great advantage of charge release as a possible geoengineering approach using
airborne platforms is that large volumes of modifying substance are not required to
be carried aloft. It is consequently well suited to the capabilities of small Remotely

62 Piloted Aircraft (RPA), equipped with charge emitters and monitoring instrumentation, as summarised in figure 1. The technology developed is described 63 64 here. Section 2 assesses the requirements for an ion release system from which generated ions ultimately become attached to water droplets, charging them. 65 Section 3 describes the charge emission and meteorological sensing technology 66 developed and Section 4 the integration of this technology with an aircraft. 67 68 Addressing the practical difficulties of flying beyond visual line of sight into clouds is 69 a further essential aspect, to obtain good operating duration (tens of minutes) at 70 significant altitude (to several km). Section 5 describes trials of the system in specially arranged airspace and section 6 evaluates the charge emission. 71

For such a widespread and fundamental influence as electrostatics on droplet 72 behaviour there are many other associated applications, including in biology, for 73 which droplet charging is recognised to enhance insect and foliage deposition 74 75 (Gaunt et al 2003; Inculet et al, 1981). Investigating the effect of charge on the 76 efficiency of airborne aerosol sampling provides a further application. Beyond aerosol physics, biological systems and geoengineering, additional motivation is 77 78 provided by the need to explore atmospheric consequences of future electric propulsion of aircraft by ion emission (Xu et al, 2018; leta and Chirita, 2020). The net 79 electrostatic effects within natural aerosol systems, and their influence on detailed 80 81 microphysical droplet processes leading to rain, remain to be explicitly quantified, for which the new experimental capabilities described are highly suitable. 82

#### 84 **2. Charge release considerations**

Charging of water droplets can be achieved by release of air ions into the droplet
region (e.g. Gunn, 1954). The charge modifies the behaviour of the droplets,
especially that concerned with droplet-droplet collisions. This is now discussed
further, together with estimates of the charge required and generated.

89

#### 90 (a) Properties of charged droplets

91 When a charged water droplet approaches another water droplet, charged or uncharged, it induces a charge in the second droplet, which induces a further charge 92 93 in the original droplet, repeating indefinitely. Charged, colliding water droplets therefore experience an infinite system of electrostatic image charges between them, 94 95 with associated electric forces (Thomson, 1853; Russell, 1922; Davis, 1964). Formally, the net droplet-droplet force is always attractive at small separations 96 regardless of the droplets' relative polarities, unless the exact ratios of their charges 97 98 would make them an equipotential on contact (Lekner, 2012; Banerjee and Levy, 2015). With natural variability, this unique equipotential condition is unlikely to occur, 99 100 hence two colliding charged cloud droplets can be generally considered as being 101 more likely to coalesce than two neutral droplets. Therefore, if cloud droplets can be 102 charged artificially, the electrical influence on coalescence may, in turn, hasten the 103 generation of rain (Harrison et al, 2015). Another application for artificial charge 104 dispersal might arise from the practical need to remove droplet or aerosol charge, 105 such as in the case of release of radioactive aerosol, which can become sufficiently 106 highly charged to be preferentially washed to the surface by water droplets (Tripathi 107 and Harrison, 2001).

108 Release of corona ions into fogs and clouds has been contemplated previously and considered for possible hydrological and electrical benefits. After observing a fog 109 110 near a high voltage tower, the inventor and electrical engineer, Nikola Tesla (1856-111 1943), said "I am positive...that we can draw unlimited amounts of water for irrigation" (Cheney, 2001). The most well-known artificial charge release work is 112 probably that of Vonnegut and Moore, in which corona ions were released from near-113 114 surface high voltage horizontal wires 14 km long (Vonnegut et al 1962a,b). With this 115 apparatus, it was demonstrated that the charge released modified the initial 116 electrification of small cumulus clouds. Later work (Phelps and Vonnegut ,1970), estimated the charging needed to influence the droplet growth. 117

118

#### 119 (b) Requirements for charge release

120 Introducing charge into an aerosol or cloud can be achieved through surface or 121 airborne release of air ions. Surface emission systems require extensive 122 installations, and depend on natural updrafts and entrainment processes to allow the 123 generated ions to reach and enter aerosols or clouds. As substantial quantities of 124 ions can be generated relatively easily, the inefficiency of the vertical transport process may not matter in allowing some additional ions to ultimately reach and 125 126 enter clouds, through following natural updraft routes. The disadvantage is that, even 127 with large quantities of charge generation at the surface, assessment of any 128 consequent effects will be complicated by the wide spatial dispersion of ions likely to 129 be encountered. Using aircraft to provide targeted charge release controlled from the 130 surface provides a promising alternative, allowing cloud regions to be located where 131 small droplets, which are those most likely to be influenced electrically, are more

abundant. In addition, because charge can be generated easily electrically, there are
no substantial payload requirements and hence small aircraft are particularly
suitable.

135 Although more detailed work at local scales is needed to fully evaluate the charge 136 required to influence natural aerosols and clouds, some bounding estimates can be 137 made. The regional scale cloud and precipitation changes reported by Harrison et al (2020) were associated with an approximate doubling of the natural ion 138 concentration. Over land surfaces, the typical volumetric ion production rate  $q_0$ , is 139 140 about 10<sup>7</sup> ion pairs m<sup>-3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (Chalmers, 1967). This reduces with height, before increasing from cosmic ray ionisation above about 3 km. If clear air is considered 141 (i.e. neglecting ion removal to aerosol or droplets), the steady-state mean ion 142 number concentration  $n_0$  is given by 143

144 
$$n_0 = \sqrt{\frac{q_0}{\alpha}} \tag{1}$$

where  $\alpha$  is the ion-ion recombination rate (1.6x10<sup>-12</sup> m<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). For  $q_0 = 10^7$  m<sup>-3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, this gives  $n_0 = 2500 \times 10^6$  m<sup>-3</sup> (Harrison and Carslaw, 2003).

For an air ion generator operating by corona emission, the associated unipolar ion production rate, neglecting recombination, is directly proportional to the current flowing to the emitter tip. If the corona current is  $I_c$ , the corona ion production rate  $R_c$ will be

151 
$$R_c = \frac{r_c}{\rho}$$
(2),

where *e* is the elementary charge ( $1.6 \times 10^{-19}$  C). If the aircraft is in level flight at a speed *v*, and air ions are emitted in a cylindrical beam of cross section area *S*, the 154 instantaneous number of unipolar ions,  $n_c$ , generated per unit volume due to corona 155 is

$$n_c = \frac{R_c}{Sv} = \frac{I_c}{Sve}$$
(3).

The current required to generate an instantaneous corona ion concentration which is a multiple *f* of the steady-state background ion concentration  $n_0$  (i.e.  $f = n_c/n_0$ ), is therefore

160 
$$I_c = fSve\sqrt{\frac{q_0}{\alpha}}$$
(4).

161 For a small aircraft (1 m wingspan) flying at  $v = 30 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ , emitting an ion plume into an area defined by the wingspan (i.e.  $S = 1 \text{ m}^2$ ),  $I_c$  is found from eqn (4) for f = 1 as 162  $\sim 10^{-8}$  A. If, as observing smoke plume releases from small aircraft suggests, the 163 emitted ion plume spreads vertically by up an order of magnitude more, S ~100  $m^2$ 164 and the associated  $I_c$  required is ~10<sup>-6</sup> A. Emission currents of at least 10<sup>-6</sup> A (i.e. 165 1µA) are realisable, hence f >>1 from a practical emission system is readily 166 167 obtained. The total cloud volume into which ions are released is determined by the 168 flight path and duration.

An alternative perspective was provided by Phelps and Vonnegut (1970), who estimated that, to increase the coalescence efficiency of droplets to near 100%, an oppositely charged droplet carrying an order of magnitude more charge than the surrounding droplets would be needed. Takahashi (1973) showed that the average charge on a droplet in a warm cloud was approximately  $1 \times 10^{-17}$  C (~60|*e*|) Thus, for enhanced coalescence, a charge of  $1 \times 10^{-16}$  C would be needed on half of the cloud droplets. Assuming a cloud droplet concentration of 100 cm<sup>-3</sup> this would require a 176 charge delivery rate of 10 nC m<sup>-3</sup>. With the typical RPA air speed assumed of 177  $v = 30 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ , a charge delivery system would therefore need to provide 0.3  $\mu$ A m<sup>-2</sup> 178 which is similar to that estimated above.

Releasing unipolar charge will also affect the electric potential of the aircraft
compared with the local environmental potential, as the aircraft will develop an
opposite charge equal in magnitude to the charge released. The charging rate of the
aircraft can be estimated as

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = \frac{I_c}{c} \tag{5},$$

184 where  $\frac{dv}{dt}$  is the rate of change of the potential of the aircraft and *C* is the aircraft's 185 capacitance. If the aircraft is considered as an isolated spherical capacitor of radius 186 1 m, *C* ~100 pF, and the associated  $\frac{dv}{dt}$  for  $I_c = 10$  nA will be 90 V s<sup>-1</sup>. This is likely to 187 overestimate the charging rate, as any loss of charge from the aircraft is neglected. 188 This could occur by collision or attraction of atmospheric space charge, which would 189 act to reduce the charging rate.

190 The limitations on unipolar charge release implied by eqn (5) are important, as if the 191 charge emission continues indefinitely, the electric field at the surface of the aircraft will ultimately become dangerously large, leading to systems failure through 192 electrostatic discharge damage, and possible loss of the aircraft. (In the case of ion 193 194 thrusters for spacecraft, neutralisers are specifically included to avoid this e.g. Kent et al, (2005)). This risk can be reduced by approximately balanced emission of 195 196 positive and negative charge, as then the aircraft charging will be less rapid, determined by the difference in the emission currents which is likely to be smaller 197 198 than their absolute magnitude. A discharge wick, widely used on traditional aircraft,

provides another possibility. A consequence of bipolar emission is, however, that theloss of corona ions by recombination will be increased.

A controllable RPA charge emission system developed is now described (section 3) able to provide up to  $\pm 5 \ \mu$ A of corona current, followed by considerations associated with its integration into the aircraft (section 4). Flight tests evaluating the meteorological and electrical aspects are described in section 5.

205

#### 206 3. Aircraft charge emitters

The charge emitters emit corona ions from a carbon fibre brush, raised to a high 207 208 voltage. Two separate unipolar emitters are used, controllable to release positive 209 and negative charge independently. These were designed to have a physical form 210 (130 mm x 40 mm x 40 mm) and mass (100 g) suitable for small aircraft, and to operate from a 12 V power supply. Each emitter's current varies with the operating 211 212 voltage chosen, which is remotely controllable through the aircraft telemetry 213 (figure 2). The currents supplied to the emitting tips can be monitored, which allows 214 the positive and negative currents to be balanced, to minimise the aircraft charging 215 hazard. Because the current measurement has to be obtained at the emission (high 216 voltage) part of the corona emitter circuit, an isolated system is required to provide 217 the measurement at safe voltages for the aircraft's data telemetry. Communication 218 between the aircraft system and the emitters is therefore required in two directions, 219 from the aircraft to the emitter to set the high tension operating voltage (which is also 220 confirmed back), and from the emitter to the aircraft to report the corona current. This 221 information is recorded by the aircraft data system.

In each charge emitter, the operating high voltage is requested by the aircraft's data
logger, over a USB-UART serial link, and the resulting output current monitored.
Within each emitter, a microcontroller acts as the main control and communication
link between the aircraft and the device, providing control of the high-voltage module
and monitoring of the output voltage, while another internal UART serial link
communicates over an optical isolator with the current sensing section.

228 The amount of ion production is determined by the current flowing from the highvoltage module through the discharge brush into the surrounding environment. This 229 230 current is monitored by measuring the voltage drop across a series resistor, between the module output and emitting tip. Since the current sense circuit is elevated to the 231 232 potential of the high-voltage output of the module, the measurements are returned 233 through an optical link (e.g. Harrison 2002; Aplin et al 2008), with its supply galvanically isolated from the low voltage section of the board. A chain of three 234 235 transformers (type PT6) with their secondaries in series is used to provide a total 236 isolation of 9 kV, using a square wave oscillator drive, as shown in Harrison (1997).

237 The actual output currents from the charge emitters were characterised using the 238 experimental arrangement summarised in Figure 3. For this, the emitting tip (a carbon fibre discharge brush) of the charge emitter was mounted on a PTFE stand-239 240 off, within a large grounded diecast box. The discharge tip was pointed at a brass 241 detector plate connected to a trans-resistance converter (using a 1 M $\Omega$  feedback 242 resistance) circuit, to measure the corona ion current flowing to the brass plate at the 243 local ground potential. The detector plate was mounted centrally within the box, to 244 allow operation of the two emitters either side of the detector plate symmetrically. Through this arrangement, balancing of the output currents from both emitters was 245

achieved by independently adjusting their operating high tension (HT) voltages, until
the opposite currents were sufficiently similar that no net plate current was
measured.

Figure 4(a) shows the current measured by the detection plate as the operating 249 250 voltage of the corona emitters was varied. The onset threshold for corona emission 251 is also related to the precise emitter tip shape, but was found to be around 1200 V for the negative emitter and 2300 V for the positive emitter. The absolute current 252 measured by the detector plate depended on the geometry, as varying the distance 253 254 between the discharge wick and the plate changed the effectiveness of ion capture and the associated detector plate current. Figure 4(b) shows the operation of the 255 256 onboard isolated corona current measurement circuit when the corona current was 257 varied, by changing the HT voltage. The linear relationship found between the corona current and HT voltage demonstrates that, through adjusting the HT voltage, 258 259 the emission current can be altered in flight.

260

#### 261 **4. Aircraft science equipment integration**

262 The RPA platform chosen for this work is the commercially available Skywalker X8 263 fixed wing aircraft. Use of a standard platform allows for possible scaling up to a fleet 264 of aircraft. The X8 is capable of the long-range operations required to fly into clouds, 265 including an ability to climb to altitudes of 3 km. It is a flying wing design made of 266 expanded polyolefin foam, with a single folding propeller in a pusher configuration. It 267 has a wingspan of 2.1 m and maximum take-off mass of 5 kg, with capacity to carry 268 scientific equipment in a small payload bay at the front of the aircraft. The "pusher" configuration allows the science instrumentation to be located far from the propellers 269

and noise generating components, reducing electrical interference on the
measurements. In use, the RPA is flown autonomously using a Pixhawk 2.1 Cube
autopilot with Arduplane software (V3.9.6), propelled by a Cobra 3520 550Kv motor
with Aeronaut 13" x 8 propellors and a FrSky Neuron 60 Electronic Speed Controller.
UHF control links are made at 868 MHz. Separate 3000 mA h and 4000 mA h LiPo
batteries are used to power the systems and propulsion motor respectively.

The locations of the various science sensors installed on the aircraft are shown in 276 Figure 5. As the RPA is designed to fly within, and sample, cloud properties, it has 277 278 been instrumented with temperature (RSPRO 2.4mm diameter bead thermistor) and RH sensors (Honeywell HIH-4000), and an optical cloud sensor (OCS) (Harrison and 279 Nicoll, 2014) located in the front of the aircraft, pointing downwards to minimise 280 281 water ingress and to provide shielding from solar radiation. Atmospheric space charge density sensors (with both linear (Nicoll, 2013) and logarithmic (Harrison et 282 283 al, 2017) responses) are also located in the front of each wing to monitor the charge 284 environment surrounding the aircraft. Data from all the science sensors are logged at 1 Hz through a custom-made data logging board based on a TinyDuino (an 285 286 ATMEGA328-based device) as the main processor, carrying its own GPS and data 287 storage.

Mounting positions for the corona emitters are also shown in Figure 4, on the underside of the wings, approximately 20 cm from the propellor, facing backwards. The positive corona emitter is located on the left wing, the negative emitter on the right wing. This positioning ensures that the corona ions are emitted into the turbulent flow behind the aircraft, helping to disperse the ions and ensuring they do not return to the aircraft, which would modify its charge. The corona emitters can be

294 switched independently to provide positive, negative or bipolar ion emission, using 295 optically isolated switches activated by the pilot through the remote control (RC).

296

#### 297 5. Flight tests of aircraft instrumentation

298 Separate series of flight tests were undertaken to evaluate the flight endurance and 299 payload capability, meteorological measurements and charge emission. Calibration 300 information on the sensors is provided in the Appendices.

301

#### 302 (a) Aircraft aspects

303 To examine the flight capabilities of the extensively instrumented Skywalker

304 airframe, test flights were conducted at the Pallas Atmosphere-Ecosystem Supersite,

in sub-Arctic Finland during the Pallas Cloud Experiment (PaCE 2019) (Latitude

306 68.01°N, Longitude 24.14°E) during September 2019. This site had a designated

307 Temporary Dangerous Area (EFD527), permitting flights to a ceiling of 2 km Above

308 Mean Sea Level (AMSL). Table 1 summarises all the RPA flights undertaken,

309 including details of the eleven flights conducted at Pallas. The longest endurance

310 flight path is shown in figure 6.

311 The maximum altitude reached in this flight was 2000 m AMSL in a flight duration of

20 min 45 s. This consisted of a 11 min climb at a 10° angle to 2000 m, followed by a

313 9 min glide to landing. The principal battery usage occurred during the climb,

requiring a mean current of 16 A compared with 0.2 A during the descent. Over the

entire flight, the total charge drawn from the propulsion battery was 3850 mA h, ofthe 4000 mA h nominally available.

317

#### 318 (b) Meteorological sensors

The meteorological measurements made by the X8 RPA during flight were compared with nearby meteorological measurements made using a balloon-carried instrument package, employing an RS41 radiosonde augmented with additional science sensors.

323 The balloon payload consisted of a standard Vaisala RS41 radiosonde with an 324 optical cloud sensor (OCS) (Harrison and Nicoll, 2014) and charge sensor (Nicoll, 325 2013) attached, of identical design to those on the aircraft. The add-on sensors were 326 housed in a 3D printed enclosure. This enclosure had fixing spikes printed to grip into the RS41's polystyrene shell, firmly securing the add-on sensors with a 327 328 tensioned cable tie. Data from the sensors was relayed through the RS41's telemetry 329 system using the ozone sensor (OIF411) port, following Harrison et al (2012). The 330 sensor data was interleaved with the RS41's data-stream and recorded by the 331 ground station. The additional data packets were synchronised with the standard 332 meteorological data after the ascent. The RS41 carried standard temperature and 333 humidity sensors, having a quoted accuracy of ±0.01 °C and ± 0.1 % respectively (Vaisala 2018): 334

An Intense Observation Period was undertaken at the Pallas site on 27th September
2019 to compare the balloon and aircraft systems. For this, a fully instrumented RPA
flight into a thin stratiform cloud was made, followed by a RS41-special sensor

balloon launch to provide reference data. The radiosonde and aircraft data obtainedare now compared.

340 Figure 7a and b show the standard thermodynamic meteorological quantities from the RS41 radiosonde in black, and the X8 aircraft in red. From the temperature and 341 342 RH data a cloud layer 100m thick at approximately 1700m is apparent. The cloud top 343 is capped with a 5 °C inversion at 1800m. Figure 7a demonstrates a -2 °C cold bias of the temperature sensor on the X8 when compared to the RS41 temperature sensor, 344 345 which can be corrected in future flights. The X8's RH sensor tracked the RS41 sensor closely, except in the cloud top region at 1800 m where it lagged the RS41, 346 347 taking longer to adjust to the cloud features. As the radiosonde and the X8 each 348 encountered the cloud layer at different speeds, displaced in time, their lag times cannot be uniquely identified. The response time of the RS41's humidity sensor is 349 350 given by the manufacturer (Vaisala, 2018) as less than 0.3s at 20°C and less than 351 10s at -40°C.

352 Figure 7c shows the charge density from the port wing-mounted charge sensor, 353 plotted alongside the charge density inferred from the charge sensor data from the radiosonde. The charge was calculated following Nicoll and Harrison (2016). In the 354 355 cloud at 1700 m the wing mounted charge sensor detected a maximum positive charge density of approximately 50 pC m<sup>-3</sup>; the radiosonde detected a similar 356 maximum positive charge density of 60 pC m<sup>-3</sup>. Such extensive layer clouds often 357 358 show charging associated with the upper and lower cloud boundaries (Nicoll and 359 Harrison, 2016). The two traces demonstrate similar charge profiles from two 360 different measurement platforms which encountered the same cloud environment.

361 The greater variability apparent in the X8 profiles may be due to additional electrical 362 noise from the aircraft systems, or naturally generated lateral charge variations.

Finally, cloud droplet number concentrations derived from the OCS on the two measurement platforms are compared in Figure 7d. Both OCSs on the radiosonde and X8 aircraft recorded peak droplet concentrations of 150 cm<sup>-3</sup> within the cloud layer. (The method of calculation of the droplet concentration from the raw sensor output is described in Supplementary Information S1).

In summary, the instrumented X8 airframe can provide thermodynamic, electrical
and optical measurements in cloud, at up to 2000 m above the surface.

370

#### 371 (c) Charge emission aspects

372 Further trials were undertaken to test the operation of the corona emitters in flight and quantify the emitted charge during ow level flying over a surface electric field 373 374 instrument. Positive charge emission from the aircraft would result in a positive electric field perturbation beneath and a negative field perturbation for negative 375 376 charge emission. Flights were performed at the University of Bristol's Fenwood 377 Farm, Long Ashton, UK (51.423°N, -2.671°W). The site is a large flat agricultural pasture without obstacles. Two flights were conducted on the 29th November 2019, 378 under fair weather conditions with clear skies and no appreciable local charge 379 380 generation from meteorological processes. (Details of these further flights are also provided in Table 1). Detection of the aircraft's charge emission was made using a 381 Chubb JCI131 electric field mill (EFM), to measure the vertical electric field at the 382 383 surface. The EFM was mounted on a 3m high vertical mast, separately calibrated to

correct for the electric field distortion due to the presence of the earthed mast. The measurement range of the EFM was  $\pm 2$  kV m<sup>-1</sup> with a resolution of 0.1 V m<sup>-1</sup>, and values logged at 1 Hz.

To detect charge emission from the aircraft, a stable and reliable pattern of corona 387 388 emission was required, which was achieved through conducting flight operations 389 automatically to maintain consistent flight paths. Each mission was divided into three separate operational stages. Initially, a rectangular flight path conducted at 50 m 390 altitude was used to ensure that the aircraft was operating correctly. This was 391 392 followed by level flight operations above the EFM. Finally, a circular, unlimited loiter pattern was made above the EFM. The mean loiter speed of the aircraft was 19 m s<sup>-1</sup> 393 394 and the total flight time was 17 mins.

Figure 8a shows details of the flight path, demonstrating the level flight operation legs, and the indefinite circular loiter pattern. The circular loiters were conducted at 15 m and 20 m above ground level, with a 50 m radius. Each loiter was planned to position the edge of the flying circle above the EFM.

Figure 9 shows the surface electric field, *E*, time series during the X8's second flight. Markers show when either corona emitter was switched on and off. Whenever one emitter was activated on the aircraft, a transient change in *E* was detected beneath. For positive corona, *E* increased and for negative corona events *E* decreased. When, however, both emitters were activated there was a negligible change in *E*, which indicates that the opposite polarities act to cancel the point charge, as perceived by the EFM.

The densest region of charge emitted by the aircraft can be considered quantitatively to be represented by an equivalent point charge above the EFM. For a point charge Q, the electric field *E* induced by the point charge at distance *r* is given by

$$E = \frac{Q}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r^2} \tag{6},$$

410 where  $\varepsilon_0$  is the permittivity of free space and r becomes the height of the aircraft above the EFM. By using a smoothing spline (with a unit smoothing parameter for a 411 412 piecewise cubic spline interpolation), to detrend the electric field time series to retain only the transient changes (as shown in figure 10), the emitted charge from the 413 414 aircraft can be calculated from eqn (6). Using this methodology, the mean of the 415 inferred point charges for the five positive and five negative transients observed in Figure 10 was found to be 0.43 µC when the positive emitter was activated, and -416 417 0.35 µC when the negative emitter was activated. The small magnitude difference is 418 likely to be associated with the different magnitudes of operating currents from the 419 two emitters (calculated to be 5.3  $\mu$ A and -2.8  $\mu$ A for the positive and negative 420 emitters respectively). The detected charge was evidently much less (90%) than the 421 instantaneous charge emitted. For an emitter current of  $\sim 5 \mu$ A, a charge of  $\sim 5 \mu$ C 422 would be expected to be observed when the RPA passed directly over the electric 423 field mill. These measurements, when combined with the findings in figures 3 and 4, indicate that most of the released ionic charge is rapidly dispersed in the 424 425 atmosphere, to be removed through ion recombination or attachment to boundary layer aerosol (Harrison and Carslaw, 2003). The charge removal to droplets in a 426 427 cloud situation can be expected to be similar, with the mixing processes associated with the turbulent air behind the aircraft acting to spread the air ions released. 428

429

430 (d) Summary

431	Taken together, the evaluations undertaken in sections 5a, b and c show that the
432	objectives stated in the opening paragraph have been addressed and specifically
433	that the instrumented aircraft is able to:
434	(1) carry scientific payload to cloud-level altitudes, with an endurance
435	of ten minutes
436	(2) provide thermodynamic meteorological profile information
437	(3) locate cloud regions through the combination of a rapid time
438	response relative humidity sensor and an optical cloud sensor using
439	backscattered light from the water droplets
440	(4) deliver charge in a controllable and monitored manner, of either, or
441	both polarities.
442	Further, the commercial airframe employed and the standard devices and
443	components used in construction of the instrumentation make the production of
444	multiple aircraft readily achievable, to increase the volume of cloud which can be
445	intermittently sampled or continuously interacted with.
446	
447	6. Conclusions

The instrumented RPA platform described here generates a new capability for cloud
and aerosol investigations, and for assessing effects on their electrical behaviour
following charge release. It successfully provided thermodynamic, optical and

451 electrical properties of clouds at heights up to 2 km, allowing most boundary layer clouds to be accessed and studied, as well as mists, fogs and aerosol plumes. The 452 453 novel combination of a controllable bipolar charge delivery system with integrated 454 optical sensors allows cloudy regions to be identified and targeted remotely or autonomously. Future use of electric aircraft by ionic propulsion, or the neutralisation 455 of highly charged particle clouds presenting electrostatic hazards, illustrate further 456 457 environmental applications which may benefit from targeted charge release 458 capability.

459 Atmospheric charge release has established biological and agricultural applications and may ultimately have a new use in geoengineering through providing cloud 460 droplet charging. This work shows that charge delivery into large atmospheric 461 volumes can be effectively achieved by small electrically powered aircraft. As the 462 charge is only emitted from a single point, further work is needed to establish the 463 464 active area over which the charge is distributed. To achieve a greater effective 465 release area, some alternative approaches could be considered. Fitting a set of emitters on a larger airframe provides one possibility; another, with greater volume 466 467 coverage, would be through implementing an aircraft "swarm", with multiple aircraft following the same flight pattern and simultaneously releasing charge across a range 468 of altitudes. 469

Investigating geoengineering applications, whatever their ultimate societal value, is
an increasingly urgent priority which is directly addressed by this technology. For this
new application, electrically powered robotic aircraft provide adaptable delivery
platforms without combustion products, and the charge released itself leaves no
environmentally damaging residues.

#### 476 Acknowledgements

477 This material is based on work supported by the National Center of Meteorology, 478 Abu Dhabi, UAE under the UAE Research Program for Rain Enhancement Science (UAEREP). KAN acknowledges an Independent Research Fellowship funded by the 479 480 Natural Environment Research Council, NERC (NE/L011514/1) and (NE/L011514/2). Stefan Chindea's involvement was supported by the NERC grant NE/N012070/1. 481 482 Sam Hyams, James Male and Freddie Sherratt made valuable contributions to 483 building and flying the aircraft. The meteorological sensor characterisation was undertaken by NERC SCENARIO summer students Heather Jones and Charlie Bell. 484 485 Test flights in Finland were made during the 2019 Aerosol, Clouds and Trace Gases Research InfraStructure (ACTRIS) project in Pallas co-ordinated by the Finnish 486 Meteorological Institute, a co-funded Institute of UAEREP. (ACTRIS-2 received 487 488 funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation 489 programme under grant agreement 654109; ACTRIS PPP is supported by the 490 European Commission under the Horizon 2020 - Research and Innovation 491 Framework Programme, H2020-INFRADEV-2016-2017, Grant Agreement: 739530). The University of Bristol provided access to Fenwood farm for flight tests. 492

493

#### 494 Data availability

495 Data from the instrument tests are available from the corresponding author.

#### 496 Appendix A - Meteorological sensor calibration

497 This section discusses the meteorological sensor package carried on the aircraft,

and their calibration. The sensors consisted of a bead thermistor and an integrated

- 499 relative humidity sensor (Honeywell HIH4000).
- 500 The RSPRO  $10k\Omega$  bead thermistor (type RS 151-237, 2.4mm diameter) was
- 501 connected to a  $10k\Omega$  precision resistor to form a half-bridge, i.e. a potential divider
- from a regulated supply, giving a voltage output  $V_{THS}$ . The thermistor was calibrated
- 503 against a standard Platinum Resistance Thermometer (PRT), *T*<sub>PRT</sub> over a
- temperature range of -20 °C to 40 °C in an environmental chamber. Through this, the
- 505 thermistor-bridge was found to have a first order linear response of

506 
$$T_{PRT} = 20.397 V_{THS} - 25.960$$
 (A.1),

507 for  $T_{PRT}$  in Celsius and  $V_{THS}$  in volts.

The HIH4000 humidity sensor was calibrated by placing it in an environmental chamber at 20 °C. The HIH4000's voltage output,  $V_{RH}$  was calibrated against a Michell dewpoint sensor in the chamber. The Relative Humidity (RH) within the chamber was increased from 30% to 100% in 5% steps. A first order response was found between the HIH4000's voltage output,  $V_{RH}$  and the RH measured from the dewpoint hygrometer  $RH_D$  of

514 
$$RH_D = 30.547V_{RH} - 24.607$$
 (A.2),

for RH measured in % and  $V_{RH}$  in volts.

#### 517 Appendix B – Cloud droplet sensor calibration

The calibration of the optical cloud sensor (OCS) is presented here. Its operation is 518 described in Harrison and Nicoll (2014), but for this application it was extended to 519 520 provide four channels. It consists of four high power light emitting diodes (LEDs) in an open path arrangement, with their backscattered light sensed by a photodiode 521 mounted behind the LEDs. Two of the four LEDs are infra-red devices (peak emitting 522 523 wavelength 850 nm), one cyan (505 nm) and one orange (590 nm), each of which is 524 driven by a square wave at a unique frequency in the range between 1.1 kHz and 525 1.5 kHz. Any cloud droplets in the optical path from the LEDs will backscatter the 526 modulated light, some of which is received by the photodiode. The photodiode signal is bandpass filtered to eliminate fluctuating daylight, so that only the modulated 527 528 backscattered signal from the cloud droplets is retained. The independent square wave signals driving the LEDs are also used for phase-sensitive detection of the 529 530 individual channels, to allow the photodiode signal to be decomposed into separate 531 responses associated with each LED. Each of the four recovered signals is 532 separately low pass filtered and amplified to yield a DC voltage output which is proportional to the backscatter, from which the size and concentration of water 533 534 droplets are found by calibration.

The OCS was calibrated against a Light Optical Aerosol Counter (LOAC), described in Renard et al. (2015). The LOAC measures the concentrations of aerosol, dust and water droplets in the size range 0.1  $\mu$ m to 50  $\mu$ m range. It operates by pumping air through a laser chamber, with photodiodes mounted at 12° and 60° from the laser path to receive light scattered by droplets and particles. The number of forward scattered pulses received at each photodiode gives the concentration. By comparing the nature of the scattered light at each photodiode, information about the size and

type of particle, e.g. carbon, mineral, ice or water can also be recovered. The LOAC
returns concentrations in 17 size bins at 1 min resolution.

544 In a calibration experiment, two OCS devices were mounted alongside the LOAC above the surface on a 2.5 m mast, approximately 500 m from the River Thames in a 545 546 large flat arable field on the University of Reading's Sonning farm (51.47°N, 0.89°W). 547 This site experiences fog and river mists. The OCS devices were logged by an Arduino microcontroller operating in a similar manner to that used on the aircraft 548 logging system. This arrangement was deployed in January 2019 for two months. 549 550 During the 14th, 15th and 17th February 2019, fog events lasting several hours occurred at the site. 551

Figure B1 shows data from a fog event on the 15th February 2019. The fog formed
at approximately 0700UTC and dissipated at 1200UTC. Only the infra-red channels
of the OCS are considered here. The voltage outputs from the OCS' two infra-red
channels are plotted in red and black, with LOAC droplet count (integrating across
the several size bins that span the 10µm - 30µm range) in blue. The time series from
the two instruments track well, showing the OCS response to fog droplets.

Figure B2 shows the raw ADC counts ( $IR_{ADC}$ ), from the infra-red channel of one of the cloud sensors plotted against the integrated droplet count  $N_D$  from the LOAC. A least-squares fit to the data allows  $N_D$  to be calculated from the OCS'  $IR_{ADC}$ , as

561 
$$N_D = (0.47 \pm 0.03)IR_{ADC} + (27.05 \pm 3.01)$$
 (B.1)

562 Uncertainties in the fit are given by 95% confidence intervals, implying that the fitted 563 line is robust despite the scatter. Mature fogs often have fairly consistent droplet

sizes, hence the scatter evident may indicate changes in the droplet size distributionduring the fog evolution.

566 The derived calibration was applied to both the balloon-borne and aircraft OCS, as 567 described in the main text. To reduce the effects of instrumental drift, the drive signal 568 to each LED was made steady (i.e. without square wave modulation) every 4 mins 569 for 10 s, to effectively provide a zero for that channel without changing the balance of 570 currents flowing in the overall device. This reference value was subsequently 571 subtracted from the observed signal. As noise was also present on the OCS 572 channels, the calibration was only applied when the mean backscattered signal from 573 a 10 s moving window was greater than the mean and one standard deviation of the 574 background noise from the whole flight.

#### 576 **References**

- 577 Aplin, K.L., K.L. Smith, J.G. Firth, B.J. Kent, M.S. Alexander, J.P.W. Stark. Inexpensive
- 578 optically isolated nanoammeter for use with micro-Newton electric propulsion technology.
- 579 *J.Propulsion and Power* **24** (2008) 891-895
- 580 Banerjee S. and M. Levy. Exact closed-form solution for the electrostatic interaction of two
- 581 equal-sized charged conducting spheres J Physics Conf, 646, 012016 doi:10.1088/1742-
- 582 6596/646/1/012016 (2015)
- 583 Cheney, M. *Tesla: Man out of time* (Simon and Schuster, 1st touchstone edition) (2001)
- 584 Davis, M.H. Two charged spherical conductors in a uniform electric field: forces and field
- 585 strength Quart Journ Mech & Appl Math, **17**, 4, 499-511 (1964)
- 586 Duft, D., T. Achtzehn, R. Müller, B.A. Huber, T. Leisner, Rayleigh jets from levitated
- 587 microdroplets *Nature*, 421, 128 (2003)
- 588 Gaunt, L.F., J.F. Hughes, N.M. Harrison. Electrostatic deposition of charged insecticide
- 589 sprays on electrically isolated insects *J. Electrostatics* **57**, 1, 35-47 (2003)
- 590 Gunn, R. Diffusion charging of atmospheric droplets by ions and the resulting combination
- 591 coefficients. J. Meteorol, 11, 339–347 (1954)
- 592 Harrison, R.G. An antenna electrometer system for atmospheric electrical measurements
- 593 *Rev Sci Instrum* **68**, 3, 1599-1603 (1997).
- 594 Harrison, R.G. A wide-range electrometer voltmeter for atmospheric measurements in
- thunderstorms and disturbed meteorological conditions *Rev Sci Instrum* **73**, 2, 482-483
- 596 (2002)
- 597 Harrison, R.G. and K.S. Carslaw, Ion-aerosol-cloud processes in the lower atmosphere Rev
- 598 *Geophysics* **41** (3), 1012, 10.1029/2002RG000114 (2003)
- 599 Harrison, R.G., and K.A. Nicoll. Note: Active optical detection of cloud from a balloon
- 600 platform. *Rev Sci Instrum*, **85**(6), 066104 (2014)

- Harrison, R.G., K.A. Nicoll and A.G. Lomas, Programmable data acquisition system for
- research measurements from meteorological radiosondes. *Rev Sci Instrum*, 83(3) 036106
  (2012)
- Harrison, R.G., K.A. Nicoll, M.H.P. Ambaum. On the microphysical effects of observed cloud
- edge charging *Quart J Roy Meteorol Soc* 141, 2690-2699 (2015)
- 606 Harrison, R.G., G.J. Marlton, K.A. Nicoll, M.W. Airey, P.D. Williams. Note: A self-calibrating
- 607 wide range electrometer for in-cloud measurements. *Rev Sci Instrum*, 88(12), 126109 (2017)
- Harrison, R.G., K.A. Nicoll, M.H.P. Ambaum, G.J. Marlton, K.L. Aplin, M. Lockwood.
- 609 Precipitation modification by ionisation *Phys Rev Letters* **124** (19)
- 610 10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.198701 (2020)
- Howard, L., Seven Lectures on Meteorology, Lecture 5. (1837, In: Second edition, Harvey
- 612 and Dalton, 1843).
- 613 Ieta, A., M. Chirita. Electrohydrodynamic propeller for in-atmosphere propulsion; rotational
- 614 device first flight *J Electrostatics* 100, 2019, 103352 (2019)
- 615 Inculet, I.I., G.S.P. Castle, D.R. Menzies, R. Frank, Deposition studies with a novel form of
- 616 electrostatic crop sprayer, *J. Electrostatics* 10 65–72 (1981)
- 617 Kent, B.J., K.L. Aplin, L. Wang, S.E. Huq, R. Stevens, A. Malik, and D. Nicolini, A field effect
- 618 spacecraft neutralizer for the LISA Pathfinder mission. Classical and Quantum Gravity,
- 619 22(10), p.S483 (2005)
- 620 Lekner, J. Electrostatics of two charged conducting spheres. *Proc. R. Soc. London* A 468:
- 621 2829-2848, doi: 10.1098/rspa.2012.0133 (2012)
- 622 Nicoll, K.A. Note: A self-calibrating electrometer for atmospheric charge measurements from
- 623 a balloon platform. *Rev Sci Instrum*, *84*(9), 096107 (2013)
- 624 Nicoll, K.A. and R.G. Harrison. Stratiform cloud electrification: comparison of theory with
- multiple in-cloud measurements. Quart Jour Royal Meteorol Soc, 142(700), 2679-2691
- 626 (2016)
- 627 Phelps, C.T., and Vonnegut, B., Charging of droplets by impulse corona, *J Geophys Res*,
- 628 75(24), 4483-4490, https://doi.org/10.1029/JC075i024p04483 (1970)

- Pruppacher, H.R., and J.D. Klett, *Microphysics of Cloud and Precipitation*. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, Kluwer
  Academic Publishers (1998)
- Rayleigh, Lord. The influence of electricity on colliding water drops. *Proc Roy Soc* 28, 406409 (1879)
- 633 Rayleigh, Lord. On the equilibrium of liquid conducting masses charged with electricity. The
- 634 London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science 14(87) 184-
- 635 186 doi:10.1080/14786448208628425 (1882)
- 636 Renard, J.B., F. Dulac, G. Berthet, T. Lurton, D. Vignelle, F. Jégou,... & Akiki, R. LOAC: a
- 637 small aerosol optical counter/sizer for ground-based and balloon measurements of the size
- 638 distribution and nature of atmospheric particles–Part 2: First results from balloon and
- 639 unmanned aerial vehicle flights. *Atmos Measure Tech Discus*, 8(1), 1261-1299 (2015)
- 640 Russell, A. The problem of two electrified spheres *Proc Phys Soc Lond* **35** 10-29 (1922)
- Takahashi, T. Measurement of electric charge of cloud droplets, drizzle, and raindrops.
- 642 Reviews of Geophysics and Space Physics, 11(4), 903-924. (1973)
- 643 Thomson, W. On the mutual attraction or repulsion between two electrified spherical
- 644 conductors, pp. 86–97. (1853, In *Reprint of papers on electrostatics and magnetism*.
- 645 London, UK. Macmillan, 1884)
- Tripathi S.N. and R.G. Harrison. Scavenging of electrified radioactive aerosol *Atmos Environ*35, 33, 5817-5821 (2001)
- 648 Vaisala RS41 datasheet. https://www.vaisala.com/sites/default/files/documents/RS41-SG-
- 649 <u>Datasheet-B211321EN.pdf</u> (Visited 30/03/2020), (2018)
- 650 Vonnegut, B., C.B. Moore, G.E. Stout, D.W. Staggs, J.W. Bullock, W.E. Bradley, Artificial
- modification of atmospheric space charge *J Geophys Res* 67, 3, 1073-1083 (1962a)
- Vonnegut, B., C.B. Moore, G.E. Stout, D.W. Staggs, J.W. Bullock, W.E. Bradley, Effect of
- atmospheric space charge on initial electrification of cumulus clouds *J Geophys Res* 67, 10,
  3909-3922 (1962b)
- 55 Xu, H., Y. He, K.L. Strobel *et al.* Flight of an aeroplane with solid-state propulsion. *Nature*
- 656 563, 532–535 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0707-9

## 659 Tables

660 Table 1. Summary of instrumented flights conducted.

## 

Date	Local time	Flight duration (minutes)	Max altitude (m)	Location	In-cloud duration (minutes)
24/09/2019	11:30	15	1000	Pallas	0
24/09/2019	16:30	15	1450	Pallas	0
25/09/2019	14:15	16	1450	Pallas	1
25/09/2019	15:30	17	1450	Pallas	1
26/09/2019	12:10	22	1575	Pallas	5
27/09/2019	09:15	17	1950	Pallas	5
27/09/2019	10:45	21	2050	Pallas	1
28/09/2019	09:20	17	1150	Pallas	0
28/09/2019	12:10	15	1400	Pallas	1
28/09/2019	12:50	20	1315	Pallas	2
01/10/2019	09:25	18	815	Pallas	0
29/11/2019	13:45	22	100	Bristol	0
29/11/2019	14:55	17	100	Bristol	0

#### 666 Figures and figure captions

667

#### 668 Figure 1

669



671

672 Figure 1. Conceptual picture of charge emission, droplet charging and droplet growth in a cloud (drawn to show droplet growth left to right). Corona ions released by an 673 674 aircraft become entrained into the cloud, charging the water droplets present by 675 attachment of the ions. Charging of the droplets modifies the droplet-droplet 676 coalescence, influencing the growth rate to large drops which ultimately fall out of the 677 cloud as rain. (Droplets lost by evaporation, or in the case of highly charged drops, 678 charge-induced explosions which occur through electrical instability, are indicated at 679 the cloud boundaries).





684 Figure 2. Corona emitter block circuit diagram. A miniature high voltage generator (EMCO A-series, A60P-5 for positive, A60N-5 for negative) is used to generate 685 686 sufficient voltage to generate corona at the emitting tip. The HT voltage is set by the 687 main microcontroller (AT-Tiny 84), using a 12-bit DAC (MCP4725) to control a 688 MOSFET-based op-amp regulator circuit. The HT voltage is sampled by the same microcontroller using a 1000:1 resistive divider potential divider, at 10 bits resolution. 689 690 The corona current flowing to the tip is sampled on the high voltage side (using an AD8293G160 instrumentation amplifier with gain of 160) and digitised at 10 bits 691 692 resolution by a further microcontroller, with the values transmitted serial over an optically-isolated link (OPTEX OPI1264C) to the main microcontroller. Control of the 693 694 emitters is achieved by data exchange with the aircraft systems, which also provide 695 data telemetry to the surface.

696

697



Figure 3. Conceptual diagram of the test system for a single charge emitter. A
controlled high voltage is applied to an emitting tip (black arrow), and the corona
current determined using the isolated measurement system of figure 2. The current
emitted is also sampled at a nearby detection plate (shown by the black ellipse). For
current balancing, a second opposite polarity emitter can be applied to the other side
of the detection plate.





711

Figure 4. Tests on the corona emitters. (a) Ion current measured at the detector plate of figure 3 as the high voltage setting (HT voltage) on the corona tip was varied, in separate experiments. (b) Relationship between current measured by the on-board corona current measurement circuit and HT voltage. (In both cases, red points are for the positive emitter and blue for the negative emitter).



- Figure 5. (a) Instrumented Skywalker X8 aircraft in flight, with instrumentation labelled.
- (b) Arrangement of sensors and systems on the X8 airframe (not to scale). (c) Detail of the individual
- science instruments: (1) optical cloud sensor, (2) charge sensors, (3a) thermodynamic (temperature
- and RH) sensor, (3b) removable protective housing for thermodynamic sensor, and (4) corona emitter
- 724 electrode.
- 725





- Figure 6. (a) Flight path and (b) altitude reached by the X8 during the longest
- endurance flight undertaken at Pallas at 1045LT on 27<sup>th</sup> September 2019.



#### X8 flight on 27/09/2019 ASCENT at 1009UT (RS41 at 1045UT)

Figure 7. Comparison of radiosonde (RS41, released at 1045 UTC) and aircraft (X8,
flown at 1009 UTC) profiles on the 27th September 2019. These are for (a)

temperature, (b) relative humidity, (c) charge density, found from the portside charge

738 sensor on the X8 and (d) droplet concentration, using a nose-mounted optical cloud

sensor on the X8. (X8 data is in red and RS41 data in black).

740



Figure 8. (a) Bird's eye view of the flight path of the aircraft showing the square path
(light blue) and circular loiter path (green and purple). (b) Three-dimensional view of
the flight path with the square pattern at 20m altitude (light blue), 50m radius loiter at
20m altitude (green) and the 50m radius loiter at 15m altitude (purple), centred on
the surface field mill location (dashed red vertical line).





Figure 9. Time series of electric field (*E*) from the Chubb JCI131 electric field mill at Fenwood Farm on 29th November 2019, with the instrumented X8 aircraft flying overhead in different flight patterns. The flight patterns were (a) loiter but no corona emitters activated, (b) 20 m and (c) 15 m radius loiter with corona emitters cycled. Crosses and asterisks mark when the charge emission was switched on and off respectively, with blue and red used to indicate the positive and negative charge emitter respectively.

759 Figure 10



760

Figure 10: Detrended electric field (*E*) from the Chubb JCI131 electric field mill at

Fenwood Farm on 29th November 2019, from figure 9. (Red and blue crosses

identify electric field transients from which the charge released was calculated).





Figure B2. Comparison of OCS and LOAC devices. Infra-red channel ADC counts
(IR\_ADC) of the OCS plotted against the LOAC integrated droplet count (10-30μm
size range) for all fog events during the 14th, 15th and 17th February 2019.