

Comment on "guiding global best practice in personalized nutrition based on genetics: the development of a nutrigenomics care map"

Article

Accepted Version

Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0

Vimaleswaran, K. S. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8485-8930 and Bhanuprakash, R. (2021) Comment on "guiding global best practice in personalized nutrition based on genetics: the development of a nutrigenomics care map". Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics, 121 (7). pp. 1215-1216. ISSN 2212-2672 doi:

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jand.2021.04.016 Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/98870/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See Guidance on citing.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jand.2021.04.016

Publisher: Elsevier

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the End User Agreement.



www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading Reading's research outputs online

Comment on "Guiding Global Best Practice in Personalized Nutrition Based on Genetics:

The Development of a Nutrigenomics Care Map"

Karani S. Vimaleswaran¹, G. Bhanuprakash Reddy²

¹ Hugh Sinclair Unit of Human Nutrition, University of Reading, Reading, UK

² Department of Biochemistry, ICMR-National Institute of Nutrition, Hyderabad, India

No of words: 519

No of references: 10

Corresponding author:

Prof Karani Santhanakrishnan Vimaleswaran

Professor of Nutrigenetics and Nutrigenomics,

Hugh Sinclair Unit of Human Nutrition,

Department of Food and Nutritional Sciences, University of Reading, Reading RG6 6DZ, UK;

E-mail: v.karani@reading.ac.uk; Tel.: +44 (0) 118 378 8702.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, K.S.V; Writing – Original Draft Preparation,

K.S.V.; Writing - Review & Editing, K.S.V. and G.B.R. All authors have read, edited, and

approved the published version of the manuscript.

Care maps have been developed and used effectively in health care as they provide guidance to help implement evidence-based practice. In the study by Horne et al¹, the authors have developed a nutrigenomics care map as a guideline for global best practice in providing personalized nutrition services. Since nutrigenetics is becoming more common in clinical practice, developing a nutrigenetics care map is a commendable initiative by the authors. However, there are major methodological errors and inappropriate usage of terminologies which can lead to misinterpretation of the map.

Firstly, throughout the article, the authors have used the term 'Nutrigenomics', which refers to the changes induced by diet on the genome, transcriptome, proteome, metabolome, and epigenome²⁻⁴. But the article does not discuss any of these aspects rather it focuses on genotype-based dietary advice, which refers to 'Nutrigenetic testing'. This incorrect usage of the terminology changes the focus of the article and can lead to misinterpretation of the concept⁵. In fact, the authors have used the guidelines demonstrated by Grimaldi et al⁶, who had proposed a draft framework to assess the strength of the evidence for scientific validity of nutrigenetic knowledge. Hence, the authors should have used the term 'Nutrigenetics' to demonstrate the use of genotype-based dietary advice.

Secondly, we are concerned about the statement that, *'This care map is generalizable to dietetics practice globally*'. There are several nutrigenetic studies which have been carried out in Caucasians; but very few good quality studies are available in lower middle-income countries (LMICs)⁷. Prescribing a personalized diet for a population should be based on the nutrigenetic findings from that population. Given the lack of nutrigenetic studies in LMICs, majority of the genetic testing centres are using the data from Caucasians and prescribing diets to their clients who belong to a different ethnic group. To date, there is no legal system that monitors the use of such data to prescribe diets in LMICs and this can lead to the use of this

map as a base to offer genetic testing, which might not be applicable for LMICs³. Hence, the

ethical approval to prescribe genotype-based dietary advice should be a part of the care map.

Thirdly, the map fails to incorporate the role of nutrigenomics, metagenomics, and

epigenetics in personalizing an individual's diet⁸ ⁹. The map focuses only on genotype-based

dietary advice; but genetic variations explain only a small fraction of the interindividual

variation in chronic diseases such as obesity¹⁰. Hence, the full potential of personalised

nutrition requires in-depth knowledge of genetics and omics approaches, delivering a

comprehensive platform picture of an individual's metabolic status. Most importantly, a robust

scientific validity of these variations considering ethnic background is critical for the

diet/nutrition recommendations.

As professionals with interest in nutrigenetics and nutrigenomics, we do see this article

as an interesting paper for a population where several nutrigenetic studies have been published.

But we are concerned about globalizing the concept, as there are limited studies and awareness

on nutrigenetics in LMICs; hence, the chances of misinterpreting the information are high. We

would appreciate if the authors could develop a map considering these issues, which will

improve the patient care.

Conflicts of interests: None

Funding: None

References:

- Horne JR, Nielsen DE, Madill J, et al. Guiding Global Best Practice in Personalized Nutrition
 Based on Genetics: The Development of a Nutrigenomics Care Map. *J Acad Nutr Diet*
 2021 doi: 10.1016/j.jand.2021.02.008 [published Online First: 2021/03/22]
- Ramos-Lopez O, Milagro FI, Allayee H, et al. Guide for Current Nutrigenetic,
 Nutrigenomic, and Nutriepigenetic Approaches for Precision Nutrition Involving the
 Prevention and Management of Chronic Diseases Associated with Obesity. J
 Nutrigenet Nutrigenomics 2017;10(1-2):43-62. doi: 10.1159/000477729 [published
 Online First: 2017/07/10]
- Fenech M, El-Sohemy A, Cahill L, et al. Nutrigenetics and nutrigenomics: viewpoints on the current status and applications in nutrition research and practice. *J Nutrigenet Nutrigenomics* 2011;4(2):69-89. doi: 10.1159/000327772 [published Online First: 2011/06/01]
- 4. Reddy VS, Palika R, Ismail A, et al. Nutrigenomics: Opportunities & challenges for public health nutrition. *Indian J Med Res* 2018;148(5):632-41. doi: 10.4103/ijmr.IJMR_1738_18 [published Online First: 2019/01/23]
- 5. Weir M, Morin K, Ries N, et al. Canadian health care professionals' knowledge, attitudes and perceptions of nutritional genomics. *Br J Nutr* 2010;104(8):1112-9. doi: 10.1017/S0007114510002035 [published Online First: 2010/06/17]
- 6. Grimaldi KA, van Ommen B, Ordovas JM, et al. Proposed guidelines to evaluate scientific validity and evidence for genotype-based dietary advice. *Genes Nutr* 2017;12:35. doi: 10.1186/s12263-017-0584-0 [published Online First: 2017/12/23]
- 7. Vimaleswaran KS. A nutrigenetics approach to study the impact of genetic and lifestyle factors on cardiometabolic traits in various ethnic groups: findings from the GeNuIne

- Collaboration. *Proc Nutr Soc* 2020;79(2):194-204. doi: 10.1017/S0029665119001186 [published Online First: 2020/02/01]
- 8. Vimaleswaran KS, Le Roy, C.I., Claus, S.P. . Foodomics for personalized nutrition: how far are we? . *Curr Opin Food Sci* 2015;4:129–35.
- 9. Goni L, Cuervo M, Milagro FI, et al. Future Perspectives of Personalized Weight Loss Interventions Based on Nutrigenetic, Epigenetic, and Metagenomic Data. *J Nutr* 2015;146(4):905S-12S. doi: 10.3945/jn.115.218354 [published Online First: 2016/03/11]
- 10. Lamiquiz-Moneo I, Mateo-Gallego R, Bea AM, et al. Genetic predictors of weight loss in overweight and obese subjects. *Sci Rep* 2019;9(1):10770. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-47283-5 [published Online First: 2019/07/26]